

# New gTLDs WG 05-12-2011 - Summary Minutes -EN

Participants: Avri Doria, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Evan Leibovitch, Dev Anand Teelucksingh, Cintra Sooknanan, Sebastien Bachollet, Carlos Aguirre, Fouad Bajwa

Apologies: Olivier Crepin Leblond, Hong Xue, Yaovi Atohou, Mohamed El Bashir, Tijani Ben Jemaa

Staff: Heidi Ullrich, Gisella Gruber

## **Meetings from now until the end of January 2012.**

Continuing to alternate between 1400 and 2100 UTC.

12 Dec - 2100 UTC

19 Dec - 1400 UTC

26 Dec - No meeting - ICANN end of 2011 closure

2 Jan - 2100 UTC

9 Jan - 1400 UTC

16 Jan - 2100 UTC

23 Jan - 1400 UTC

30 Jan - 2100 UTC

As an agenda item on 23 Jan, the WG will review:

1. need for weekly meetings
2. success and value of alternating schedule
3. possible new schedule

Scheduling to be confirmed by Gisella.

## **Update on Support Conversations (re: Board/Staff/ Community/At-large Team on the implementation of the JAS recommendations)**

- A meeting of the Board/Staff/Community/At-Large Team will be held on 5 December 2011.

The following JAS WG participants: Rafik Dammak (GNSO, co-chair of JAS WG), Avri Doria (At-Large, Chair ALAC New gTLD WG GNSO), Alan Greenberg (At-Large, ALAC Liaison to GNSO), and Cintra Sooknanan (At-Large) are the current set of members in this group.

Board members: Sebastien Bachollet, Bertrand de La Chapelle, Chris Disspain (Chair of the Board WG), George Sadowsky, Mike Silber

Staff: Dan Halloran, Karen Lentz, Kurt Pritz, Wendy Profit, and Karla Valente.

Avri Doria will provide updates to the At-Large new gTLD WG on the progress of the Board/Staff/Community/At-large Team on the implementation of the JAS recommendations.

## **ALAC Advice on most recent Applicant Guidebook**

Evan Leibovitch volunteered to help someone draft a letter, but there was no volunteer for creating the letter itself. There was some support for this activity. There was stronger support for drafting a note on the background on this topic.

The sending of a letter does not seem to have sufficient support and is not planned.

The creation of a backgrounder on At-Large concerns is considered a good idea, but will be a background task for the WG.

## **Objection Process: Discussion and Blue Sky**

Comments from Wiki/Etherpad were read into the record and discussed

Formal objections to applications can be filed on any of four enumerated grounds

At-Large believes there are two points for objection: limited public interest and At-Large community objections (TBC)

Dev Anand Teelucksingh's comments:

"From a reading of the gTLD Applicant Guidebook, it looks the ALAC has standing to object on "Limited Public Interest Objection" grounds ; According to Page 154 of the gTLD Applicant Guidebook (19 Sept 2011 clean version) "Established institutions associated with clearly delineated communities are eligible to file a community objection" or the Independent Objector (Page 156)"