

AC Chat: 2018-11-14 Consolidated Policy Working Group Call

Yesim Nazlar: (11/14/2018 15:12) Welcome to the At-Large Consolidated Policy Working Group (CPWG) Call taking place on Wednesday, 14 November 2018 at 13:00 UTC.

Yesim Nazlar: (15:12) Agenda: <https://community.icann.org/x/RgTVBQ>

Evin Erdogdu: (15:44) Hello all, welcome and special thanks to those joining from IGF!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@clo3): (15:51) Hi all

John Laprise: (15:54) Good morning

Yesim Nazlar: (15:54) Welcome all!

Marita Moll: (15:57) Hello all. I will only be able to stay for the first hour. Have to jump to a WT5 call after that. Did my slides arrive?

John Laprise: (15:57) sound good

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@clo3): (15:58) Yes I too will be heading to the WT5 call

Yesim Nazlar: (15:58) @Marita: Your slides are received

Holly Raiche: (15:58) Did Jonathan forward my slides?

Yesim Nazlar: (15:58) @Holly: yes, received your slides as well

Yrjö Länsipuro: (15:58) Hello, greetings from the IGF

Jonathan Zuck: (15:59) Bon Jour mes amis!

Marita Moll: (16:00) Et bonjoir à toi aussi Jonathan.

Joanna Kulesza 2: (16:01) Hi everyone!

Lilian Ivette De Luque: (16:02) Hi everyone

Marita Moll: (16:02) Is there any audio yet. I make it 8:02

Yesim Nazlar: (16:02) can't you hear Marita?

Marita Moll: (16:03) No, not hearing anything

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@clo3): (16:05) Highly obstructive approach :-)

Marita Moll: (16:05) Okay, have some sound now

Yesim Nazlar: (16:06) @Marita: Glad it's solved

Bastiaan Goslings: (16:11) apologies for being late - connectivity issues

Yesim Nazlar: (16:12) Welcome Bastiaan

Sebastien: (16:13) +1 @ Bastiaan the same here

Jonathan Zuck: (16:15) there aren't any

John Laprise: (16:18) I am unhappy with the "veto" situation and I think these are shared. Maureen should consider having a word with her counterparts at the GNSO to relate our strong concerns.

Jonathan Zuck: (16:19) They don't care. We need to find other points of leverage.

John Laprise: (16:20) Then we need to write joint advice with the GAC to the Board

Hadia Elminiawi: (16:20) may I please receive dial out

Alan Greenberg: (16:20) @Jonathan, I agree. A word with their leaders will only reinforce that we don't understand their position.

Yesim Nazlar: (16:20) @Hadia: dialing out to you now

Hadia Elminiawi: (16:24) I just wanted to mention we are not losing it is rather a partial success - we still could come up with good recommendations, we still require more input and feedback to act upon and the public comments received in this regard are crucial and cannot be ignored so be ready folks for that

Jonathan Zuck: (16:25) Makes sense @Hadia

Evin Erdogdu: (16:26) Thank you for the presentation @Marita

Jonathan Zuck: (16:27) because even a parked page would be subject to those restrictions

Jonathan Zuck: (16:27) subject to contract compliance efforts

Jonathan Zuck: (16:29) do pics cross ownership booundries?

Holly Raiche: (16:29) Putting something in the actual contract makes sense - because it enforceable by Compliance

Joanna Kulesza 2: (16:29) +1

Jonathan Zuck: (16:30) that's an interesting campaign generally

Alan Greenberg: (16:30) I think the "panel" should be used if there is a claim of misuse of a city name counter to the contractual terms.

Jonathan Zuck: (16:31) at large. but YES.

Yrjö Länsipuro: (16:32) Yes, let's try to involve as many as possible of those 27

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@clo3): (16:32) That is a perennial problem of course Marita anything that can be done will be geat

Justine Chew: (16:32) Metrics! ;)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@clo3): (16:33) Singing my song @Justine :-)

Jonathan Zuck: (16:33) You KNOW I'm supportive of metrics. Metrics Man!

Jonathan Zuck: (16:43) unfortunately not . the "ultimate" disposition tends towards genericds because of economics

Lilian Ivette De Luque: (16:44) my apologies I must retire I have a medical appointment just at this time. good rest of day

Jonathan Zuck: (16:44) it's more about making it a little less risky to try to create a niche tld

Jonathan Zuck: (16:45) and there's money involved...

Jonathan Zuck: (16:45) indeed

Alan Greenberg: (16:52) Re accuracy: yes in the 2013 RAA, but with a *HUGE* gap (Huge = about 180 million registration)

Yrjö Länsipuro: (16:57) Leaving to join the WT5 call

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@clo3): (16:58) Sorry I need to disconnect now to join the SubPro WT5 call... re next meeting please check other meetings scheduled to avoid clash and overlap where possible.... Bye for now

Marita Moll: (16:59) Going to have to leave. Thanks for a good call.

Hadia Elminiawi: (16:59) Bye yryo and cheryl

Hadia Elminiawi: (16:59) sorry bye Yrjö

Hadia Elminiawi: (16:59) thank you Marita

Jonathan Zuck: (17:00) FINALLY GDPR is helpful in some way!

Joanna Kulesza 2: (17:00) +1 @John

John Laprise: (17:00) :)

A-Eduardo Diaz: (17:01) Need to go too!

Joanna Kulesza 2: (17:02) The EU practice has always been to put a due diligence obligation on data controlers, also they need to inform the data subject if they get their data from anyone else (less to note that noone is allowed to share third party date)

Bastiaan Goslings: (17:02) thanks a lot, Holly!

Joanna Kulesza 2: (17:02) again - not picking a fight, just sharing my thoughts here

Joanna Kulesza 2: (17:03) +1 for Google docs!)

Sebastien: (17:03) bye

Holly Raiche 2: (17:03) Hopefully, people will also look at the wiki as well

Sebastien: (17:04) CLosing session at IGF starting

Hadia Elminiawi: (17:04) bye Sebastien

Alan Greenberg: (17:04) ALso 1300 vs 1400

Justine Chew: (17:05) Do a poll?

Alan Greenberg: (17:05) Those in south are now 2 hours off and those on equator in the middle!

Alan Greenberg: (17:06) That was what I was saying.

Hadia Elminiawi: (17:06) bye all

Alan Greenberg: (17:07) If people feel we are fulfilling our obligation by just 1 hour per week meeting, they are wrong.

John Laprise: (17:07) bye

Evin Erdogdu: (17:07) Thank you all!

Gordon Chillcott: (17:07) Thanks and bye for now.