Recommendation 13

Summary of recommendation: ALAC should strive to provide policy advice on any issue that affects individual Internet users. To this end, the following should be strengthened: ALAC's internal policy-advise processes; the SOs' processes for requesting ALAC's policy input; and

the SOs', ACs' and Board's processes for providing ALAC with feedback on the use of its policy advice.

Summary of tasks in implementation:

- ICANN policy development processes both within and outside ALAC/At-Large will be reviewed, and any barriers to ALAC's participation will be identified. Staff will propose measures to reduce these barriers.
- Policy development processes within the SOs and ACs for requesting and considering ALAC input will be strengthened.
- Processes of the SOs, ACs and Board need to be developed/strengthened to provide feedback on how ALAC's advice has been used within their processes.

Rec.	Sub- Task	Implementation Task	Status	Notes
13.1		Review ALAC's/AtLarge's Policy Advice Development processes and propose measures to reduce barriers	Substantial ly Complete	
	13.1(a)	Ratify the process reflected in the flowchart titled "Figure D-1: How the ALAC Should Request an Extension to a Public Comment Period" (see Appendix 2), by which the ALAC could request that a Public Comment period be extended. This proposed process includes the following steps (see Figure D-1 in Appendix 2): The ALAC's deciding on the number of days needed for the extension; The ALAC's submitting an extension request; and If the request is denied, the ALAC's deciding on next steps (i.e., whether to submit a comment or not).	Complete	See: ICANN Public Participation Committee See: Figure D-1 in Appendix 2
	13.1(b)	Request that extensions to Public Comment periods be allowed of any length up to 30 additional days (see "A" on Figure D-1 in Appendix 2).	Superseded	This sub-task was superseded as it subject to change in ICANN's Public Participation Committee. See: Figure D-1 in Appendix 2
	13.1(c)	Request that any extension request to a Public Comment period be granted or denied within 24 hours (see "B" on Figure D-1 in Appendix 2).	Superseded	This sub-task was superseded as it subject to change in ICANN's Public Participation Committee. See: Figure D-1 in Appendix 2
	13.1(d)	Recommend the establishment of a Policy Scheduling Team (PST), consisting of ICANN staff, to coordinate the opening of Public Comment periods. The PST should: Be comprised of an ICANN staff member assigned to each SO and AC and the Language Services Manager; and Maintain a publicly available Policy Comment Schedule (PCS), which tracks upcoming Public Comment periods, in order to (a) avoid many such periods opening around the same time, (b) allow the ACs and SOs to better plan their time and (c) allow better planning for needed translations. (For each upcoming Public Comment period, the PCS should Include the policy name, a synopsis, the responsible AC or SO, and tentative opening date.)	Complete	The Policy Staff proivde one another with weekly updates on the policy updates of the ACs/Sos that they each support.
	13.1(e)	Establish a standing committee, the ALAC/At-Large Policy Review Committee (PRC), responsible for advising the ALAC of actions needed regarding upcoming PCS policy issues, as well as policy issues not on the PCS but of At-Large interest. The working of the PCS is depicted in the flowchart titled "ALAC/At-Large Policy Review Committee (PRC)" (see Figure D-2 in Appendix 2), The specific responsibilities of the PRC should include advising the ALAC as early as possible of: • ALAC comments needed in response to upcoming Policy Comment periods; • At-Large community briefings, documentation or translations needed for upcoming Policy Comment periods; • The degree of interest that the RALOs and ALSes have in the ALAC's submitting comments during Policy Comment periods; and • Policy issues (and other concerns) relevant to end users that are <i>not</i> on the PCS but that the RALOs and ALSes are interested in bringing to ICANN's attention. In order to perform its function, the PRC should be comprised of: • The Chair and Secretariat of each RALO; • At least two additional representatives from each RALO; • The ALAC Raporteur; and • The ALAC Raporteur; and	Substantiall y Complete	This sub-task is to be completed by the Prague Meeting. See: Figure D-2 in Appendix 2

	13.1(f)	Ratify the process reflected in the flowchart titled "ALAC/At-Large Policy Advice Development (PAD) Process" (shown in Figures D-3, D-4 and D-5 in Appendix 2), by which the ALAC could systematically respond to Public Comment periods.	Substantiall y Complete	Ratification of this sub-task is to take place online or at the ICANN San Jose Meeting.
		This flowchart represents a comprehensive, flexible, systematic process that would replace the At-Large Policy Advice Development process currently in use.		See: Figure D-3 in Appendix 2
		The proposed process is divided into five stages, made up of the following steps:		See: Figure D-4 in Appendix 2
		Stage 1: Policy is available for comment (see Figure D-3, Stage 1, in Appendix 2) The ALAC notifies At-Large that the policy for Public Comment is available in English, Spanish and French.		See: Figure D-5 in Appendix 2
		Stage 2: Within 10 calendar days after the policy is available (see Figure D-3, Stage 2, in Appendix 2) The ALAC decide whether or not to submit a comment;		
		 The ALAC decides if, at this stage, it needs an extension of the deadline and, if so, requests one; 		
		The ALAC assigns a standing or ad hoc Working Group (WG) to create a first draft of the comment; and The ALAC decides if a community briefing call is precided and, if an expression are		
		 The ALAC decides if a community briefing call is needed and, if so, requests one. Stage 3: At least 9 calendar days before the deadline (see Figure D-4, Stage 3, in Appendix 2): The assigned WG informs the ALAC whether or not an extension of the deadline is needed at this stage (if considered needed, the ALAC can request the extension); The WG makes its draft ALAC comment available for review and comments by At-Large; and loncorporating relevant discussions and comments, the WG produces the final ALAC comment. Stage 4: At least 7 calendar days before the deadline (see Figure D-5, Stage 4, in Appendix 2): The ALAC starts an ALAC ratification vote on the final comment. Stage 5: At least 1 calendar day before the deadline (see Figure D-5, Stage 5, in Appendix 2): If the final comment is ratified, the ALAC requests the At-Large staff to transmit the comment to the ICANN staff person responsible for the Public Comment period. 		
13.2		Strengthen policydevelopment processes within the SOs and ACs for requesting and considering	Supersede	This task is no longer relevant for the following reasons:
		ALAC input	d (see notes) Watching Brief	As part of the GNSO'sreview and revision of its Policy Development Process (PDP) during the last year, it now regularly requests and considers ALAC input; The standing ALAC liaison to the ccNSO and the ccNSO liaison to the ALAC now ensure that ALAC input is regularly sought and considered in the ccNSO's PDP.; and
				The ASO PDP, via the RIRs, is already open to public input.
	13.2(a)	Review current process in each AC/SO.	Superseded	
			Watching Brief	
	13.2(b)	Develop recommendations to make relevant changes for community consideration	Superseded	
			Watching Brief	
13.3		Processes between SOs, ACs and the Board need to be developed/strengthened to provide feedback on how ALAC advice has been considered and used within their processes	Supersede d (see notes)	This Recommendation is no longer relevant as: • A strengthening of AC/SO communication, including a
			Watching Brief	mailing list and regular AC/SO call. The actions of ICANN Growth in Cross-Constituency Working Groups The DSSA This task is already specifically addressed byRecommendation 7 of the Final Recommendations of the Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT). (31 Dec 2010).
13.4		Ensure the GNSO PDP incorporates measures that guarantee input from ALAC is requested, included, and considered integral to the process	Supersede d (see notes)	This Recommendation is no longer relevant because:
			Watching Brief	As part of the GNSO's review and revision of its Policy Development Process (PDP) during the last year, it now regularly requests and considers ALAC input. The task is no longer relevant because, as part of the GNSO's review and revision of its Policy Development Process (PDP) during the last year, it now regularly requests and considers ALAC input.
13.5		Ensure the ccNSO PDP incorporates measures that guarantee input from ALAC is requested, included, and considered integral to the process	Supersede d (see notes)	This task is no longer relevant, since the standing ALAC liaison to the ccNSO and the ccNSO liaison to the ALAC now ensure that ALAC input is regularly sought and considered in the ccNSO's PDP. These liaisons allow for significant collaborative input into each other's policy and other work.
12.6		Faculty the ACO DDD in account of the Acounty of th	Brief	
13.6		Ensure the ASO PDP incorporates measures that guarantee input from ALAC is requested, included, and considered integral	Substantial ly Complete	The ALAC and its Improvements Taskforce established that the ongoing responsibility for this task will be disseminated amongst ALAC Chair, the Executive Committee, the Director of At-Large, and RALO leaders.

Comments (accepted in the 6 UN languages):