

# Zoom chat: 2021-06-09 At-Large Consolidated Policy Working Group (CPWG)

11:51:40 From Claudia Ruiz to Everyone:

Welcome to the At-Large Consolidated Policy Working Group Call

11:57:43 From Evin Erdogan to Everyone:

Hello Cheryl and all! Welcome

12:01:19 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:

hello all

12:02:03 From Claudia Ruiz to Everyone:

<https://www.streamtext.net/player?event=ICANN>

12:03:19 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Hello everyone

12:04:36 From Lutz Donnerhacke to Everyone:

Did manage to participate. Thanks to a thunderstorm stopping the in-person meeting abruptly

12:04:58 From Evin Erdogan to Everyone:

Welcome Lutz!

12:05:16 From Evin Erdogan to Everyone:

Lol noted

12:05:31 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:

Yep

12:06:15 From Evin Erdogan to Everyone:

See: ICANN71 ALAC/At-Large Talking Points Google Doc for comment: [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1k5pd\\_cox04hrION\\_42v\\_hY5tCJktStbr7cBDCAAw3M/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1k5pd_cox04hrION_42v_hY5tCJktStbr7cBDCAAw3M/edit?usp=sharing)

12:06:42 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:

HI EVERYONE.. SORRY TO ENTER A BIT LATE

12:07:17 From Claudia Ruiz to Everyone:

Welcome, Vanda

12:08:22 From Herb Wayne to Everyone:

Greetings everyone, just a reminder the Ombuds virtual office will be open next week during meeting hours for anyone to drop in for a visit with Herb or Barb.

12:10:57 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:

Standardizes System for access /disclosure (SSAD)

12:11:13 From Greg Shatan to Everyone:

So SSAD

12:11:31 From Lutz Donnerhacke to Everyone:

We need a ICAP program: ICANN Certified Acronym Professional

12:11:35 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:

Standardized

12:11:50 From Claudia Ruiz to Everyone:

<https://www.streamtext.net/player?event=ICANN>

12:13:41 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:

Standardized System for Access and Disclosure (SSAD) - the access and disclosure of the gTLD registration data - phase 2 Recommendations

12:15:02 From avri doria to Everyone:

The Board isn't involved in GNSO PDP mechanisms as far as I know.

12:15:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Let me see if I can cover some of that in chat here as well @JZ

12:16:04 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:

Thanks @Cheryl

12:17:02 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

As you know @CW the PDP.3.0 model came in at the later part of the SubPro PDP that was Chartered under a different model(s) choice

12:17:12 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:

has the Adigo line been dropped?

12:17:27 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:

or just my line?

12:17:34 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

In PDP 3.0 there is some model differences to choose from \*in\* each Charter for a PDP

12:17:51 From Claudia Ruiz to Everyone:

@olivier, no will have operator check your line

12:18:06 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://www.hosterstats.com) to Everyone:

Yep. Olivier.

12:18:09 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:

Olivier, we hear you

12:18:10 From alangreenberg to Everyone:

Olivier, we can hear you.

12:18:12 From Michael Palage to Everyone:

We can hear you Oliver

12:18:20 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:

oops -- sorry

12:18:53 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:

can you hear what's happening on the call too?

12:18:58 From Michael Palage to Everyone:

For a seasoned lawyer like Greg - he can easily maintain his train of thought :-)

12:20:06 From Greg Shatan to Everyone:

This water is definitely wet, Marita.

12:20:36 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:

back -- apologies for the disruption I have caused.

12:24:59 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

The Design of the model Charter was my reference I was using the example for the next EPDP as a Charter choice yet untested out of PDP 3.0 @Alan  
Sorry if that confused people on the nature of the time bound activity of the E PDP over the Time Bound specified in Charter modelling of any PDP under 3.0

12:25:16 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

No it does not

12:25:21 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:

Yikes, I can't imagine that's the case!

12:25:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

it applies to post adoption of the model 3.0 Chartering only @CW

12:26:04 From alangreenberg to Everyone:

Any PDP could be reopened, restarted or redone. If there were a will.

12:26:13 From Greg Shatan to Everyone:

I believe the only way to re-open the outcome of a totally completed PDP is to have another PDP.

12:26:28 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:

Yep

12:26:40 From Roberto to Everyone:

+1 Greg

12:26:54 From Greg Shatan to Everyone:

Some have been brought back from deep hibernation, though....

12:27:00 From Roberto to Everyone:

Otherwise we will be in a permanent PDP

12:27:06 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

and as a new effort currently it would be under 3.0 Yes Alan but it does not have retrospective powers over outcomes

12:28:01 From alangreenberg to Everyone:

Old efforts were just that. New (or reopened) PDP will be 3.0 or 3.1 or 4.99

12:34:14 From Lutz Donnerhacke to Everyone:

May you please check <https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/At-Large+Workspace+for+the+Transfer+Policy+Review+PDP>

12:34:18 From Claudia Ruiz to Lutz Donnerhacke(Direct Message):

We are not able to hear yo

12:34:23 From AKay Oloyede to Everyone:

Hi all, Apologies a bit late

12:34:46 From Lutz Donnerhacke to Everyone:

In order to find issues relevant to this text ... within the next week

12:34:46 From Steinar Grøtterød to Everyone:

Move on!

12:34:56 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

yes move on

12:35:10 From Lutz Donnerhacke to Everyone:

Then the text can be sedn to the TRPÜ group

12:36:45 From Chokri Ben Romdhane to Everyone:

Hi all sorry for the delay

12:36:47 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

#The focus of the Transfer Policy working group is also limited, restricted to modalities and not the practical intricacies.

12:37:02 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:

we will have a presentation on the progress of ccPDP3 during ICANN 71 at June 16 from 10:30- 12:00 UTC

12:38:16 From Lutz Donnerhacke to Everyone:

A limited scope does not stop other parties to set Agenda by distributing documents

12:38:49 From Chokri Ben Romdhane to Everyone:

@Siva a great confusion between technical issues in the business process

12:39:31 From Chokri Ben Romdhane to Everyone:

sorry and the business process

12:40:01 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

@Chokri That is the usual method of steering away from what ought to be the focus

12:43:07 From Chokri Ben Romdhane to Everyone:

@SivA yes , and as ALAC we may focus on improving the business process

12:43:17 From alberto soto to Everyone:

Sorry, I have to withdraw. Greetings!

12:44:18 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

@ and business norms, business ethics as well to promote good practices, even for the sake of the good business participants.

12:45:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

That is why the rules exist I suggest invoking it

12:45:34 From christopher wilkinson to Everyone:

@Alan - DO IT

12:45:39 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

+1 Alan

12:46:16 From YIK CHAN CHIN to Everyone:

+1 invoking it

12:46:35 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger to Everyone:

If you feel the treatment / process is inappropriate (you are the best to judge), my feeling is that a process would be triggered to deal with just that.

12:47:04 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

That is the exact rationale @Alan it is not seeking a change to some outcome, rather a process issue that needs to be noted formally

12:47:13 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger to Everyone:

As Cheryl says, it seems the rule is meant for exactly the case you are describing, no?

12:48:58 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

Alac could first make its point by taking recourse to this rule, and then also think of post-report processes to deal with the issues not dealt with.

12:49:32 From Evin Erdogan to Everyone:

See At-Large workspace: Initial Report of the Expedited Policy Development Process (EPDP) on the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data Team – PHASE 2A - <https://community.icann.org/x/YgPpCQ>

13:00:35 From Evin Erdogdu to Everyone:  
Welcome Owen  
13:00:49 From Owen Smigelski (Namecheap) to Everyone:  
Thanks, Evin!  
13:01:35 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:  
The slides provide a limited summary - just to give you a feel of what this is about  
13:04:54 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:  
+1 Alan  
13:05:09 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:  
19 July  
13:05:24 From YIK CHAN CHIN to Everyone:  
for people cannot access to google, what can they do?  
13:06:04 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger to Everyone:  
The old ways (email) still work, afaik.  
13:06:18 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:  
There is a text based option of the questions and the written responses can be integrated  
13:06:30 From Evin Erdogdu to Everyone:  
Yik Chan, we may request a Word Document, alternatively, please share your comments via email: [staff@atlarge.icann.org](mailto:staff@atlarge.icann.org) and we may forward them to the relevant support staff  
13:06:36 From christopher wilkinson to Everyone:  
@Alan, Do it now  
13:08:46 From YIK CHAN CHIN to Everyone:  
Can we have this PPT?  
13:10:56 From Evin Erdogdu to Everyone:  
Thank you Yik Chan, I will follow up with Laurin after this meeting for his slides  
13:13:07 From YIK CHAN CHIN to Everyone:  
Okay. thank you,  
13:15:20 From Evin Erdogdu to Everyone:  
Most welcome :)  
13:15:26 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:  
Thank you Laurin  
13:15:43 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:  
thanks Lauren  
13:15:43 From Roberto to Everyone:  
Excellent presentation @Laurin  
13:16:18 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://www.hosterstats.com) to Everyone:  
Excellent presentation.  
13:16:51 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger to Everyone:  
My apologies for this very rushed run through.  
13:17:03 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:  
Thanks Laurin - very interesting  
13:17:20 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:  
understood we will have another chance laurin  
13:17:37 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:  
it's our fault for not having asked the amount of time you needed. no worries, we'll have future chances to see this again.  
13:17:55 From christopher wilkinson to Everyone:  
Leaving the call. Thankyou all, Good Night  
13:17:56 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger to Everyone:  
This is the link to the full report: <https://www.m3aawg.org/WhoisSurvey2021-06>  
13:18:28 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger to Everyone:  
That might be the best document to link as well, as the slides are not exactly self-explanatory.  
13:20:30 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger to Everyone:  
Happy to talk about this more next week, or drop me an email. Have to jump, thanks all!  
13:24:05 From Marita Moll to Everyone:  
Sorry, will have to leave. -- other tasks are calling. See you all next week  
13:24:32 From Michel TCHONANG LINZE CAPDA CMR to Everyone:  
Thank  
13:24:42 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:  
Thanks very much @Owan  
13:24:55 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:  
typo sorry  
13:25:57 From Zoe Bonython to Everyone:  
Published statements/papers/guidance are here on the RrSG website: <https://rrsg.org/statements/>  
13:25:57 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:  
Doesn't it make it very difficult for the Registrant to file an abuse complaint, unless he logs what is not usually logged, has an army of technical experts narrating the technical aspects of the abuse, and a band of lawyers to make the abuse complaint legally tenable?  
13:26:39 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:  
It filters away abuse complaints at origin.  
13:28:43 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:  
Right. Confused by your intervention Siva. Do you mean it filters away complaints by third parties?  
13:29:56 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://www.hosterstats.com) to Everyone:  
Some WordPress and Joomla sites that I've seen in web usage surveys have been hacked for years. (One survey has a five year comparison for sites.)  
13:31:00 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:  
@John, my photography site was hacked and patched so often that it eventually just ceased working. Done with WP  
13:31:04 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://www.hosterstats.com) to Everyone:  
They are generally SQL link injection exploits on old plugins that have remained unpatched.  
13:32:18 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://www.hosterstats.com) to Everyone:  
@JZ One of the most effective solutions with WP is to make sure only certain whitelisted IPs can access the login URL. Then run the site with the most basic and continually updated plugins.

13:33:27 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

@Jonathan Even third parties, Jonathan. For example, in the mobile phone environment, sometime ago, the Government in India (or the operators) had what is equivalent to "report spam", but to report spam, it required the phone user to look into the message history in detail, mention the time of the message in a certain specific format, provide certain other details in a specific format, compose the message in a manner that could not be easily composed. These details must be with the network, when a message on screen is simply forwarded with a spam flag, but the process of reporting telecom spam at that point required excessive precision, often precision that could not be achieved, with the result that the number of spam messages brought to attention were abysmally low in relation to the actual number.

13:35:13 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://hosterstats.com) to Everyone:

With SQL link injections, the registrant/site owner rarely even know that the site is hacked.

13:35:52 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

( If I forward a spam message that comes to my phone number at a certain time to the mobile operator, the operator has records of when that message was sent to my phone and where the message originated, what is the point in REQUIRING me to compile this and other more difficult data even to file a spam complaint, or to mark the message as spam?)

13:36:07 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://hosterstats.com) to Everyone:

the injected URLs are often for search engine backlink purposes and are invisible to users.

13:36:10 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:

I've had grief on hacked WP sites. Spent a fortune building them and they ended up being torn apart due to being repeatedly hacked because of plugins

13:37:03 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

What is the plugin? Who wrote the code for the plug in? What is the originating IP? What language was the plug in writtine in? Who was the author of the plug in?

13:37:13 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

What was the WP version?

13:37:36 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

Do you think you have expertise in Word Press to have built in a word press site?

13:37:53 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

May be you don't know Word Press, that is why there was a problem

13:38:38 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond to Everyone:

WP worked well for years until it got hacked because not all plug-ins were automatically updated. From that point it all went downhill

13:38:58 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://hosterstats.com) to Everyone:

WordPress is a kind of Microsoft Frontpage/Dreamweaver successor for people who want simple websites that work. Most of the time they work well but as with any software, errors can become apparent only after it is deployed. It isn't a single plugin.

13:38:58 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

You are not documenting your statement properly. Rejected

13:39:47 From Sivasubramanian M to Everyone:

@Olivier Not enough information to consider it a qualified complaint

13:40:57 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Thanks indeed!!

13:41:10 From Evin Erdogdu to Everyone:

Thank you Owen, much appreciated

13:41:15 From YIK CHAN CHIN to Everyone:

thanks

13:41:25 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:

Thank you Owen

13:43:22 From Jonathan Zuck to Everyone:

Yes, he is. It's actually already been shared.

13:43:45 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:

thank you OWen.

13:43:58 From Evin Erdogdu to Everyone:

See At-Large workspace: RrSG Draft White Paper: Registrant Protections in DNS Abuse Mitigation: <https://community.icann.org/display/alacpolicydev/At-Large+Workspace%3A+RrSG+Draft+White+Paper%3A+Registrant+Protections+in+DNS+Abuse+Mitigation>

13:44:53 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:

i believe no normally the staff will be off

13:45:40 From Greg Shatan to Everyone:

The Clash broke up years ago...

13:45:40 From Herb Wayne to Everyone:

See you all next week at ICANN71. Stay safe and be kind.

13:45:52 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:

thank you all was a great meeting

13:45:52 From hadia Elminiawi to Everyone:

Thank you all bye

13:45:54 From Dave Kiskoondoyal to Everyone:

Thanks and bye to all

13:45:57 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Bye for now! Great call people...

13:46:03 From Evin Erdogdu to Everyone:

Thank you all! "See" you during ICANN71!!

13:46:08 From YIK CHAN CHIN to Everyone:

bye

13:46:09 From John McCormac - [HosterStats.com](https://hosterstats.com) to Everyone:

Thanks/Later all.

13:46:15 From Sivan to Everyone:

thank you