## 2017-04-27 CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call

There will be a CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call that will be held on Thursday 27 April 2017 at 14:00 UTC for 90 minutes.

07:00 PDT, 10:00 EDT, 15:00 London, 16:00 CET, 17:00 Istanbul, 22:00 Singapore, 00:00 Melbourne

For other times: http://tinyurl.com/ljmmgwb

## PROPOSED AGENDA:

- Start of meeting roll call
- 2. Welcome & updates to DOIs
- 3. Response to ICANN Board letter
- 4. Review of updates approach for dealing with charter questions and associated work plan
- 5. Initial run through of charter question 5
- 6. Initial run through of charter question 7, if time allows
- 7. Confirm next meeting Thursday 11 May at 14.00 UTC

## **AC Recording**

Mp3

**Attendance** 

**Transcription** 

**AC Chat** 

Joining late: Manal Ismail

Apologies: Sylvia Cadena, Marc Gauw, Seun Ojedeji, John Levine, Daniel Dardailler, Judith Hellerstein, Alberto Soto

Dial outs: Marika Konings

Notes from the New gTLD Auction Proceeds CCWG Meeting:

- 1. Start of meeting roll call
- · Roll call will be taken from Adobe Connect
- · Reminder, please state name for transcription purposes and keep your microphones on mute when not speaking
- 2. Welcome & updates to DOIs
- Aim to keep call to 60 minutes if possible
- · Reminder, please share any updates to your DOI
- 3. Response to ICANN Board letter
- · Numerous comments received on the mailing list, especially with regards to % to be spent on overhead
- · Need to be careful with concrete numbers as it is not clear yet what mechanism would be chosen and what the implications would be with regards to overhead
- · Proposed modification: Several CCWG members/participants did point out, however, that the overhead costs will depend on the actual mechanism recommended [as a result of the CCWG deliberations] and may therefore vary from the 5% recommended by the ICANN Board.

on ad	Board does have understanding that % may vary - critical message is that impact of choices on costs should be factored in so that bulk is not spent ministration/overhead.
Action item #1: Staff to circulate letter with updated language for CCWG final review (1 week review time)	
Action	n item #2: CCWG Leadership to submit letter to ICANN Board following CCWG final review
4. Review of updates approach for dealing with charter questions and associated work plan	
	See updated approach and work plan circulated
	CCWG requested to review these documents and provide input on both steps as well as timing
	Timeline for delivery of Initial Report is an artificial deadline - up to CCWG to decide if/when to adjust based on progress made.
	n item #3: Staff to circulate documents (updated graphic and updated work plan)
Action	n item #4: CCWG to review updated approach for dealing with charter questions and updated work plan
5. Ini	tial run through of charter question 5
•	See template for charter question 5 circulated
furthe	Further details may be needed on the selection committees mentioned - may be worth reviewing other responses from same person as it may be or detailed in response to other questions.
	Important to determine order in which questions are to be dealt with
6. Init	ial run through of charter question 7, if time allows
	See template for charter question 7 circulated
of the	Confusion over the use of ICANN - ICANN staff, ICANN community? Most of the ccTLDs use community volunteers. Should be contemplated. One possible outcomes is volunteers from the ICANN community - add this to the template.
	Adherence to mission will likely have two implications: will limit # of proposals that would get through first gate, would preclude outside group.
max.	If you use volunteers, there may be an issue of consistency. # of applications is not clear yet, may depend on criteria that are set (e.g. minimum / amount to be funded).
	Outsourcing or inhouse - may need further consideration once it is clear what the focus / objective of fund allocation will be.

<ul> <li>Need to look into the creation of a foundation where principal funds are not spent and, instead, invested. The growth is then distributed. This is a unique opportunity for this community and it should maximized for posterity and for the good of the Internet.</li> </ul>
Consider deleting first response as question may not have been clear to person that responded.
Action item #5: Staff to update template with input provided during this meeting and circulate it to CCWG for review & input
7. Confirm next meeting – Thursday 11 May at 14.00 UTC
Review charter question 7 again in order to determine whether there is a certain hierarchy.