

At-Large Briefing on CWG on IANA Transition 2015-04-27

Adobe Connect Chat

Terri Agnew: Dear all, Welcome to the At-Large Briefing on CWG on IANA Transition call held on Monday, 27 April 2015 at 19:00 UTC

Terri Agnew: meeting page: <https://community.icann.org/x/iConAw>

Beran Gillen: hello everyone

Yasuichi Kitamura@Washington, D.C.: hi, all

Lise Fuhr: Hello all

Alberto Soto: Hello everyone! From Buenos Aires, I will wait for you!!

Jimmy Schulz: Hello everyone

Tijani BEN JEMAA: Terri, can u make Adigo call me?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Hi Alan

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: Hello All!

Gisella Gruber: @ Alberto - do you need a dial-out by the operator for the Spanish channel?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Hi Jimmy

Jimmy Schulz: Hi Cheryl

Alberto Soto: @ Gisella, please! I can not by Adigo... My number 54 11 5368 1318, thanks!!

Gisella Gruber: @ Alberto - we will call you now

Gisella Gruber: @ Olivier - audio very bad

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: there is some distortion on OCL's line :-(

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Hi Lise :-)

Alberto Soto: @ Gisella: Thanks, I'm online

Gisella Gruber: @ Alberto - perfect

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: Just to let you know my line dropped & Adigo called me again - so my line quality should have improved

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: you can also select full screen option for the slides upper right of the display Webinar pod

Gisella Gruber: @ Olivier - thank you for the info

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: The slides can also be downloaded from the Webinar's agenda, under "Towards a Final proposal by Lise Fuhr (v3.0 23 April 2015)"

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: Gisella, could you please enlarge what is being shown on screen? Thanks.

Heidi Ullrich: @JJS, you can expand the screen to full screen by clicking on the four outward facing arrows at the top right of the slide pod.

Gisella Gruber: @ Jean-Jacques - you can select full screen on your computer (top right)

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Heidi & Gisella: yes, done that, but some small print remains unreadable. Thanks.

Alan Greenberg: @JJS, presentation linked to from the agenda. You can download it and look at it locally.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: thanks.

Alan Greenberg: <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/52898440/Lise%20Fuhr%20Webinar%20slides.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1430139466072&api=v2>

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: Reminder of Agenda Page link: <https://community.icann.org/x/iConAw>

Seun Ojedeji: Hello everyone

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: And PLEASE do use the template for the PC responses from yourselves, your ALSes or RALO's the ALAC will of course do so ;=)

a: Going into the template is a diversion. Stick to the proposal and questions.

Jimmy Schulz: But don't forget to show the template pls

Gisella Gruber: Reminder to please state your names for transcription purposes as well as our interpreters on the French and Spanish channels

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: It is more in line with the current AOC mandated RT's in this way EXACTLY @Lise

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Lise, thanks for the additional explanation. I beg to differ, as I think underlining the "legal separation" would have symbolic value.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Of course if there is an unresolvable issue that is escalated up and triggers a review it can happen at greater frequency than the "at least every 5 years"

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Agree @Alan

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Tijani, interesting point.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Lisa, it does not seem that CSC would have "board" status...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Nor should it @JJS

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Cheryl: naturally, but this is to answer Tijani's point about governance.

Seun Ojedeji: I guess the direction arrow implies ICANN could independently task PTI beyond scope without IRF recommendation, not sure that was the intent, however if it is, I guess there will be a cwg mechanism to remedy that.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I do not see that there is a Governance Model, because of the Accountability matters that have been outlined

Alan Greenberg: It would have been nice to have had a specific Board composition recommended, but we didn't make it.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Alan: thanks. That's actually the real sociological reason.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Exactly @as Lise says the PTI needs to be a VERY lightweight Board...

Alan Greenberg: Exactly HOW light-weight is still to be determined.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I thought the work of DT-C M and ?L was it would still continue as a matter of developing this in the next phase... @Alan

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Alan: and some would be delighted if it was built so light that it would be insignificant...

Seun Ojedeji: One of the points raised within the CWG was that the overall role of PTI could to some extent determine what the composition will look like

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Olivier: yes, a diagram of possible escalation would be useful.

Alan Greenberg: @jjs. Understood. I am one of those who do not understand or support the ultra-light-weight concept.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: back now you dropped for a moment I think...

a: Alan, what is ultra-light-weight in this proposal?

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Alan: that's exactly the point. And if we don't call for this level of oversight, it won't happen automatically later on.

Alan Greenberg: Not in the proposal, not yet decided, but the IT is the PTI Board.

Alan Greenberg: Who is "a"

Gisella Gruber: A = Alejandro Pisanty

Gisella Gruber: Alejandro sorry!

a: I apologize

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Particularity with the IF the INDEPENDANCE there is essential!

Grace Abuhamad: Public Comment page: <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/cwg-stewardship-draft-proposal-2015-04-22-en>

Seun Ojedeji: Not the same community but it's also expected that the groups will be filled by members of the ICANN community...although possibility of extending that beyond ICANN community was also raised within the CWG...still work in progress

a: but Alan, NOTHING is light-weight in this proposal

Alan Greenberg: Well, not nearly as heavy-weight as its predecessor!

Yasuichi Kitamura@Washington, D.C.: what is the superior part of the CWG proposal to the number community or to the protocol community? just wondering. sorry for my simple wondering.

a: meager consolation, Alan

Yasuichi Kitamura@Washington, D.C.: especially for the naming community....

Seun Ojedeji: @a i think that could depend on how things gets further streamlined....you may also notice that a functional separation was mentioned in the proposal so it may be possible that this could infact be an option. However there was more support towards the legal separation within the CWG but noting is cast-in-stone yet, so the community could indeed make that happenduring the PC

Alan Greenberg: Alejandro, I trust you know you can change your "a" if you wish - pull-down at top right of attendee box (unless you like being so terse!)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: in my view the PTI Board needs to be as lean as possible, and not some duplication of our larger MS- teams and Boards we create, so a small Board min 3 and Max 1 from each AC/SO + any Advisors, but if it gets to the 4,5 or 7 per entity the Accountability issues created are not IMO worth it at all so again I support the LEANEST possible PTI Board we can design is essential

Alan Greenberg: @CLO, a combination of sources of Board members can lend a bit of sanity and lack of group-think, even if it is not a balanced MS group.

Alan Greenberg: sanity = sanity

Alan Greenberg: Perhaps we can sell ICANN to them!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I agree @Alan that is the min of say 3-4 model that I can live with

Seun Ojedeji: does sources of board members mean ICANN board members?

Alan Greenberg: PTI Board members

Alan Greenberg: But one could reasonably come from ICANN Board

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: indeed @Alan

Avri Doria: that is one reason why i suggest that any further separation can only be done by a full CWG upon recommendation by the IFR team.

Avri Doria: ie. further separation once initiated by the IFR must be as hard as this step is being.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I agree @Avri and as Lise says as a matter of only the most extreme and :Last Resort!

Seun Ojedeji: i think its a good point CW and it will be good to have it on PC

Alan Greenberg: We DO need to ensure that IANA is not captured while WITHIN ICANN!

Alan Greenberg: I think that is a much larger possibility

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: no Cast Iron promises can realistically be made but our accountability measures are as Lise says is also essential here... it would take a Whole Community our MS one to instigate such a 'separation'

Avri Doria: first there would be a 9 month IFR to decide that there was a problem serious enough for further separation. and then, if my suggestion holds, another group of the whole community would be charged with figuring out what to do.

Avri Doria: and that 'what to do' could possibly include further separation

Avri Doria: 5 years not 15

Seun Ojedeji: @Tijani 2 years initially, then every 5 years

Avri Doria: and if called for by CSC & the soac

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: @CW we can create a minimal risk and risk of capture mitigated model but not a cast iron promise, BUT the best efforts model should be resilient enough to avoid the matter ever happening as long as we have a continually engaged and interested MS Community...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks Lise... appreciate you making the effort to present to our At-Large Community...

Seun Ojedeji: +1 to CLO

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Yes I did mention that in a chat earlier @Avri

Lise Fuhr: bye all thank for your questions

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Bye Lisa --talk soon :-)

Seun Ojedeji: Bye Lise....thanks

Gisella Gruber: Thank you Lise

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: Thanks very much Lise

Seun Ojedeji: So the review is triggered by CSC but followed up by the SOs and not necessarily CSC escalating to IRF

Grace Abuhamad: Yes, that is correct Seun.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Of course Alan the open text parts should give us enough option(S) to comment I trust...

Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Have to step away - thanks for the presentation - most informative

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: That would be perfect @Alan so the ALAC one needs to be available as early as possible

Otunte Otuneh: My apologies for joining late

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: @Otunte: you have the option of listening to the recording later; no worries!

Grace Abuhamad 2: Email address to submit comment template: comments-cwg-stewardship-draft-proposal-22apr15@icann.org

Ron Sherwood: Across many discussions on this subject, including the ALAC and the ccNSO, I have repeatedly heard participants say for the NTIA to accept any input from these various working groups, there must be "consensus" so I want to see everyone sign off on this conclusion. I have not seen or heard a definition of "consensus" other than that most participants are in agreement and others can "live with the conclusion" even though they do not agree with it. I have also seen the statement that "everyone" must be in agreement. The latter statement implies that if one participant does not agree... then the conclusion is not acceptable to the NTIA (or even to the working group. This statement is essentially implying that every participant has veto power. That statement has never been seen or heard by this participant. can anyone confirm or deny this situation.

Jimmy Schulz: I missed the link to the template.

Otunte Otuneh: @Olivier, thanks

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: it is included in the agenda page

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: @Kimmy: it is included in the agenda page

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: Kimmy -> Jimmy

Seun Ojedeji: <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/52898440/cwg-stewardship-input-template-pdf-22apr15.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1430131054160&api=v2>

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks @Grace

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: very efficient OCL :-)

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: <https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=52898396>

Jimmy Schulz: thx

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I assume we will also be discussing this in the next IANA Issues meeting

Alberto Soto: Thanks!!!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks everyone Good Call.... Bye for now

Gisella Gruber: Thank you for joining today!

Aida Noblia: Thanks . By all

nigel hickson: Thanks all; very interesting.

Seun Ojedeji: which is next monday....and yes i think it should be the main agenda item for the cwg half

Alberto Soto: By all!!

Seun Ojedeji: thanks and bye...

Seun Ojedeji:goodnight
Matthieu Camus:thank you, bye !
Ariel Liang:Thanks all bye bye
Gordon Chillcott:Bye, all.
Heidi Ullrich:Thanks, All!
Ron Sherwood:Bye all
Cheryl Langdon-Orr:And so my day begins :-)