At-Large FY17 Budget Development Workspace

At-Large FY17 Special Request Implementation Workspace

For Finance Department's document of ALL FY17 AC/SO Requests click here.

Proposed Requests:

ALAC:

Request	Description	FBSC Decision	Status	
Strategic Working Session for ALAC and RALO Leaders at ICANN Public Meetings 57 and 58 (from the ALAC)	FY17-17	To help the ALAC and RALO leadership carry out their work, including the current At-Large Review focusing on the nearly 200 At-Large Structures, the ALAC is again requesting Strategic Working Sessions for the ALAC and RALO Leaders to take place on the Saturday at the start of ICANN 57 and 58. In particular, this request is for the necessary elements of these ALAC Strategy Sessions, including an external facilitator to lead the ALAC in the prioritizing their workload, facilitation skills and a working lunch.	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
		At ICANN 57, both current and incoming ALAC Members, RALO Leaders and Liaisons would be included.		
ALAC Development Session at ICANN 57 Second Pilot Activity (fro m the ALAC)	FY17-59	Based on the success of the ALAC Development Session at ICANN 54, the ALAC is again requesting an ALAC Development Session for the 15 members of the ALAC, both continuing and incoming members, as well as ALAC liaisons to the ccNSO, GNSO and SSAC on the last day of the ICANN 57 Meeting to be held in Puerto Rico. This ALAC Development Session, will foster co-operation within the ALAC as well as allow planning for ongoing and upcoming projects and activities within the ALAC. The Development Session will serve as a team-building exercise to increase collaboration and to work more effectively as a virtual global team inter-sessionally. The activity will also include sessions on professional skills development and teambuilding. This latter activity will increase the ability of all ALAC	Date moved to Friday, 4 November.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
		members to develop critical skills needed to more effectively participate in meetings and work in a multi-cultural environment. An external facilitator will lead the session The requested day for the ALAC Development Session is Friday, 4 November between 12:00 and 18:00.		
At-Large FY17 Special Community Request for a Real-time Captioning of Adobe Connect Meetings In English, Spanish, and French (from Judith Hellerstein for the ALAC)	FY17-18	This is a continuation of our current pilot program of captioning for 3 RALO and/or ALAC monthly meetings, and/or 3 Working Group meetings or webinars over a 4 month period in FY16. Our goal in this continuation of the pilot is to provide real-time captioning for either 3 RALO meetings a month or 2 RALO and 1 ALAC meeting, and /or 3 working group meetings or webinars a month to enable full participation of all At-Large Users within ICANN. We also want to raise awareness of the importance of including captioning whenever language support is offered. We are targeting RALO meetings since our goal is to increase engagement in ICANN within each of the respective regions. We are also targeting the working groups and webinars for the same reason as well as to promote more engagement from RALOs in these working groups. We think this will lead to more effective participation and engagement within ICANN. This is especially the case for people who are coming from bandwidth challenged countries where lack of bandwidth has limited their participation within ICANN. Adobe Connect is a large user of bandwidth and as such makes it difficult for those with limited bandwidth to fully participate. Adigo calls are helpful in that regard, but often in many places cell coverage is sparse and calls often drop or use up too much of a person's quota. The goal of the pilot is to gather the necessary metrics to illustrate the need for captioning not only for accessibility reasons but also for those with	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
		limited bandwidth. The data gained from this second phase of the pilot should help us meet these goals and show the need for captioning ICANN wide. In the original phase of the pilot we restricted ourselves to only providing English Language captions, but in this second phase we want to add support for Spanish and/or French as we have gotten requests for captioning in this language.		
LAC discretion to use lilocated travel slots to upport active volunteer eaders within At-Large travel slots and attend CANN meetings (from LAC SC on Outreach and Engagement) The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. Currently these positions have been filled by persons who are already entitled to travel to ICANN Meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. Currently these positions have been filled by persons who are already entitled to travel to ICANN Meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. Currently these positions have been filled by persons who are already entitled to travel to ICANN meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings. The ALAC has been allocated travel slots specifically for GNSO and ccNSO liaisons to attend ICANN meetings.				Submitted to ICANN Controller.
Access by RALOs for funding of local engagement activities (fr om ALAC SC on Outreach and Engagement)	nd Engagement) ccess by RALOs for inding of local gragement activities (fr m ALAC SC on whiteach and compared to the com		Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
Fixing the bugs in ICANN's machine translation tool used for the LACRALO mailing lists (from the Technology Taskforce)	FY17-19	LACRALO has two mailing lists: The key issue is that ICANN has not allocated a dedicated person to fix this software tool. Senior staff have not given this critical communication tool the priority it deserves. The continuing lack of an effective tool to allow EN and ES speakers within LACRALO to communicate with each other has contributed to challenging situation within LACRALO. It is clear that a dedicated IT staff resource is critically needed at ICANN with a mandate to fixing this software. Hence this budget request is for funding to be made available for the staff resources to work on ICANN's new machine translation tool and fix the remaining bugs by October 2016 so that the new software tool can be installed and ease the communication difficulties faced by LACRALO, the At-Large Community and ICANN Staff over the past 9 years.	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.

RALOs:

RALO	Request	Request Number	Description	FBSC Decision	Status
Cross RALO	RALO Leader Development Session at ICANN 57 Second Pilot Activity (from RALO Leaders)	FY17-46	Based on the success of the ALAC Development Session at ICANN 54, the RALO Leaders, consisting of the RALO Chairs, Vice-Chairs and Secretaries from the five RALOs, are requesting a one day RALO Leadership Development Session for the 10 RALO Leaders attending Meeting C who will be continuing or incoming to be held on the last day of the ICANN 57 Meeting to be held in Puerto Rico. The RALO Leaders Development Session will foster cooperation across the RALOs as well as planning for ongoing and upcoming projects and activities within the Regions. The RALO Leaders Development Session will serve as a teambuilding exercise to increase collaboration and to work more effectively as a virtual global team inter-sessionally. The Session will also include a session on professional skills development. This latter activity will increase the ability of all RALO leadership to develop critical skills needed to more effectively participate in meetings and work in a multicultural environment. An external facilitator will lead the session. The afternoon of the day on which this activity will be held will be occupied by work sessions in which the RALO Leaders will incorporate what they have learned in the RALO Leadership Development Session.	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
AFRALO	AFRALO General Assembly + Capacity Building and engagement Program for the ALS representatives (from AFRALO Chair Aziz Hilali)	FY17-47	This same request was submitted for FY16 Budget, but the Finance department and/or the BFC rejected it because of the organization in Marrakech of the GAC high-level meeting; they stated that priority would be given to an AFRALO General Assembly in FY17. It will be a face to face general assembly of one representative of each African ALS at ICANN 59 (26-29 Jun 2017), and will include daily capacity building sessions for the ALS representatives.	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
AFRALO	AFRALO Workshop at the 2016 IGF on " Privacy and users' data management" (from AFRALO Chair Aziz Hilali)	FY17-48	A Workshop at the IGF 2016 to address the issue of the Internet users' data and their management. It will be a kind of panel where the panelists will just introduce the topic (5 min each), and then the debate is open. Attendees from all over the world shall make comments or contribution, or ask questions to the panelists. Travel support for 5 persons.	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
AFRALO	1er Forum sur le DNS au Tchad (from ISOC TCHAD)	FY17-49	The DNS Chad Forum is the first edition of what will be an annual event and an important event for issues related to domain name systems. It should be noted that this forum will bring together interested participants with key actors in the domain name field in order to discuss current topics in the Chadian industry in particular, as well as world wide. Our objectives are among others: • Contribute publicly to better serve the local community • Contribute to a DNS Resiliency in Chad • Unite the Technical Community of the Internet around the issues that are the DNS • Develop DNS Business in Chad • Etc Total amount being requested: \$13,000 Le Forum DNS Tchad est la première édition au Tchad de ce qui sera un événement annuel et un rendez-vous insoutenable pour les questions liées aux systèmes de noms de domaines. Il faut aussi précisé que ce Forum réunira des participants intéressés avec les principaux acteurs dans le domaine de nom de domaine, afin de discuter des sujets d'actualité dans l'industrie tchadienne en particulier et du monde en particulier. Nos objectifs sont entre autre: -Contribuer publiquement pour mieux service la communauté locale; -Contribuer à la résilience d'un DNS au Tchad ; -Fédérer la communauté Technique de l'internet du Tchad autour des questions qui trouvent le DNS ; -Développer les Business de DNS au Tchad -Etc Total amount being requested: \$13,000	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.

AFRALO	TRAINING OF TRAINERS THEME: A		The seminar-workshop relates to technical community and will take place in two phases:	Not approved for submission.	
	resilient Internet with DNS for DRC (from CAFEC)		*The first phase is to train 30 trainers in Kinshasa. The DRC currently has 26 provinces. There will be a candidate by province. The four candidates supplements are intended for the monitoring and evaluation of the other candidates in the provinces.		
			Congolese actors will be trained by people expert resources in managing a DNS.		
			For four days, participants will deepen their expertise in the field of management of the DNS. The two days will serve to strengthen their expertise in the field of DNS Security (DNSSEC).		
			The interactive nature of the workshops will allow participants to engage in a number of group exercises to apply the knowledge acquired throughout the course during training sessions they will hold in the provinces.		
			*The second phase will take place in the 26 provinces of the DRC. This gives rise to the establishment of focal points in each province. These focal points will train local actors identified on DNS. In this second phase, the trainers will organize also training sessions on content production, management of a web site by establishing the correlation between a DNS and website.		
			This approach will lead to clarify what is meant by the new gTLD expansion and importance of good management of the ccTLD.		
			The workshops will focus on the essential elements needed to create, launch and manage a DNS company; the domain name industry value chain; marketing communications and strategies; Branding ;business continuity.		
			The domain name is the foundation of the web presence. The real development of the Internet and local content in DR Congo will inevitably go through a real development of the domain name industry.		
			The Internet community in Democratic Republic of Congo: public sector, private sector and civil society are directly concerned by this virtuel momentum to imagine solutions for the development of the domain name industry and ownership model multi-actor (multistakeholderism) of Internet governance.		
			Total amount being requested: \$100,400.		
APRALO	Visit to China by # of members of the APRALO Leadership Team (plus regional partners if available) (from APRALO Leadership Team)		China has recently proposed the registration of a national At-Large Structure – the Internet Society of China. APRALO has discussed and supported this application while at the same time recognising the many challenges posed to our regional organisation by this unique application. We have been very fortunate to have Kaili Kan, as the APRALO NomCom representative who has been able to respond to the many queries that arose out of the debate about inclusion of this organisation into the APRALO community. ISC is significantly unique, vet it is made so by an extremely complicated political system which does not normally allow individual groups to apply as members to international organisations. We have identified some anomalies with two current ALSes which may not have government endorsement. We believe that enabling ISC to be registered with ICANN is a major and positive directional move for the Chinese government and we would welcome their inclusion into APRALO (SO1.3).	Not approved for submission. Funding will be sought through other means.	
			After our comprehensive discussions the APRALO Leadership Team would like to send a delegation to Beijing to talk with the Beijing ICANN office and members of the ISC leadership team, about ways in which APRALO can assist to create effective mechanisms which will facilitate effective participation and involvement within the ICANN ecosystem (SO4.3, 4,4,5.3). ISC covers nearly all local government, profit, not-for-profit and civil society organisations related to the Internet (SO3.3). For this reason we would like to include representatives from our regional partners to provide their support to this delegation. Our regional partners include APTLD, APNIC and DotAsia (SO2.3). Being accompanied by these organisations would make the discussions, both as a whole and as individual sectors, more meaningful and productive.		
	APRALO Leadership Team Outreach at the Eleventh Annual IGF Meeting tentatively scheduled to take place in Mexico City, Mexico, in September	FY17-56	The Eleventh Meeting of the annual Internet Governance Forum (IGF), under the revised mandate, is tentatively scheduled to take place in Mexico City, Mexico in September 2016. The final announcement relating to the venue and dates is expected shortly at the time of preparing this proposal. The slight delay in the announcement is on account of the requirement for the formal renewal of the IGF Mandate, which has since been obtained at the WSIS+10 meeting in December 2015. IGF is an open and public forum that brings interested participants from various stakeholder groups as equals, under a	Submit. FBSC requested more clarity on IGF activity by supported travelers requested.	Submitte to ICANI Controlle
	2016 (from APRALO Leadership Team)		multistakeholder process. IGF focuses on public policy issues relating to the Internet. Starting out in 2006, original 5-year IGF mandate was renewed once. The current renewal at the WSIS+10 meeting in December 2015, is for a period of ten years. The extension of the duration of the mandate indicates the growing importance of IGF.	UPDATE: APRALO Leadership Team provided reasons why they support submitting	
			IGF's open format allows anyone interested in Internet policy to participate. Consequently, it presents a unique opportunity for outreach as well as for networking and interacting with several global communities that are otherwise difficult to contact independently.	this request. Request was reduced to three supported travelers. (see under Description and in	
			Several members of APRALO—including some of the members of the APRALO Leadership Team—have been actively participating in IGF over the years, and have been organizing workshops on different IG-related topics.	updated request)	
			In 2015, for the first time, APRALO was able to send an official delegation to IGF 2015 held at João Pessoa, Brazil, with ICANN budget support. The initiative was very successful, and the APRALO team was able to extensively interact with members of APRALO, members of the Asia-Pacific Internet Governance community, members of other RALOs, ICANN community members, and the larger IGF Community. The APRALO team also supported the APRALO members in organizing their workshops.		
			Continuing further with the successful 2015 IGF initiative, the APRALO Leadership Team proposes participation of 3 members of its Leadership Team at the 2016 IGF.		
			The specific activities that would be carried out by the three-member Outreach Delegation, jointly and individually, are:		
			 Participate as APRALO resource persons in different workshops. For this, the team members would be registering themselves as Resource Persons in the IGF Resource Persons' Roster. Collaborate and support activities of other ALAC Members Explore, and if possible, plan and execute an At-Large Outreach event at the location of the IGF, jointly with Universities and other institutions in the area (including local ALSes, if any). The objective of such an outreach process would be to introduce At-Large (and thereby, ICANN), and to stimulate growth and participation of local end-user communities in At-Large activities. Although there are uncertainties arising out of the approval process of Workshops at IGF, going by past history it is likely that there would be workshops being organized by APRALO members (there were two such workshops last year). The Outreach Delegation would support these workshops. 		
			Assuming we have other RALO representatives available, consider creating an At-Large 'corner' in the ICANN booth to provide information on ALAC/At-Large, its role, reach and diversity to visitors As in the case of 2015, hold talks with AP regional organizations for specific regional collaborative programmes		
			As of now, the neither the themes for IGF 2016 nor the Call for Proposals have been published. APRALO had discussed a plan to propose three Workshops last year, but finally we submitted and got through workshops on two other topics. This year we would like to propose the following workshops that have been adapted from our last year's plans, to the IGF (depending on the IGF 2016 sub-themes that will be announced shortly):		
			Fostering Civil Society Engagement for Inclusive Growth of the Internet: The APRALO Experience Linguistic Diversity and Universal Acceptance as tools for Digital Inclusion on the Internet Internet as an instrument for Development: Role of Capacity Building for Geographic & Linguistic Minorities and the Differently-Abled		
			As for presence on panels, this would be known only after the initial short-listing of workshops are complete. Going by past history, the three APRALO members should be on about two speaking slots each.		

support to the 1st Pales Palestinian National Internet Governance Forum (Pal IGF) for two days to be held in Ramallah in August 2016. requests that \$2,000 is to ICANN	APRALO activities at APNIC42 to be held in Dhaka, Bangladesh (fro m ISOC Bangladesh)		coming from all over Bangladesh and beyond to showcase what our ALS, ISOC Bangladesh Dhaka is doing as an APRALO organisation, and also to use the event to highlight ICANN's activities not only in the Bangladesh community but also in other regional and global areas as well. The event will be held at the end of the workshop week, but it will serve as an introduction to the conference week which we will encourage our participants to join, to learn more about APNIC and the internet ecosystem.	The FBSC considered whether the CROPP might be used for this request.	to ICANN Controller
in ISDC Palesmoy In the the many approximated challenges, aspected of the postulation of the obligation pathodogenes and postulation of the control pathodogenes and postulation is asserted to the control pathodogenes and pathodo	support to the 1st Pales	FY17-51	As an initiative from the Internet Society Palestine Chapter (ISOC Palestine), we are planning to organize the first	Submit. The FBSC requests that \$2,000 is allocated in partial support	Submitted to ICANN Controller
be cooperation among the concerning parties. Our respect to the protegrand inflamental supports of Pail Giff and full support to the test ICNNN worstadops. The first evolution of the protection of the protect			Internet and its governance is working well under the regulations of the split government and private sector. However the		
The list violaticity will be an information and operally fluiding issession about CANN and its ride into the listernat Queretimes econygenia. The second venderabor, will be a round table discussion for reciveing and development strategies for the CRTLD of parally and the properties of the common of the common of the properties of the common of the properties of the properties of the properties of the common of the properties of				more clarity on scope of request and budget being	
powerborne exceptions. The second involved part for involved designation for making part development original part of the CTLD part of the second involved part of the involved designation for making part of participation or the common participation of the cTLD part of participation or involved participa			Our request is to provide partial financial support to Pal IGF and full support to the two ICANN workshops.	from FBSC is that this	
The second exchalor will be a round table discussion from menting and development antilegies for the CPLD of as and start all PRINA of this focuse in Gaza Sign and the Well Sank as finaled to vitual communications. *** *** *** *** *** *** ***				funded through At-Large. Suggest that collaboration	
Additional information from the APRALO Leadership Team on why this request should be supported by the FBSC for submission: APRALO LT support the applications from Bungladesh and Palestine because: a) both the individuals who have made stress applications are acide participation in APRALO and Al-Large discussions, and APRALO is very appreciative of their continued positive engagement b) both individuals have made applications in good teal that they are supporting ICANN in one of the few ways that they can, through an appropriate event that is supported by other organisations as well to demonstrate to their continuents that ICANN is a partner in their activity. c) Birth antivities propose to facilitate discussions about frame and number issues involving the invited ICANN related arganisations in April 100 and the continuents and activity reagant people in discussions along the end of continuents and activities organized propose to facilitate discussions would like the information and activities organized propose to facilitate discussions would like the information to be provided by precise whose condentials as the first formation and activities organized to information about ICANN and what it does and thore is can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Birth applications would like the information to be provided by precise whose condentials are their formation connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have after formation connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have engine the requirement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes is a satisfact formation of the three applications forward. We site organized that returns the variable of the work of the surface of the country of the delivery of the countries that are important to both cultures to put the applications forward. We site organized that returns the work of the surface of the countries of the result of the country of the countries of			. through the Palestinian National Internet Naming Authority (PNINA). Given the fact since 2010 correspondences with	possibility. UPDATE: APRALO	
APRALO LT support the applications from Bangladeth and Palestine because: a) both the individuals who have made these applications are active participants in APRALO and A-Large discussions, and APRALO is very approachie of their continued positive engagement b) both individuals have made applications in good tells that they are supporting ICANN in one of the few ways that they our, in trough an appropriate event that is supported by other organisations as well to demonstrate to their communities that ICANN is a partner in their activity. () Both activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the intents ICANN participants alongative local participants who are actually working with IAAN and other ICANN related originisations in AFarge. These discussions will go beyond outreeon and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them. (i) Both applicants are from countries that are improverished not only financially but alto with regards to information about ICANN and whall it does and hove it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the ton ALSes who look that these up to their integrations to be not of more all the copyrishments with the part of unit region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the ton ALSes who look that the part of unit region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the ton ALSes who have been understanded to the entire of the copyrishment of the copyris				provided reasons why they support submitting this request (see under	
a) both the individuals into never made these applications are active participants in APRALO and Ax-Large discussions, and APRALO is very approachative of their continued positive engagement b) both individuals have made applications in good faith that they are supporting ICANN in one of the few ways that they can, through an appropriate event that is supported by other organisations as well to demonstrate to their communities that ICANN is a partner in their activity. (c) Both activities propose to facilitate discoussions about name and number issues involving the invested ICANN participants alongside becal participants who are extually working with IANA and other ICANN related organisations about name and number issues involving the invested ICANN participants alongside becal participants who are extually working with IANA and other ICANN related organisations and advantage of the partner in their activity. (d) Both applicants are from countries that are improvemented issues that impact them. (d) Both applicants are from countries that are improvemented issues that impact them. (d) Both applicants are from countries that are improvemented issues that impact them. (d) Both applicants are from countries that are improvemented issues that impact them. (d) Both applicants for four region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their format connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both ocurries helve major internet issues. The APPALO LT recognises that GGE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that enter GGE not CROP are limited by the property and the same to apply to TSGE. The APPALO LT recognises that GGE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support to put all the time to the put their applications forward the put the put their applications forward to the put their applications forward				Description)	
AcLarge discussions, and APRALO is very approxisative of their continued positive engagement b) both individuals have made applications in good faith that they are supporting ICANN in one of the few ways that they can, through an appropriate event that is appointed by other organisations as well to demonstrate to their communities that ICANN is a patrier in their actively. c) Both activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the imited ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN related organisations in Arkseys. These discussions will go beyond outreach and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them. d) 8th applicants are from countries that are improveded and only financially, but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APPALO IT recognises that SES and CROP funding are suitable for three types of events. But disport for our ALOS is a set straigle insert for our region to encoragine applicants who are applications when these applications the one of the applications that have been placed and the lower in the internet issues. The APPALO IT recognises that SES. AND the second of money and the straight internet issues. The APPALO IT recognises that SES. The APPALO IT recognises that SES. The APPALO IT recognises that SES. The APPALO IT recognises that separations the common and the second of money and the set the applications from the APPALO IT the proposed of money and the second of the control of the control of the control of the control of the co					
b) both individuals have made applications in good faith that they are supporting ICANN in one of the few ways that they can, through an appropriate event that is supported by other organisations as well to demonstrate to their communities that ICANN is a partner in their activity. () 6th activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the invited ICANN partnepants alongoide local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN related organisations in Arlange. These discussions will go beyond outreach and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them. (d) 80th applicants are from countries that are impovereithed not only francially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by neople whose credentials are their format connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APPALO LT recognises that GSB and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a storage intensify or our region to excursing engagement, which is why was appreciated that the two ALSes demonstrates and the supplications when these applicants had absent the time to pulp by ETBSC. The APPALO LT recognises that GSB and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a storage in the supplications when these applicants had absent the time to pulp by ETBSC. The APPALO LT recognises that GSB and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a storage and that the septications when these applicants had absent the time to pulp by ETBSC. The APPALO LT recognises that GSB and CROP funding are available for these bytes a special final the two ALSes of an APPALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if					
the few ways that they can, through an appropriate event that is supported by other organisations as well to demonstrate to their communities that ICANN is a pattner in their activity. c) Both activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the invited ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN participants alongside local participants with a required to the participant of the participants and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them d) Both applicants are from countries that are impoventiated not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes of the two ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes of the end of the engagement is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes of the engagement is a strategic intent for our region to en					
origanisations as well to demonstrate to their communities that ICANN is a partner in their activity. c) Both activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the invited ICANN proleted organisations in A-large. These discussions will go beyond outreach and other ICANN related organisations in A-large. These discussions will go beyond outreach and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues in involving the interest and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues in the interest and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues in the interest. d) Both applicants are from countries that are impovershed not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the interest within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their format connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes who look the price to put their applications forward. We also recognise that anterine GSE per CROP. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes who look the price to put the applications forward. We also recognise that anterine GSE per CROP. The APRALO LT would accommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as contribution to make the line to apply to FSSC. The APRALO LT would accommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as a contribution to make a support to the first location and the service and a support to the first location and the service and as a contribution to make a support to the first location and the service debate of a supplication of the absent of the ev					
activity. () Both activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the invited ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN participants are from countries that are impoverished not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and GROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALese is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALese who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither GSE in or CROP are limited spots pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of the region and the properties of the country and the properties of the properties of the country and the properties of the country and the properties of the properties of the country and the properties of the properties of the country and the properties of the properties of the properties of the country and the properties of the properties of the country and the regulator's call for opinions. Support to the First Indians school on the Country and the properties and the properties and the properties of the properties of the country and the properties and country and the properties of the properties of the country and the properties of the properties of the country and the country and the properties of the properties of the country and properties of the properties of the prop					
c) Both activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the invited ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN related organisations in Al-large. These discussions will go beyond outreach and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them. d) Both applicants are from countries that are impoverished not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRAALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for un region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither GSE nor CROP are limitiess pools of money and that these applications to more and that the sea optications in the mass of the countries have a size of the properties of the countries have a size of the properties of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been also apply the properties of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been also apply the properties of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been also and the country is community members in Internet Governance has been also and the country's community members in Internet Governance has been also and the country is community members in Internet Governance has been also and the country is community members in Internet Governance has been also and the country is commun					
invited ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN related organisations in Al-large. These discussions will go beyond outreach and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them d) Both applicants are from countries that are impoverished not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our AL-Ses is a strategic internet for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the Wo AL-Ses who took the time to put their explications forward. We also recognise after interior SE not CROP are limities pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hos applications when these applicants had taken the time to put their explications from Bangladesh and Pelestino be given \$2000 each as a contribution from AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution from AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution from AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution from AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution of more AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution of more AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution of more AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution of more AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution of more AL-targe to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution of more AL-targe to					
other ICANN related organisations in At-large. These discussions will go beyond outreach and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them d) Both applicants are from countries that are improverished not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose cradentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put the rapplications forward. We also recognise that nether GSC ROP are limited pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the rink of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to PESC. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes who took the time to perform the first that the filter would well as a strategic intent for our regions that the filter would be the more applications would be thrown into the rink of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to PESC. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes who too who the time to apply to PESC. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events and the support to perform the rink to apply to perform the rink to perform the rink of the performance and th					
actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them d) Both applicants are from countries that are impoverished not only financially but also with regards to information about (CANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither CSE nor CROP are limitless pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad noc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to FSSC. That APRALO LT would recommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 such as a constitution from AL-targe to support their event objectives; for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APPALO LT would recommend that the applications from budget? Internet Covernance (15 ICG 2016) (from ISOC TRY, ISOC Delhi) FY17-52 India has a population of 12 bit Billion (Jan 2015), and an Internet are base that is east approaching 500 million. Despite the wery large and valve base, participation of the country's community members in internet Covernance has been relatively be 2 bit Billion (Jan 2015), and an Internet were base that is east approaching 500 million. Despite the wery large and valve base, participation of the country's community members in internet Covernance thates on Net Neutrality and Zero Rating, where more than 10 million users responded to the regulator's call for opinions. One of the most significant reasons of the low participation in Internet					
d) Both applicants are from countries that are impoverished not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither GSE nor CROP are limitless pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to PISC. The APRALO LT would incommend that the applications from Bangiatesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as a contribution from Ast large to support their event delicenses, for example as a contribution broads travel support to get an AFRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the effice would support their event delicenses, for example as a contribution broads travel support to get an AFRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the effice would support their event delicenses, for example as a contribution broads travel support to get an AFRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the effice would support the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the effice would support to the example and the example					
regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that nether GSE nor CROP are limities pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to FSEC. The APRALO_LT would recommend that the applications from Bangladeth and Pelestins to be given \$2000 sea as a combination form ALsappe to support their event developes or event pelestics, that the office would support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the office would support this from their own budget? Support to the First Indian School on Internet Governance (ISI Governance ISI Comments of ISI Comme					
internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to up their applications forward. We also recognise that entires GSE nor CROP are limitless pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to FBSC. The APRALO LT would recommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$200 sach as a contribution from the large to august the properties of the contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO, member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, what the office would support this from their own budget? FY17-52 India has a population of 1.28 billion (Jan 2016), and an Internet user base that is fast approaching 500 million. Despite the very large end-user base, participation of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been included the page of the very large end-user base, participation of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been included to the own participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IG. The FBSC requested from country is community in particular and Civil Society in general, and to stimulate their participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IG. The FBSC requested from country is a participation in Internet Governance					
by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues. The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes when the supplications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to FBSC. The APRALO IT. would recommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as a contribution from Ar-Large to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the office would support their own budget? India has a population of 1.28 billion (Jan 2016), and an Internet user base that is fast approaching 500 million. Despite the very large end-user base, participation of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been relatively low. At the same time, there has been widespread participation in Internet Governance has been relatively. Now. At the same time, there has been widespread participation in Internet Governance has been including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IC. One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IC. In order to enhance capacity of the Internet End-User Community in particular and Civil Society in general, and to this activity. One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can particular and Civil Society in general, and to this activity					
The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that enteriter CSE nor CROP are limited spots of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applications had taken the time to apply to FBSC. The APRALO LT would recommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as a contribution from ALLarge to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the office would support this from their own budget? Support to the First Indian School on Internet Governance (IS IC 2016) (from ISOC TRV, ISOC Delhi) FY17-52 Indian School on Internet Governance (IS IC 2016) (from ISOC TRV, ISOC Delhi) FY17-52 Indian School on Internet Governance (IS IC 2016) (from ISOC TRV, ISOC Delhi) One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IC. One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IC. One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IC. One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IC. The FBSC requested more clarity ne					
The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither GSE nor CROP are limitless pools of money and that these applicants would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to FBSC. The APRALO LT would recommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as a contribution from At-Large to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the office would support this from their own budget. Support to the First Indian School on Intended Governance (IS Indian School on Intended Governance) (IS Indian School on Intend					
ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither GSE nor CROD are limitless pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to FBSC. The APRALO IT would recommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as a contribution from At-Large to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the office would support this from their own budget? Support to the First Indian School on Internet Goverance (IS Indian School on Internet Goverance) and the very large end-user base, participation in Internet Goverance and the total control of this activity. Submitt. The FBSC requests the very large end-user base, participation of the country's community members in Internet Goverance has been relatively low. At the same time, there has been widespread pricipation in Internet Goverance has been relatively low. At the same time, there has been widespread pricipation in Internet Goverance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IG. The FBSC requested more clarity needed. Initial stimulate their participation in Internet Goverance (ISIG 2016) in July 2016 (tentatively). ISIG 2016 aims to bring together about 25 participation in the first batch and is expected to be an annual programme. Resource persons drawn from the International IG Community will handle sessions. The syllabus has been loosely based on the APSIG syllabus (used with permission). Most of the funding for the program wil			to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues.		
contribution from At-Large to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. Lam assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the office would support this from their own budget? Support to the First Indian School on Internet Governance (IS IG 2016) (from ISOC TRV, ISOC Delhi)			ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither GSE nor CROP are limitless pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had		
Indian School on Internet Governance (IS IG 2016) (from ISOC TRV, ISOC Delhi) the very large end-user base, participation of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been relatively low. At the same time, there has been widespread participation in India in the recent debate on Net Neutrality and Zero Rating, where more than 10 million users responded to the regulator's call for opinions. One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IG. In order to enhance capacity of the Internet End-User Community in particular and Civil Society in general, and to stimulate their participation in Internet Governance (ISIG 2016) in July 2016 (tentatively). ISIG 2016 aims to bring together about 25 participants in the first batch and is expected to be an annual programme. Resource persons drawn from the International IG Community will handle sessions. The syllabus has been loosely based on the APSIG syllabus (used with permission). Most of the funding for the program will be generated from internal sources (particularly from NIXI, Delhi), but the organizers are also applying to ICANN (through this proposal) and ISOC (if they have funding available). Additional Information on this request. The APRALO Leadership Team provided reasons why they support submitting this request			contribution from At-Large to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle		
One of the most significant reasons for the low participation in Internet Governance, particularly through institutions including ICANN, is the low awareness about how and why users can participate in IG. In order to enhance capacity of the Internet End-User Community in particular and Civil Society in general, and to stimulate their participation in Internet Governance activities, ISOC Delhi and ISOC-TRV, both member ALSes of APRALO, are jointly planning to organize the first India School on Internet Governance (ISIG 2016) in July 2016 (tentatively). ISIG 2016 aims to bring together about 25 participants in the first batch and is expected to be an annual programme. Resource persons drawn from the International IG Community will handle sessions. The syllabus has been loosely based on the APSIG syllabus (used with permission). Most of the funding for the program will be generated from internal sources (particularly from NIXI, Delhi), but the organizers are also applying to ICANN (through this proposal) and ISOC (if they have funding available). Additional Information on this request. The APRALO Leadership Team provided reasons why they support submitting this request	Indian School on Internet Governance (IS IG 2016) (from ISOC	FY17-52	the very large end-user base, participation of the country's community members in Internet Governance has been relatively low. At the same time, there has been widespread participation in India in the recent debate on Net Neutrality	requests that \$2,000 is allocated in partial support	to ICANN
In order to enhance capacity of the Internet End-User Community in particular and Civil Society in general, and to stimulate their participation in Internet Governance activities, ISOC Delhi and ISOC-TRV, both member ALSes of APRALO, are jointly planning to organize the first India School on Internet Governance (ISIG 2016) in July 2016 (tentatively). ISIG 2016 aims to bring together about 25 participants in the first batch and is expected to be an annual programme. Resource persons drawn from the trenational IG Community will handle sessions. The syllabus has been loosely based on the APSIG syllabus (used with permission). Most of the funding for the program will be generated from internal sources (particularly from NIXI, Delhi), but the organizers are also applying to ICANN (through this proposal) and ISOC (if they have funding available). Additional Information on this request. The APRALO Leadership Team provided reasons why they support submitting this request	Titty, Idoo Bellilly			The FRSC requested	
Most of the funding for the program will be generated from internal sources (particularly from NIXI, Delhi), but the organizers are also applying to ICANN (through this proposal) and ISOC (if they have funding available). APRALO Leadership Team provided reasons with they support submitting this request.			stimulate their participation in Internet Governance activities, ISOC Delhi and ISOC-TRV, both member ALSes of APRALO, are jointly planning to organize the first India School on Internet Governance (ISIG 2016) in July 2016 (tentatively). ISIG 2016 aims to bring together about 25 participants in the first batch and is expected to be an annual programme. Resource persons drawn from the International IG Community will handle sessions. The syllabus has been	more clarity needed. Initial thoughts from FBSC is that this activity should be funded through ICANN,	
why they support submitting this request				additional information on this request. The APRALO Leadership	
			**	why they support	
			Additional Information on this Activity:		

- This would be the first-ever IG School in India, and tactically it is important that ICANN is also associated with it. Most
 of the funding for the initiative is likely to come from National Internet eXchange of India (NIXI, Govt. of India), and a
 smaller amount from ISOC.
- 2. After the heated Net Neutrality debate that started from 2015, there is a significant interest in IG in India, but there are no schools yet. Therefore, the ISIG 2016 initiative has a good chance of taking off and becoming a biannual (or even more frequent) school after a while, given the size of India's Internet user community.
- 3. The current initiative is led by two APRALO ALSes, ISOC-TRV and ISOC-Delhi, and is therefore community-led. The announcement of the initiative has already generated quite a bit of interest, and several other civil society institutions may join (including the largest ALS in At-Large, Computer Society of India).
- 4. In the meantime, there is currently a lively debate happening in Asia Pacific, through the Asia-Pacific School on Internet Governance (APSIG) initiative, on the need for more/better IG Schools in Asia-Pacific. APSIG, which is closely associated with APrIGF, is likely to be launched at the APrIGF 2016 meeting at Taipei in July 2016.
- 5. APSIG is also positioned as a community initiative. The APSIG Steering Committee is composed mostly of APrIGF MAG members and an academic group led by Prof. Kilnam Chon of Keio University, Japan. APSIG has requested that ISIG 2016 join the broader regional community IG School initiative that will share IG training content as well as resource persons and models. ISIG considers that this is good idea that will lead to building synergy for the community model in the Asia Pacific region.
- 6. It is therefore logical and natural that funding support for ISIG 2016 is provided through a community mechanism rather than an ICANN corporate or regional development mechanism. Further, funding through other organs of ICANN may require co-ordination and reporting through the ICANN Staff hierarchy rather than ALAC/At-Large. Funding through ALAC/At Large will also provide better visibility for ALAC, APRALO and Art-Large. The precievous ownership of the IG School by ALSes of the APRALO community also strengthens perceptions on the effectiveness of the At-Large mechanism within ICANN.

In summary:

- The initiative is a **partnership** between prominent regional and national **community organizations** It **fostersengagement** between ALSes in a region of strategic interest for At-Large
 It **provides capacity building** for ALSes as well as end-user communities
 It **generates learning content** in generic and region specific forms that may be reused by others (in forms such as subtitled videos and ebooks that At-Large may be interested in)

Additional information from the APRALO Leadership Team on why this request should be supported by the FBSC for submission:

APRALO LT support the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine because:

- a) both the individuals who have made these applications are active participants in APRALO and At-Large discussions, and APRALO is very appreciative of their continued positive engagement
- b) both individuals have made applications in good faith that they are supporting ICANN in one of the few ways that they can, through an appropriiate event that is supported by other organisations as well to demonstrate to their communities that ICANN is a partner in their
- c) Both activities propose to facilitate discussions about name and number issues involving the invited ICANN participants alongside local participants who are actually working with IANA and other ICANN related organisations in At-large. These discussions will go beyond outreach and actually engage people in discussions about name and number issues that impact them.
- d) Both applicants are from countries that are impoverished not only financially but also with regards to information about ICANN and what it does and how it can support the delivery of the internet within their part of our region. Both applications would like the information to be provided by people whose credentials are their formal connection to ICANN. These matters are important to both cultures. Both countries have major internet issues

The APRALO LT recognises that GSE and CROP funding are available for these types of events. But support for our ALSes is a strategic intent for our region to encourage engagement, which is why we appreciated that the two ALSes who took the time to put their applications forward. We also recognise that neither GSE nor CROP are limitless pools of money and that these applications would be thrown into the mix of more ad hoc applications when these applicants had taken the time to apply to FBSC.

The APRALO LT would recommend that the applications from Bangladesh and Palestine be given \$2000 each as a contribution from At-Large to support their event objectives, for example as a contribution towards travel support to get an APRALO member to the event or any other event need. I am assuming that if they invited someone from the Middle East office, that the office would support this from their own budget?

EURALO	EURALO Members' Program at the 16 th ICANN Studienkreis meeting, 6-7 October 2016 in Dubrovnik, Croatia (from Olivier Crepin-Leblond, EURALO Chair)	FY17-58	EURALO requests funding for 5 EURALO members to participate in a workshop for EURALO Members at the ICANN-Studienkreis to take place on 6-7 October 2016 in Dubrovnik, Croatia. The ICANN Studienkreis, the German expression for "ICANN Study Group", is an open network composed of experts in the Internet industry who are dedicated to organizing high-level expert seminars on issues regarding ICANN development and internet governance. Individuals and constituencies from the private sector, government, academic institutions, the civil society and the media participate in yearly conferences organized by ICANN Studienkreis. ICANN Studienkreis focuses 100% on ICANN topics and thus is a perfect opportunity for EURALO Members to hold a workshop on the key policy issues facing Europe within EURALO and ICANN. The workshop being proposed will consist of both experienced and newer EURALO members to ensure the Workshop both provides value and is educational. The ICANN Studienkreis is essentially attended by participants in ICANN's ecosystem and includes influential participants. ICANN's President and CEO Fadi Chehadé has attended the last 2 ICANN Studienkreis, as the conference is directly related to ICANN activities. Other speakers included several ICANN Board members per meeting. Meeting these participants is an essential part of the experience the EURALO participants will have, an opportunity they will not have as easily in other fora. Requests similar to this one have been made in past years and have all been rejected. In retrospect, the ALAC Finance and Budget Sub-Committee finds this exceedingly difficult to understand given the activity's focus on ICANN, heavy participation of ICANN (non-At-Large) community members, Board Members and Senior ICANN staff. The Finance and Budget Sub-Committee finds this exceedingly difficult to understand given the activity's focus on ICANN, heavy participation of ICANN (non-At-Large) community members, Board Members and Senior ICANN staff. The Finance and Budget Sub-Committee respect	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
LACRALO	LACRALO GA during ICANN 57 in Puerto Rico (from Alberto Soto, Chair of LACRALO)	FY17-53	The proposal is to hold a Latin America and Caribbean Regional At-Large Organization (LACRALO) General Assembly (GA) that would bring together one representative from each LACRALO At-Large Structure (ALS). The objective of the General Assembly is for the ALS representatives from LACRALO to have the chance to meet face-to-face to discuss the critical issues to the future of LACRALO including to build trust among all ALSes, improve the working environment of all ALSes in the Latin American and Caribbean region, to engage in intense ruse of procedure drafting, to foster strategic development and to participate in capacity building. The GA will serve to address the long-running issues which have reached the point at which it is not possible for LACRALO to engage in productive policy work. The proposed date and location is requested to be at ICANN 57 (Meeting C) scheduled to take place 29 October - 4 November 2016 in San Juan, PR. The days of the LACRALO General Assembly are 31 October through 2 November. The location of Puerto Rico, a territory that is bilingual EN/ES, is an ideal location for the EN/ES speakers of LACRALO members to work on strengthening their trust, leading to more clear rules of procedure and a more effective engagement within the At-Large community. Previous LACRALO General Assemblies were held in Mexico City in 2009, Costa Rica in 2013 and London 2014. The LACRALO meetings at the requested General Assembly will focus on three specific components: 1. Building trust among ALS representatives and raise awareness of value-creation discussions – in a frank examination of relations, interests, communication and expectations, the General Assembly will aim to discover what needs to be done, both by ICANN and the LACRALO community, to allow members to engage in an environment open and welcoming to all to better represent the best interests of end-users within the LACRALO region. 2. Drafting and getting toward agreement of new, more effective LACRALO rules of procedure – to provide an environment	Submit. The FBSC supports the funding of this activity conditional on continued improvement within LACRALO. The location of this event may be changed if ICANN 57 is relocated. The FBSC initially gave its conditional approval based on placing request into official template and changing GA location to ICANN 57. UPDATE: Revised request finalized and posted.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.
			environment that will act the ALSes within the region to work intole effective (logetine), new clearer fules of procedure (in both EN and ES) that will facilitate greater trust within the region, will be drafted with the aim to reach agreement, or at minimum, a timetable for the agreement of the new rules. 3. Strategic Development sessions – a session will be held to begin the development of strategic plans for all ALSes within the region. This would include conversations with GSE staff from Latin America and the Caribbean to foster greater collaboration on common strategic goals. We note that the proposed time and location will maximize the value of such a meeting while minimizing its cost: • The location is well served by airfare to San Juan for many Latin American and Caribbean ALSes • A volunteer Organization Committee within LACRALO, in collaboration with staff, will work to identify the topics for the General Assembly sessions and help organize them.		

LACRALO	FY17-13-LACRALO-Capacity Building workshop on DNSSEC, IPv6 technical community for the academic network reaccium (Submitted by Raitme Citterio of LACRALO.	FY17-13	The proposal is to hold the first Capacity Building workshop on DNSSEC, IPv6 technical community for the academic network reaccium. Location Barquisimeto – Venezuela. It is a university city where top 5 universities in Venezuela where careers are studied telecommunications and information technology focus. where the predominant language is Spanish. That defines it as the best place for members of the university network face to face are to receive training in the areas of DNSSEC and IPv6. Agenda - 3 days of training in the area of DNSSEC (ICANN sent a specialist). - 2 days of training in the area of IPv6 (a member of the ISOC Chapter Venezuela will be the instructor). The objective of the Capacity Building workshop: Promote academic network in the greater openness about the need to improve the stability and security of the academic network using DNSSEC and IPv6; highlighting the importance of security mechanisms they can be implemented on IPv6. Since the academic network currently only 3 universities are generating traffic based on IPv6 as chapter we want to increase the number of universities that can drive traffic to IPv6. This forms our strategy as ALS is to support the academic network providing information on these important issues for both our members and the rest of the art community of the academic network. THE GOALS OF THE PROJECT - Provide training in the area of security (DNSSEC, IPv6) as a mechanism to ensure greater stability and security of the entire academic network with academic experts ICANN to have the opportunity to meet experts in the area of implementing DNSSEC (ICANN) and exchange relevant information. We note that the proposed time and location will maximize the value of such a meeting while minimizing its cost: - The location is well served by airfare to major cities around the country. - A volunteer Organization Committee within LACRALO, in collaboration with staff, will work to identify the topics for the General Assembly sessions and help organize them.	Note: This FY17 request did not go through the announced ALAC/At-Large process for At-Large FY17 Special Requests. It was submitted directly to the ICANN Controller)	
NARALO	NARALO General Assembly (Draft NARALO GA submissi on - Authors Eduardo, Judith and Glenn This is an update of last years' submission for the GA @Arin)	FY17-54	The proposal is to hold a North American Regional At-Large Organization (NARALO) General Assembly (GA) – that is, bringing together one representative from each North American At-Large Structure (ALS), as well as the selected representative for unaffiliated members, at a single location for a combination of strategic development, intense policy development, capacity building and outreach and engagement. The proposed date and location is specifically requested to be at ICANN 57 (Meeting C) scheduled to take place 29 October - 4 November 2016 in San Juan, PR. Previous NARALO General Assemblies were held in Mexico City in 2009, Toronto in 2012 and London 2014. This request for a NARALO General Assembly is in line with the timeline for RALO General Assemblies and the next At-Large Summit as developed by the ALAC. The NARALO meetings at the requested General Assembly will focus on three specific components: 1. How to better engage our ALSs – also known within ICANN as "inreach" – in a frank examination of expectations, program execution and community adhesion, we intend to deliver a one-day workshop intended to discover what needs to be done, both by ICANN and the NARALO community, to maximize volunteer participation, increase the ability to represent the best interests of end-users, as well as increase ICANN's on the street relevance. 2. Policy briefings on critical ICANN issues intended to ensure that NARALO ALS representatives are adequately informed to educate their ALS memberships, and that they are better able to channel bottom-up end-user perspectives and ideas into the At-Large community's policy debates. NARALO Commethers have traditionally been the source of a large proportion of At-Large's policy initiatives, and such interactive briefings are intended to go beyond the traditional webnar, to maintain and enhance the capability for the NARALO commethers have traditionally been the source of a large proportion of At-Large's policy initiatives, and such interactive briefings are intended to go beyond t	Submit.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.

	Creation of Augmented Reality (AR) Multimedia Resources (from Alfredo Calderón)		ICANN has created introductory guidebooks for newcomers / learners on various aspects of the ICANN Eco space. These materials are either limited print format or on a non-interactive PDF Format. This proposal suggests an updating of the materials to become Augmented Reality (AR) printed resources (infographics, short videois, enhanced comic strips, etc.) for use in conjunction with mobile devices, and other devices capable of displaying multimedia resources.	Withdrawn.	
	Budget		Secondly, the material would take advantage of the add-on features of audio reading and video for existing and new material developed. This represents an enhancement to a previous initiative approved. Here is a link to a short video clips produced by Glenn McKnight and Alfredo Calderon on the topic - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8kAK-VHwltA. Request funding is \$5,000. (Attachment 1: Budget) Key Benefits Printed material (e-books, hardcopy material) enhanced with AR are searchable. You can easily search for any information in an e-book, instead of turning page after page. Printed material with AR can be interactive and contain audio, video and animations, which can enhance the message that the author is trying to convey. With some resources tracking of downloads and clicks through are features embedded as part of design. Free wide scale distribution channels to share e-books (i.e. Google Play, Archive.org, iTunes, Amazon and more. Augmented Reality (AR) e-Books allows views of "real world" with additional information, presented digitally. 3D images can be integrated with the appropriate tools. Short video clips using AR Markers will be explored as an engagement approach.	The FBSC gave a conditional approval based on removing payment to community member and changing title to more accurately describe output. UPDATE: Request withdrawn on 4 February 2016 by Alfredo Calderón	
NARALO	Pilot Tribal Ambassadors Proposal for ICANN 57 (from Native Public Media)	FY17-55	On behalf of tribal communities across the United States, Native Public Media (NPM) respectfully requests your consideration of the Tribal Ambassadors Pilot Project (Project). The Project addresses the digital divide throughout Indian Country in the United States by enhancing ICANN's outreach to Native American stakeholders. Specifically, the Project aims to generate increased awareness and understanding of educational opportunities available for internet governance development within un-served and understanding of educational opportunities available for internet governance development within un-served and understanding of educational opportunities available for internet governance development within un-served and understanding of educational opportunities and characteristics of Native communities. An ambassadorship opportunity for one student at one ICANN conference with two adult coaches will help NPM to protect and advance the rights of tribal communities in the digital age will facilitate that Native Americans have a voice at the ICANN policymaking table.	Submit. The FBSC gave its conditional approval based on of request being changed to a pilot for one meeting in North America. UPDATE: Pilot request revised and posted.	Submitted to ICANN Controller.

FY17 ALAC Criteria for Special Requests:

- · Outreach should not be a major focus. Activities to improve engagement with existing members is encouraged.
- If any request is made on outreach, it should probably go through the CROPP program and not this special request process.
- · Proposals should include ways in which will make the ALAC and/or the At-Large community more effective and develop the At-Large community.
- RALO requests must go through a bottom up process within their RALO prior to being submitted for consideration by the FBSC.
- RALOs should consider the General Assembly/Summit timeline (NARALO and AFRALO are scheduled for requesting General Assemblies during FY17)
- They must be explicitly supported by the RALO representative on the FBSC.
- Staff is currently expecting that basic resources for community communications/printing will be supported through the core ICANN budget, but
 that community proposals for printing, etc. will be accepted as a back-up in case expectations change. Specific proposals for communications
 resources beyond simple printing and editing functions should be prepared and submitted.

FY17 Community Special Budget Request Timeline

FY17 Community Special Budget Request Timeline	Start	End
Kick off and Submission Period	15 December 2015	Extended to 17 February 2016
FBSC representatives and/or staff to send notice of opening of FY17 special request process to RALOs	21 December 2015	24 January 2016
RALOs to review any ALS request or complete a template on behalf of the RALO and send requests to staff@atlarge.icann.org	4 January 2016	22 January 2016
Discussion of Proposals with Finance Staff and FBSC	25 January 2016	29 January 2016
Revised proposals to be sent to the FBSC for final review.	5 February 2016	5 February 2016
The FBSC to review all the RALO requests.	8 February 2016	12 February 2016
Submission Deadline (Staff will send the FBSC approved requests to Finance on 15 February 2015.)	15 February 2016	15 February 2016
Preliminary review of requests by ICANN staff	16 February 2016	4 March 2016
SO/AC consultations at ICANN 55 (by request, during Constituents' Day)	5 March 2016	10 March 2016

Final assessments and recommendations by ICANN staff	15 March 2016	31 March 2016
ICANN Board Review and approval at April Board meeting	1 April 2016	

15 December 2015 marks the launch of the FY17 SO-AC Community Additional Budget Request process. As you know, this process pertains to a dedicated part of the overall ICANN annual budget that is set aside to fund specific requests from the community for activities that are not already included in the recurring ICANN budget.

Important Documents and Resources

FY17 SO/AC Additional Budget Requests - Finance wikipage (Please note what is new to the process) -

Deadline for submission extended to 17 February 2016 - CC: ICANN-Controller controller@icann.org
Subject: Re: [community-finance] FY17 SO-AC Community Additional Budget Request Process

Dear Community Members and Leaders,

ICANN staff has received requests for short extensions from several SO/AC groups. As a result, we are extending the deadline to submit all requests to <u>17 February</u>. It is our hope that this extra time will allow you to submit your requests so they can be considered for the FY17 budget.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Regards, Taryn

Taryn Presley Sr. Manager, Financial Planning and Analysis

In preparation for the submission, please review the following documents:

- FY17 Community Special Budget requests process description and timeline FY17 Community Additional Budget Request Process.pdf
- Principles that govern ICANN's request assessment work Principles of SO-AC Additional Budget Requests (vFY17-2015).pdf
- FY17 template request form FY17 Community Requests Template.docx