

At-Large Revised Proposal of the ACDR to Serve as a UDRP Dispute Resolution Service Provider Workspace

Comment Close Date	Statement Name	Status	Assignee (s) and RALO(s)	Call for Comments	Call for Comments Close	Vote Announcement	Vote Open	Vote Reminder	Vote Close	Date of Submission	Staff Contact and Email	Statement Number
22.03.2013	Revised Proposal of the ACDR to Serve as a UDRP Dispute Resolution Service Provider	Alan Greenberg to confirm if a Statement is necessary	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	Samantha Eisner samantha.eisner@icann.org	TBC

Comment / Reply Periods (*)

Comment Open Date:

1 March 2013

Comment Close Date:

22 March 2013 - 23:59 UTC

Reply Open Date:

23 March 2013

Reply Close Date:

13 April 2013 - 23:59 UTC

Important Information Links

[Public Comment Announcement](#)

[To Submit Your Comments \(Forum\)](#)

[View Comments Submitted](#)

Brief Overview

Originating Organization:

ICANN staff

Categories/Tags:

- Second-Level Domains

Purpose (Brief):

To receive further comment on the Arab Center for Domain Name Dispute Resolution's revised proposal to serve as one of the official dispute resolution service providers for the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP).

Current Status:

The Arab Center for Domain Name Dispute Resolution's (ACDR) initial proposal to serve as an approved dispute resolution service provider was posted for public comment in September 2010. The ACDR revised its proposal in light of the comments received, and the revised proposal is now being submitted for public comment.

Next Steps:

After the close of the public comment forum and summary and analysis of those comments,, staff will evaluate further recommendations to the Board on proceeding with the revised proposal.

Staff Contact:

[Samantha Eisner](#), Senior Counsel

[Email Staff Contact](#)

Detailed Information

Section I: Description, Explanation, and Purpose:

ICANN has received a revised proposal from the Arab Center for Domain Name Dispute Resolution (ACDR) to be recognized as one of the official dispute resolution providers under the UDRP. The proposal was submitted pursuant to the process specified at <http://www.icann.org/en/dndr/udrp/provider-approval-process.htm>.

The ACDR is jointly established by the Arab Intellectual Property Mediation and Arbitration Society (AIPMAS) and the Arab Society for Intellectual Property (ASIP), with headquarters in Amman, Jordan and additional offices in other Arab Countries. Both the AIPMAS (established in 1987) and ASIP promote the activities of the Arab Center of Mediation and Arbitration, established in 2003, active in resolving conflicts related to intellectual property through international arbitrators. If approved, the ACDR would be the first Approved UDRP Dispute Resolution Service Provider headquartered in an Arab state.

Section II: Background:

The ACDR's proposal was initially posted for public comment in September 2010. The Comments can be viewed at <http://forum.icann.org/lists/acdr-proposal/>, and the summary and analysis of comments is available at <http://forum.icann.org/lists/acdr-proposal/msg00007.html>. The revised proposal under consideration today takes into account many of the comments received. For example, the ACDR's proposal now provides a meaningful limitation of 50 cases per month during the start up process. In the version that was originally posted for public comment, the ACDR requested a limitation of 5000 cases.

Section III: Document and Resource Links:

The ACDR's Revised Proposal and Relevant Annexures are available as follows:

- [ACDR Proposal](#) [PDF, 145 KB]
- [Annex 1 – ACDR Initial List of Panelists](#) [PDF, 99 KB]
- [Annex 2 – ACDR Screening Process](#) [PDF, 56 KB]
- [Annex 3 – ACDR Supplemental Rules](#) [PDF, 101 KB]

As provided for within the process, the ACDR has requested that its internal operating rules be maintained as confidential.
Section IV: Additional Information:
Commenters are requested to limit their comments to the substance of the ACDR's revised proposal.

(*) Comments submitted after the posted Close Date/Time are not guaranteed to be considered in any final summary, analysis, reporting, or decision-making that takes place once this period lapses.

FINAL VERSION TO BE SUBMITTED IF RATIFIED

The final version to be submitted, if the draft is ratified, will be placed here by upon completion of the vote.

FINAL DRAFT VERSION TO BE VOTED UPON BY THE ALAC

The final draft version to be voted upon by the ALAC will be placed here before the vote is to begin.

FIRST DRAFT SUBMITTED

The first draft submitted will be placed here before the call for comments begins.