

AC Chat: ALAC & Regional Leaders Working Session Part 6

Ariel Liang: (3/12/2017 10:32) Coffee break now

Ariel Liang: (10:54) Hello, my name is Ariel Liang and I will be monitoring this chat room. In this role, I am the voice for the remote participants, ensuring that they are heard equally with those who are "in-room" participants. When submitting a question that you want me to read out loud on the mic, please provide your name and affiliation if you have one, start your sentence with <QUESTION> and end it with <QUESTION>. When submitting a comment that you want me to read out loud of the mic, once again provide your name and affiliation if you have one then start your sentence with a <COMMENT> and end it with <COMMENT>. Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of "chat" and will not be read out loud on the mic. Any questions or comments provided outside of the session time will not be read aloud. Please note that audio is may be available in French and Spanish. All chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__www.icann.org_en_news_in-2Dfocus_accountability_exp&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=dRzB-YypMqj9AZjIP_sZHORJtVF4M6A10vip1lbQy10&m=vT06O4vXEBZ5taAkOKgfEqAUBbl4V0yzYL-sMp4Qixc&s=nb13zbhPnCU70odTbHHMKctmS-S68i6bmJFIK7yXigg&e=

Ariel Liang: (10:54) We are starting in 6 minutes

Glenn McKnight: (11:25) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.flickr.com_photos_glennmcknight_albums_72157677519884184&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=dRzB-YypMqj9AZjIP_sZHORJtVF4M6A10vip1lbQy10&m=vT06O4vXEBZ5taAkOKgfEqAUBbl4V0yzYL-sMp4Qixc&s=0DBe0xPRxtMc5HtenGe6UR2QSHxVsuaUwx7huCx61qE&e=

Alberto Soto: (11:29) Cuales son los anecedenes empíicos del modelo Propuesto?

Alberto Soto: (11:30) Que diferencia existe entre el usuario final y las ALSs para lograr una mayor rapidez de participación?

Silvia Vivanco: (11:30) Translation: What are the empirical basis of the proposed model?

Alberto Soto: (11:31) Las métricas para la participación activa seá igual para un miembro individual que para una ALS?

Glenn McKnight: (11:31) Google translate

Glenn McKnight: (11:31) What is the difference between the end user and the ALSs in order to achieve a faster participation rate?

Silvia Vivanco: (11:31) translation: What is the difrence between the final user and ALSes which would allow for greater participation?

Glenn McKnight: (11:31) @silvia i am faster than you!!

Javier Rúa-Jovet: (11:32) Interesting comments form the friend from @ISOC INDIA.

Silvia Vivanco: (11:32) translation: Are the metrics for active participation the same for an individual member and for an ALS?

Glenn McKnight: (11:32) Unfortunately they ignored Siva's comments

Silvia Vivanco: (11:33)) Glenn, you used Google translate!

Alberto Soto: (11:33) Thanks Silvia!!

Glenn McKnight: (11:33) Yes i cheated....

Glenn McKnight: (11:33) As to Siva's commented he expressed the views of others but it wasn't picked up or discussed .

Gisella Gruber: (11:43) Wednesday: At Large Review: Workshop with Independent Examiner (ITEMS)15:15-16:45 in Hall A3 (NOT the ALAC room)

Heidi Ullrich: (11:43) The Public Session will be in A3

Glenn McKnight: (11:49) I am at the Auction Proceeds meeting too

Javier Rúa-Jovet: (11:57) +1 ! @Olivier

Olivier MJ Crépin-Leblond: (12:00) I

Seun Ojedeji: (12:04) Was ITEMS trying to avoid administrative work, based on what Nick just said, we are not getting anything less

Seun Ojedeji: (12:05) good luck to ALAC going into the politics of selecting from the ALMs

Olivier MJ Crépin-Leblond: (12:05) I am sorry -- there is no such thing as "quickly" in involving a volunteer in ICANN policy processes. It takes a lot of hard work to bring someone up to the level of knowledge and understanding of ICANN issues, in order to contribute and not be immediately dismissed by the rest of the ICANN community was re-hashing past discussions or being plain naive and thus, quickly dismissed in a very toxic way indeed. And this is NOT an At-Large issue; it is an issue of the stakes in ICANN being very high, and of the discussion being well past the kiddie sandbox stage (a reference I have heard from seasoned pasrticipants in GNSO PDPs)

Dave Kissoondoyal: (12:13) When mention is made that we are going to have one set of rules that will apply for all as concerns user engagement, does it mean that some of the RALOs will lose their independence of action if they have any currently. As an example EURALO already has individual membership... IF the new set of rules denies the individual membership, will it be not unfair to EURALO.. Still the question is hypothetical

Javier Rúa-Jovet: (12:15) @Sara Some great comments. Particularly the need for RALO rule asymetry.

Sarah Kiden: (12:16) I'm still trying to understand the proposed model..... Same set of rules? Confused. Thank you Javier.

Javier Rúa-Jovet: (12:17) @Sarah sorry for misspelling your name. I think all things will become clear in the next few days.

Glenn McKnight: (12:17) In the development sector the term empowered is out of vogue and value laden

Glenn McKnight: (12:18) @javier i don't think so, the devil is the details

Andrei Kolesnikov: (12:20) still not clear why "new" model will better fit individual users while today 3 RALOs have individual membership?

Seun Ojedeji: (12:21) What is ACTIVE in a volunteer community?

Sarah Kiden: (12:22) Question: How do you measure participation?

Seun Ojedeji: (12:25) Actually this simply means that ONE ALS can have everything

Seun Ojedeji: (12:26) 800 members in an ALS can start contributing and get all the positions

Glenn McKnight: (12:26) Issue of capture

Sarah Kiden: (12:28) Which positions again, Seun? You still have 15 ALAC members, RALO leaders, etc?

Dave Kissoondoyal: (12:29) @Seun will that ALS with 800 active members have 800 voting rights?

Wafa Dahmani: (12:31) one ALS should have only one voice

Wafa Dahmani: (12:32) things are becoming too confusing

Wafa Dahmani: (12:33) ALSes will vote for their respective region

Seun Ojedeji: (12:36) @Dave based on the proposed model Yes (assuming they are all active)

Seun Ojedeji: (12:36) active as described in the report

Isaac Maposa: (12:39) It's very hard to get Individuals be active in this model especially if all the work is based on volunteer work.

Glenn McKnight: (12:39) On the issue of abolishing Working groups.. Interesting assumptions given no survey questions,, no interviews with the WG chairs or members

Glenn McKnight: (12:39) Failure to recognize the role vs the assumption that they waste resources.

Heidi Ullrich: (12:43) Please note that the At-Large website does clearly state that individual members - see: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__atlarge.icann.org_get-2Dinvolved_join-2Dus&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=dRzB-YypMqj9AZjIP_sZHORJtVF4M6AI0vip1lbQy10&m=vT06O4vXEBZ5taAkOKgfEqAUBbl4V0yzYL-sMp4Qixc&s=GHws2ghxrUcir9mMfxtzzzX-RwELESgja1QJMzELGPk&e=

Dave Kissoondoyal: (12:43) Participation in RALO/ALAC elections Eligible ALMs will be invited to participate in elections for their RALO representatives on ALAC. The criteria for eligibility to vote in elections of ALAC Members/RALO Leaders will be to demonstrate active remote participation in the work of an ICANN WG for at least three months or to provide outreach and engagement in the ALMs respective RALO. https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_atlarge-2Dreview-2Ddraft-2Dreport-2D31jan17-2Den.pdf&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=dRzB-YypMqj9AZjIP_sZHORJtVF4M6AI0vip1lbQy10&m=vT06O4vXEBZ5taAkOKgfEqAUBbl4V0yzYL-sMp4Qixc&s=DUMduAw79vDmOLTTeGUVJBByo0cz13jiq_oBf5_PdQiBQ&e= the 3 months not provide abuse of process.? Will there not be creation of ALMS 3 months before an ALAC Election?

Sivasubramanian M: (12:45) # comment to ITEMS: "Empowering Members" could be characterised as "openness to individual participants" with a way open for individual participants to quickly progress to voting positions and roles. The openness perse, prevails in At Large already, as well as in ICANN. Considering the complexities associated with possible capture, what works at the moment can be preserved with a closer attention to how ALSs work, with an additional stipulation of increased openness for individual participants and a pathway for individual participants to progress

Seun Ojedeji: (12:50) There has been effort to provide factual clarification/information in the past, i just hope that ITEMS takes this as a contribution with good intent and not just thank us for the comments :-)

Olivier MJ Crépin-Leblond: (12:53) How to create an "Active" member in At-Large: follow the Twitter Bot example: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__www.sacbee.com_latest-2Dnews_article138002733.html&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=dRzB-YypMqj9AZjIP_sZHORJtVF4M6AI0vip1lbQy10&m=vT06O4vXEBZ5taAkOKgfEqAUBbl4V0yzYL-sMp4Qixc&s=91C1mqfGc82uhU0hg1pICHDKqd25D1jTHhRF7gMuE4Q&e=

Leon Sanchez: (12:54) was that a "non-answer" to my question?

Glenn McKnight: (12:54) 95% of survey results agreed getting rid of WG's . THIS is absurd. No questions were on the survey on this issue. No interviews of the Chairs of ACTIVE WG's

Glenn McKnight: (12:55) This is an erroneous observation,

Javier Rúa-Jovet: (12:55) @Leon Yes. Your question was very directly non-answered.

Glenn McKnight: (12:55) A failure to understand their role and contribution is clear

Sarah Kiden: (12:55) Please remind me. Who completed the survey (in terms of groups)? ALSes? ALAC? RALO Leaders?

Javier Rúa-Jovet: (12:55) It was answered by a non-sequitur.

Sivasubramanian M: (12:57) @ Olivier The article is relevant if we are worried about number of fake members, but "active" status is to be conferred in a sense, which is a manual process with an oversight, which McTim says is capture proof, that "there is no way At Large can be captured, we have designed it that way"

Olivier MJ Crépin-Leblond: (12:57) @Sarah: lots of people responded to the survey, including people who have a clinical repulsion to anything At-Large

Glenn McKnight: (12:57) A group called BAD ATTITUDE used this opportunity as a whipping horse.

Glenn McKnight: (12:58) Anything ATLARGE was open game

Olivier MJ Crépin-Leblond: (12:58) @Siva: if you can make a Bot act like a Twitter user, you can easily make a bot act like an active member on the At-Large mailing lists. Or 40 million of them.

Glenn McKnight: (12:58) Sure you can

Javier Rúa-Jovet: (12:58) @Olivier I think that following your Twitter bot method, we will become so representative that AtLarge membership will exceed the number of human actual and potential ALMs.

Seun Ojedeji: (12:59) yeah you suddenly get new faces from just one organisation/country perhaps

Olivier MJ Crépin-Leblond: (13:00) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__en.wikipedia.org_wiki_On-5Fthe-5FInternet-2C-5Fnobody-5Fknows-5Fyou-2527re-5Fa-5Fdog&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=dRzB-YypMqj9AZjIP_sZHORJtVF4M6Al0vip1lbQy10&m=vT06O4vXEBZ5taAkOKgfEqAUBbl4V0yzYL-sMp4Qixc&s=0KDLDuzujw5pobl1ONZBKLw3369dFxlWgfSOpVD_-9c&e=

Ariel Liang: (13:00) Thanks all for attending!