

# Zoom chat: 2021-10-19 ALAC & SSAC Meeting

00:21:30 Claudia Ruiz ICANN Org: Welcome Steve Crocker to the call  
00:22:03 Carlos Dionisio Aguirre: could the staff conect me to the spanish chanel  
00:22:22 Carlos Dionisio Aguirre: my number +54 9 3515526909  
00:23:00 Carlos Dionisio Aguirre: si Claudia por favor . gracias  
00:23:53 Claudia Ruiz ICANN Org: Please send private chat to staff if you need a dial out in Spanish or French, thank you!  
00:25:22 Jeff Bedser: sorry for delayed arrival!  
00:26:13 Kathy Schnitt -ICANN Org: [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1d35edo6FA7FJIFopGdhlfhMS4OXuiphw9qvU8KPCTMk/edit#slide=id.gf31bf3c230\\_4\\_75](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1d35edo6FA7FJIFopGdhlfhMS4OXuiphw9qvU8KPCTMk/edit#slide=id.gf31bf3c230_4_75)  
00:26:16 Kathy Schnitt -ICANN Org: Here Steve  
00:28:03 hadia Elminiawi: Hi tara  
00:28:18 hadia Elminiawi: Tara  
00:28:25 Tara Whalen: Hullo all!  
00:41:27 Alan Greenberg: Current practice is a web form (typically with little functionality).  
00:41:35 Sivasubramanian M: <question> Would it make it more complex for SSAC to examine if Registrants typically link their primary email address, or a relatively insignificant email address that is infrequently accessed by the Registrant? A  
00:41:56 Alan Greenberg: Objection to e-mail is spam.  
00:43:00 Sivasubramanian M: Another aspect of the email address is the 'quality' of the email address furnished by the Registrant.. Some email addresses are relatively authentic while there are also possibilities of the Registrant using an email address provided by an email service that doewn't quite insist on authentication by the email user  
00:43:53 Sivasubramanian M: If these naunces are not factored in, even if there is an email address provided by the Registrant, the Registrant is still not relatively unreachable.  
00:45:23 Sivasubramanian M: and also the possibility that the Registrant is not who he/she appears to be.  
00:47:14 hadia Elminiawi: anonymization according to the GDPR definition is not possible  
00:49:23 Alan Greenberg: Interesting anecdote: One of the reasons that registrations failed in the accuracy reporting system(ARS) was that the registrar changes anon. e-mail addresses to prevent spam, and by the time the analysis was done in the ARS, the anon address was no longer functioning.  
00:59:00 Gisella Gruber - ICANN Org: Olévié - tu es connecté?  
01:11:10 Greg Shatan: Maybe SAC118 should have been called the SSAD SAC....  
01:11:55 hadia Elminiawi: no question - just a comment  
01:12:54 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Doing better is indeed a great aim  
01:13:01 hadia Elminiawi: the 5 stakeholder groups included the SSAC and ALAC of course  
01:13:10 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: E only means no Issue Freport in GNSO terms of a PDP  
01:13:35 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: the charter usually has any time binding associated with such a PDP  
01:14:37 Greg Shatan: The intent was for a little more expeditiousness throughout, if I recall our work correctly.  
01:15:38 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: yes Greg the time expectation(s) or binding was in the Charters though NOT from the name of the PDP type ... Just saying ; -)  
01:20:13 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: very well noted @Rod re the Representation models we often see  
01:21:51 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Yup :-)  
01:22:10 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks everyone another good session with SSAC  
01:22:34 hadia Elminiawi: Thank you SSAC team  
01:22:38 hadia Elminiawi: Thank you all  
01:22:44 Herb Waye: Thanks you all... stay safe and be kind  
01:22:46 hadia Elminiawi: bye for now  
01:22:46 Chokri Ben Romdhane: +Alan hope that is not the case of SSAC  
01:22:49 Greg Shatan: Olivier just went back to London. Blame Brexit.  
01:22:53 DANIEL K. NANGHAKA: thank you all  
01:22:53 Seun S. Ojedeji: Bye  
01:22:54 Dave.Kissoondoyal: Thanks and bye to all  
01:23:00 Chokri Ben Romdhane: THANK YOU ALL