

At-Large Ad-hoc WG on the Transition of US Government Stewardship of the IANA Function 2015-03-12 AC Chat

Terri Agnew: Dear all, Welcome to the At-Large Ad-hoc WG on the Transition of US Government Stewardship of the IANA Function Thursday, 12 March 2015 at 13:30 UTC

Terri Agnew: meeting page: <https://community.icann.org/x/mhAnAw>

Tijani BEN JEMAA: Hi Terri

Tijani BEN JEMAA: can u plz make Adigo call me

Terri Agnew: Hi Tijan, yes, they will dial out to you

Tijani BEN JEMAA: thx Terri

Yasuichi Kitamura: Hi, all

Leon Sanchez: Hello everyone

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Hi

Terri Agnew: Please remember to mute your line when not speaking

Terri Agnew: Action Items from the meeting of 05 March 2015: <https://community.icann.org/x/KA4nAw>

Terri Agnew: IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group Issues Proposal Assembly and Finalization Process and Updated Transition Process Timeline: <https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2-2015-01-07-en>

Terri Agnew: IANA CWG Meeting #27 (10 March): <https://community.icann.org/x/RwsnAw>

Terri Agnew: IANA CWG Meeting #28 (12 March): <https://community.icann.org/x/SgsnAw>

Terri Agnew: Design teams: <https://community.icann.org/x/pAknAw>

Terri Agnew: DT-A Service Levels Expectations: <https://community.icann.org/x/CA4nAw>

Terri Agnew: DT-B Assessment of the Level of Consensus within the ccTLD Community in Regard to a Possible Appeal Mechanism for ccTLD Delegations and Redelegations: <https://community.icann.org/x/GhEnAw>

Terri Agnew: DT-C CSC: <https://community.icann.org/x/HxEnAw>

Mohamed EL Bashir: the 2 received proposals are satisfactory and complete as per ICG RFP and NTIA requirements and the NTIA, the only issues which required requesting clarifications from the 2 communities is the issue of IANA IP/[IANA.org](https://www.iana.org) domain rights which was covered in the protocol proposal (which proposed IETF Trust to manage those), the numbers proposal did not mention this issue

Danny Younger: I volunteered to join Team D

Avri Doria: I have put myself forward for M, and am provisionally leading N

Danny Younger: Noted. Thanks for the invite.

Terri Agnew: Welcome Glenn McKnight

Glenn McKnight: Hi Danny Haven't heard from you for a while

Danny Younger: Hi Glenn. Always watching, not always commenting.

Avri Doria: I think they need to be subscribed participants.

Glenn McKnight: @ IGF USA is being planned now for Washington on June 8th

Terri Agnew: Welcome Carlton Samuels

Carlton Samuels: Morning all. Hi Danny, good to see you here

Danny Younger: Good Morning Carlton

Glenn McKnight: @ Carlton hey bro

Carlton Samuels: @Glenn: Howdy brotherman

Avri Doria: At this point on Team N: Periodic Review of IANA Functions, just at the stage of defining the template with all of the minimum required information; the template is in a drive document open to suggestions and comments <<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1pjRsvePXLHrK0zzFmMcavzvSXehds5FTCEUPPcc7K6w/edit?usp=sharing>>

Gordon Chillcott: Thank you, Eduardo

Carlton Samuels:@Eduardo: Reasonable concern for sure. But the design team cannot start until they have a design brief for all the various pieces they are working on.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Correct @Avri

Carlton Samuels:There could be multiple solutions out of design but what must happen is that every design will be presented for examination

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:it is focussed upon operational outcomes that are agnostic to the model chosen so that this work is substantively done before things like Legal advice etc., comes into play

Carlton Samuels:@OCL: My intuition is that the choice in the end shall be informed by the design output itself since they will respond to very specific points in any proposal

Carlton Samuels:@Tijani: He wants to know if the design output would influence the proposal chosen

Carlton Samuels:@OCL: Design Teams are engaged in "Implementation Design"; meaning, how to operationalize a proposal.

Carlton Samuels:It is the best way to decide what is fit to purpose!

Carlton Samuels:@Tijani: Exactly! 3 different proposals and the question is IF we are to examine them dispassionately, which of these will A) Deliver the solution that is best for business B) Can be implementable in context of the end game defined by Uncle Sam/NTIA

Terri Agnew:Mohamed El Bashir confirmed he will not be able to rejoin today's meeting

Gordon Chillcott:Thanks, Avri - your explanation makes me more comfortable.

Terri Agnew:Client Committee: <https://community.icann.org/x/8g8nAw>

Terri Agnew:Sidley Austin LLP: <http://www.sidley.com/>

Terri Agnew:Client Committee Kick Off Call (9 March 2015): <https://community.icann.org/x/hxAnAw>

Leon Sanchez:I am also signed up as an observer

Carlton Samuels:@Legal Advice: In these matters you look for what the instructions to counsel outline and how they communicate. The engagement letter - in my part of the world it's called a brief - is carefully drafted. Not a whole helluva lot to cavil now until we see what is operationalize.

Carlton Samuels:@OCL: EXACTLY! If you think any sensitive thing will be handled via email I have a bridge to sell you! Connects the island of Manhattan to Brooklyn. Been in the family for quite a bit. :-)

Carlton Samuels:I can't speak

Carlton Samuels>Please read

Carlton Samuels:@OCL: What needs to happen is a set of specific questions MUST be asked each time the lawyers are in earshot. Then you record the answers because the language chosen in answer will reveal a lot; what is routine, what is tricky, how much can be shared.

Carlton Samuels:I hear a baby gurgling...

Leon Sanchez:not mine

Carlton Samuels:LOL

Terri Agnew:CCWG Accountability : <https://community.icann.org/x/IIMHAW>

Carlton Samuels:@OCL: Its a joy to hear! It makes me know I'm alive and well....so long as they go home at night

Eduardo Diaz - (ALAC):I need to move away from the computer, Will be in audio only

Terri Agnew:@Eduardo, thank you for the update

Terri Agnew>Welcome Beran Gillen

BERan Gillen:better late than never

BERan Gillen:hello everyone

Terri Agnew:@Tijani, your line is choppy

Leon Sanchez:Tijani's audio is breaking

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:As should be expected with Stress Testing :-)

Avri Doria (isoc-ny):one thought. If we manage to create a community veto. and the Board overrules it, that could be one enabler of a spill the board vote. Another might be the refusal to go along with an IAP or IRP ruling.

Avri Doria (isoc-ny):that is if I understood Tijani's request correctly.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Can't wait to see what you think is convenient for me @Leon :-)

Avri Doria (isoc-ny):i tought inividual impeachment was a WS2 issues.

Avri Doria (isoc-ny):i agree that there need to be objective triggers, and that was why i offer 2 examples.

Tijani BEN JEMAA:Avri, can we plz work together to set some objective standards

Gordon Chillcott:This time works for me

Danny Younger:OK

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Good Call ... Thanks all... Talk again soon...

Gordon Chillcott:Thanks and bye for now.

Leon Sanchez:Thanks everyone!