

# New gTLDs WG 16-07-2012 AC Chat

Gisella Gruber-White: Welcome to the At-Large gTLD WG call on Monday 16 July at 1300 UTC  
Gisella Gruber-White: Agenda: <https://community.icann.org/x/BBMQAg>  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Yay! Second  
Gisella Gruber-White: Welcome Dev and Tijani  
Gisella Gruber-White: Audio on Adigo Bridge :-)  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Great, not hearing anything though  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Also not seeing the option to use my mic to speak  
Gisella Gruber-White: 1 second for audio  
Gisella Gruber-White: Adobe Connect audio connected  
Alan Greenberg: dialing in now.  
Evan Leibovitch: I \*might\* have something to add at the end if we have time. Still waking up  
avri: ok, i will ask again when we get there.  
Evan Leibovitch: Regarding "private" generic-word registrations  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: <https://community.icann.org/display/newgtdrg/At-Large+new+gTLD+Applications+Dashboard+Workspace>  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/newgtdrg/2012-July/000024.html>  
Gisella Gruber-White: Andrew Mack has joined  
Gisella Gruber-White: Can everyone hear Avri?  
Heidi Ullrich: Thanks for updating my notes, Avri. I'm only 1/2 way into my first cup of coffee :)  
Gisella Gruber-White: Carlos Watson has joined  
Evan Leibovitch: @Alan: IMO I think it is that some of the comments are not coming \*our\* way as people will be saving their bigger problems/concerns in comment on the applications themselves.  
Evan Leibovitch: I agree with Alan. There are many people who don't like some of the applications but their complaint doesn't fall into one of the four limited objection criteria.  
Evan Leibovitch: And the independent objector can only object under two of those criteria -- community and MAPO  
Rudi Vansnick: sorry for being late  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: @evan or directed enough to the evaluation panels  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Also to mention : there was a presentation during Prague : <http://prague44.icann.org/node/31761> ; two key takeaways - - for the public interest and community objections, All parties have to make full payment of ALL costs when the ICC (the DRSP responsible for handling Public Interest and Community) publishes the estimates costs for the panels to hear the objection. Minus the non-refundable filing fee, the prevailing party or parties are refunded the costs at the end of the panel decision.- the DRSP will publish a notice on their website on receipt of the filing fee (5000 euros for public interest and community objections) by the objector during the 7 month objection period. The notice has such information such as the objector, the applicant and date and time received, but NOT the text of the objection statement itself.  
Gisella Gruber-White: Andrew - do you have your mic on?  
Andrew Mack: can no one hear me?  
Evan Leibovitch: Both Cintra and Rafik would be good. I have a coin.  
Gisella Gruber-White: @ Andrew - no  
Heidi Ullrich: No, Andrew.  
Heidi Ullrich: Are you speakers on?  
Andrew Mack: I agree with Evan. I think this is a non issue and either candidate would be good  
Gisella Gruber-White: Andrew is on AC audio  
Gisella Gruber-White: NOT on Phone bridge  
Andrew Mack: trying to talk through adobe  
Heidi Ullrich: Andrew, Gisella will work with you to enable you to speak through the AC.  
Rudi Vansnick: if i may make a proposition : I move forward Cintra for this function  
Andrew Mack: ok, finally on the phone  
Evan Leibovitch: welcome  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Is there a problem if both are on the CMR ?  
Gisella Gruber-White: @ Andrew - please see my private chat re connecting audio  
Evan Leibovitch: @Andrew +1  
Gisella Gruber-White: Adela Danciu has joined the call  
Evan Leibovitch: I am in an unfortunate position of (personally) opposing one of the JAS applicants ... not for support, but for existing at all.  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: there is also an issue with one of the three applications being ICANN's list of banned geographic strings.  
Alan Greenberg: It would balance the GNSO/ALAC issue  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Exactly  
Alan Greenberg: But apparently not...  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: <https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/Letter+to+ALAC+on+At-Large+New+gTLD+WG+charter+item+2>  
Heidi Ullrich: Draft Charter: <https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/Letter+to+ALAC+on+At-Large+New+gTLD+WG+charter+item+2>  
Carlos Watson: so sorry everyone, I lost my presentation, Carlos Watson from Costa Rica, Lacralo group !!!  
Evan Leibovitch: I'm on the pessimistic side. I don't think there'll be another round for at least five years.  
Rudi Vansnick: what's the deadline for this approval ?  
Alan Greenberg: How about .church being owned by a church?  
Evan Leibovitch: My biggest concern is that any time that ICANN gets involved in judging the purpose/intent of a registry (as opposed to the string itself) there have been problems (vis .XXX)  
Rudi Vansnick: the approval for the CMR function  
Rudi Vansnick: ok thanks  
Heidi Ullrich: Thanks, Everyone! Thanks for chairing, Avri!  
Rudi Vansnick: rhanks Avri  
Alan Greenberg: @Evan Not saying "ICANN" should or should have made decision on value. Just that what we have done may end up vbeing problematic.  
Carlos Watson: bye  
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: bye all