

Draft Recommendation 25



Draft Recommendation 25

That the GNSO Council commission the development of, and implement, guidelines to provide assistance for groups wishing to establish a new Constituency.

| | |
|--|--|
| Working Party (initial assessment of feasibility and usefulness): | CG - Accept as is. |
| Staff (initial assessment of feasibility and usefulness): | <div><input type="checkbox"/> Accept As-Is</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Accept With modification</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Reject</div> <div>Rationale: MK: Accept with modification. Note that this information already exists (see hereunder). If this is not sufficient, the recommendation should provide further guidance on how these guidelines and/or assistance can be improved.</div> |
| Basis for Assessment: | |
| Work in Progress: | Such assistance is provided by the SO/AC Engagement Team led by Rob Hoggarth. See also http://gnso.icann.org/en/about/form-new-constituency.htm . |
| Expected Completion Date for Work in Progress: | |
| Milestones: | |
| Responsibility: | Staff |

Public Comments Received

| C o m m e n t # | Submit ted By | Affili ation | Comment |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Recommendation 25 (Participation and Representation): That the GNSO Council commission the development of, and implement, guidelines to provide assistance for groups wishing to establish a new Constituency. | | | |
| 29 | Paul Diaz | gTLD Regis tries Stake holde r Group | (Support) The transparency of applications that is recommended in #24 would also help those that are considering applying for a constituency. |
| 66 | Osvaldo Novoa | ISPCP | (Support) This action should be undertaken following structural reform that addresses the concerns express in the comments to Recommendation #23. The conclusion reached within the report that 'the current structure of two Houses, four Stakeholder Groups, and multiple Constituencies, is very complex but allows for functional diversity while maintaining the balance of voting power between the Contracted and Non-Contracted Parties' Houses' totally misses the point. Whilst maintaining the voting balance is an important factor it is NOT an overriding reason to maintain a structure that is broken and substantially disadvantages a large part of the GNSO Community. |
| 176 | Laura Covington, J. Scott Evans, Marie Pattullo | Busin ess Cons tituen cy | The BC fully supports that the GNSO Council commission the development of, and implement, guidelines to provide assistance for groups wishing to establish a new Constituency, however we refer you to our comments on the overall GNSO structure. |

| | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|------|--|
| 2 62 | Greg Shatan | IPC | (Support) Feedback to the Review team appears to indicate that a number of respondents have found the constituency application process less than clear. In the interests of encouraging wider participation and representation, therefore, the IPC supports the development of guidelines. |
| 2 86 | Amr Elsadr | | The GNSO Council is not responsible for supporting the development of new constituencies; it is responsible for management of the GNSO's primary purpose, the process used to develop gTLD policies. |
| 3 36 | Olivier Crepin- Leblond | ALAC | (Support) The ALAC supports this recommendation. |