

# At-Large Objection Statements for RALO Review Workspace

## Summary of RALO advice to the ALAC regarding the 5 objection statements to new gTLD applications

### Advice from the RALOs to the ALAC regarding the objection statements

#### Summary of RALO advice received

The RALO's advice to the ALAC were in the form of answers to the 5 questions with the possible answers of either

- Yes, support the filing of the objection statement by the ALAC against applicant,
- No, NOT support the filing of the objection statement by the ALAC against applicant OR
- Abstain

Objection statements NOT supported by at least three RALOs will not be considered by the ALAC. For those objection statements that are supported by three or more RALOs, the ALAC will decide whether to file such objection statements to the Dispute Resolution Service Provider (DRSP) before the end of the objection period on March 13, 2013.

- 3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the [objection statement against applicant "DotHealth, LLC" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)
- 3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the [objection statement against applicant "Goose Fest, LLC" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)
- 3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the [objection statement against applicant "dot Health Limited" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)
- 3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the [objection statement against applicant "Afiliias Limited" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)
- 1 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the [objection statement against applicant "Stable Tone Limited" for the "" string](#)

Therefore, the ALAC will have to consider the filing of the

- [objection statement against applicant "DotHealth, LLC" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)
- [objection statement against applicant "Goose Fest, LLC" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)
- [objection statement against applicant "dot Health Limited" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)
- [objection statement against applicant "Afiliias Limited" for the ".HEALTH" string](#)

As the [objection statement against applicant "Stable Tone Limited" for the "" string](#) failed to get RALO support, this statement will not be considered by the ALAC.

The RALO's advice can be read in the comments on the [Advice from the RALOs to the ALAC regarding the objection statements](#) page

## Introduction

As per the process by which the ALAC can object to a new gTLD application, (see PDF at <http://bit.ly/how-ALAC-files-objection-to-new-gTLD>), the new gTLD Review Group (gTLD RG) have posted objection statements to 5 new gTLD applications for Regional At-Large Organisation (RALO) review and advice to the ALAC. The gTLD RG drafted the statements based on the outcomes of its review and discussion of comments received by February 8 2013 (see <http://atlarge-lists.icann.org/pipermail/at-large/2013q1/009493.html>)

All 5 RALOs must review EACH of the 5 gTLD applications and their corresponding objection statements and advise the ALAC as to whether the RALO supports the objection statement to be filed by the ALAC to the new gTLD application.

No modifications can be made to the objection statements by the RALO.

The 5 questions RALOs must answer in their advice to ALAC can be found on the [Advice from the RALOs to the ALAC regarding the objection statements](#) page. RALOs can answer Yes, No or Abstain.

This advice for each of the 5 objection statements must be sent to the ALAC by **March 6 2013, 23:59 UTC** so that the ALAC can review the RALO advice before the end of the objection period on March 13 2013.

Note that all of the objection statements are based on [community objection grounds](#). For such an objection to be successful, the objector must prove that:

- The community invoked by the objector is a clearly delineated community; and
- Community opposition to the application is substantial; and
- There is a strong association between the community invoked and the applied-for gTLD string; and
- The application creates a likelihood of material detriment to the rights or legitimate interests of a significant portion of the community to which the string may be explicitly or implicitly targeted.

See the [community objection grounds](#) page for more details about the criteria for objection grounds.

The five applications and corresponding objection statements that the RALOs must review and advise the ALAC as to whether the RALO supports the objection statement has been posted to the following wiki pages (listed in no particular order):

Members of At-Large can post and review comments on these wiki pages before March 6 2013.

Applied for string	Status	Applicant	Objection Statement	Wiki Page for more information, including RALO comments
.HEALTH	3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the objection statement	<a href="#">DotHealth, LLC</a>	<a href="#">draft-objection-statement-against-applicant-DotHealth-LLC-for-.HEALTH-25-feb-2013.pdf</a>	<a href="#">objection statement against applicant "DotHealth, LLC" for the ".HEALTH" string</a>
.HEALTH	3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the objection statement	<a href="#">Goose Fest, LLC</a>	<a href="#">draft-objection-statement-against-applicant-GooseFest-LLC-for-.HEALTH-25-feb-2013.pdf</a>	<a href="#">objection statement against applicant "Goose Fest, LLC" for the ".HEALTH" string</a>
.HEALTH	3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the objection statement	<a href="#">dot Health Limited</a>	<a href="#">draft-objection-statement-against-applicant-dot-Health-Limited-for-.HEALTH-1-mar-2013.pdf</a>	<a href="#">objection statement against applicant "dot Health Limited" for the ".HEALTH" string</a>
.HEALTH	3 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the objection statement	<a href="#">Afiliias Limited</a>	<a href="#">draft-objection-statement-against-applicant-Afiliias-Limited-for-.HEALTH-25-feb-2013.pdf</a>	<a href="#">objection statement against applicant "Afiliias Limited" for the ".HEALTH" string</a>
.	Only 1 out of 5 RALOs supported the filing of the objection statement. Therefore, the ALAC will not be considering this objection statement.	<a href="#">Stable Tone Limited</a>	<a href="#">draft-objection-statement-against-applicant-Stable-Tone-Limited-for--1-mar-2013.pdf</a>	<a href="#">objection statement against applicant "Stable Tone Limited" for the "" string</a>

(Note : The objection statements against applicants "dot Health Limited" and "Stable Tone Limited" released on 25 February 2013 was updated on March 1 2013 to remove incorrect references to other applicants in the statements.)