

Motions 19 November 2015

1. Amended motion: Approval of a charter for the Next-Generation gTLD Registration Directory Service (RDS) to replace WHOIS (Next-Gen RDS) PDP WG

amendments in bold

Made by: Susan Kawaguchi

Seconded by: Carlos Raúl Gutierrez

WHEREAS

1. Following submission of the [WHOIS Policy Review Team's Final Report](#), the ICANN Board passed a resolution on [8 November, 2012](#), launching an Expert Working Group on gTLD Registration Directory Services (EWG) to (1) help redefine the purpose of gTLD registration data and consider how to safeguard the data, and (2) propose a model for gTLD registration directory services to address accuracy, privacy, and access issues.
2. Upon publication of the [EWG's Final Report](#) in June, 2014, an informal group of Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO) Councilors and ICANN Board members collaborated to propose a [Process Framework](#) for structuring a GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) to successfully address these challenging issues.
3. On [26 May, 2015](#), the ICANN Board adopted that Process Framework and reaffirmed its 2012 request for a Board-initiated PDP to define the purpose of collecting, maintaining and providing access to gTLD registration data, and to consider safeguards for protecting data, using the recommendations in the EWG's Final Report as an input to, and, if appropriate, as the foundation for a new gTLD policy.
4. In accordance with the PDP Rules, a new [Preliminary Issue Report](#) was published for [public comment](#) on 13 July 2015. Following review of the public comments received, the Staff Manager updated the Issue Report accordingly and included a summary of the comments received (see Annex D), which was submitted as the [Final Issue Report](#) to the GNSO Council for its consideration **on 7 October 2015**
5. The GNSO Council has reviewed the issues raised in the Final Issue Report as well as the **Draft Charter for a PDP Working Group** that was included in the Preliminary Issue Report and updated reflecting the input received in the [Final Issue Report under Annex C \(pp.67-77\)](#)

RESOLVED,

1. The GNSO Council approves the **Draft Charter proposed in the Final Issue Report** and appoints Susan Kawaguchi as the GNSO Council liaison to the Next-Generation gTLD Registration Directory Service (RDS) to replace WHOIS (Next-Gen RDS) PDP WG;
2. The GNSO Council directs ICANN staff to issue a call for volunteers for the PDP WG after the approval of this motion. The call for volunteers should be **circulated as widely as possible in order to ensure broad representation and participation in the Working Group and should be initiated no later than January 4th, 2016** and remain open until the WG convenes for the first time; and
3. **The WG, when convened, is instructed to reach out to all SG/Cs for additional feedback on any items that they believe should be considered that may not have been specifically called out in the charter. The WG is also expected to consider input already provided by SG/C during the public comment period on the Preliminary Issue Report. Should the WG be of the view that after the review of this feedback changes need to be made to the charter to address these, the WG is expected to come back to the Council with proposed changes to the charter for the Council to consider.**
4. Until such time as the WG selects a chair(s) for the WG and that chair(s) is confirmed by the GNSO Council, the GNSO Council liaison to the WG shall serve as the interim chair.

2. Updated Draft GNSO Council Resolution for the Council meeting on 19 November 2015 – Referral Request to the SCI

Made by: Wolf-Ulrich Knohen

Seconded by: Carlos Raúl Gutiérrez

Whereas

1. The GNSO Operating Procedures in Chapter 2.2 describe the process of elections of the GNSO Chair and the GNSO Vice-Chairs (<http://gnso.icann.org/en/council/op-procedures-24jun15-en.pdf>);
2. Following the result of the initial election round at ICANN54 in Dublin, the GNSO Council agreed on a time table for the next round for the election of the GNSO Chair 2015-2016 (<http://gnso.icann.org/en/elections/proposed-council-chair-03sep15-en.pdf>);
3. During the ICANN 54 meeting in Dublin questions were raised with regards to the election process for the GNSO Chair and Vice-Chairs, including the candidate nomination process with particular reference to the preparedness of newly-elected Council members to vote, the eligibility of newly elected Councilors to run for the GNSO Chair position, the need for the GNSO Council to specifically determine the term of the Chair and Vice-Chairs in accordance with the ICANN Bylaws, and to the overall timing of the Chair election.

Resolved

The GNSO Council requests the Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation (SCI)

- To review Sections 2.2(b), (f) and (g) of the GNSO Operating Procedures with respect to clarifying: (i) the eligibility of incoming Council members to run for the position of GNSO Chair; (ii) the start and end dates and the duration of the Chair and Vice-Chair terms in accordance with the ICANN Bylaws; and (iii) the timing of publication of the election results; and
- To recommend a general time table for election of the GNSO Council Chair to be approved by the GNSO Council and added to the GNSO Operating Procedures.

3. Adoption of the GNSO Review of GAC Communiqué for submission to the ICANN Board

motion deferred 19 November 2015

Made by: Stphanie Perrin

Seconded by:

Whereas,

1. The Governmental Advisory Committee advises the ICANN Board on issues of public policy, and especially where there may be an interaction between ICANN's activities or policies and national laws or international agreements. It usually does so as part of a Communiqué, which is published towards the end of every ICANN meeting.
2. The GNSO is responsible for developing and recommending to the ICANN Board substantive policies relating to generic top-level domains.
3. The GNSO has expressed a desire to provide feedback to the ICANN Board on issues in the GAC Communiqué as these relate to generic top-level domains to inform the ICANN Board as well as the broader community of past, present or future gTLD policy activities that may directly or indirectly relate to advice provided by the GAC.
4. The GNSO Council developed a template to facilitate this process, which was completed following the publication of the Dublin GAC Communiqué by a volunteer and shared with the GNSO Council for its review
5. The GNSO hopes that the input provided through its review of the GAC Communiqué will further enhance the co-ordination and promote the sharing of information on gTLD related policy activities between the GAC, Board and the GNSO.

Resolved,

1. The GNSO Council adopts the GNSO Review of the Dublin GAC Communiqué and requests that the GNSO Council Chair communicate the GNSO Review of the Dublin GAC Communiqué to the ICANN Board.
2. Following the communication to the ICANN Board, the GNSO Council requests that the GNSO Council Chair informs the GAC Chair as well as the GAC-GNSO Consultation Group of the communication between the GNSO Council and the ICANN Board.