

At-Large Prague Meeting Reports

The full ICANN Schedule is available [here](#).

| Meeting | Date and Time | Assignee and RALO | Report |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| New GNSO Web Site | Mon, 25 June 2012 | Dev Anand Teelucksingh, LACRALO | <p>only 5 attendees, but informative presentation by Rob Hoggarth/Berry Cobb who talked about the design process behind the GNSO website redesign and a walkthrough of the GNSO website.</p> <p>The website design was split into 2 phases - Phase 1 to meet 80% of the design goals that could be done in 20% of the time (and the launch of the GNSO website) and Phase 2 which is ongoing which is tackling the harder 20% of design goals (largely related to the archive of GNSO materials that need to be re-catalogued for easier public review) and thus requiring more time and effort.</p> <p>A similar approach for the At-Large website redesign effort that's underway could be done.</p> <p>Mikey asked how the public can track/observe/monitor the discussions of the numerous GNSO WG email lists - persons joining a list/group with read/write access are considered members of the group and thus have certain expectations of being a member of that group. RSS feeds for mailing lists is a consideration for Phase 2.</p> <p>A similar ability for At-Large to more easily observe the discussions happening in ALAC, RALOs and At-Large WGs would be helpful.</p> |
| New gTLD Objection & Dispute Resolution | Wed, 27 June 2012 | Dev Anand Teelucksingh, LACRALO | <p>Large attendance, detailed slides and presentations by the 3 DRSPs handling the 4 objection grounds to new gTLD applications. Two takeaways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for the public interest and community objections, All parties have to make full payment of ALL costs when the ICC (the DRSP responsible for handling Public Interest and Community) publishes the estimates costs for the panels to hear the objection. Minus the non-refundable filing fee, the prevailing party or parties are refunded the costs at the end of the panel decision. - the DRSP will publish a notice on their website on receipt of the filing fee (5000 euros for public interest and community objections) by the objector during the 7 month objection period. The notice has such information such as the objector, the applicant and date and time received, but NOT the text of the objection statement itself. |
| IPv6 Session | Thurs, 28 June 2012 | Yaovi Atohou AFRALO /ALAC | <p>IPv6 SESSION on June 27, 2012 in CONGRESS II from 09:00 to 10:40</p> <p>RIPE NCC Update: Statistics, Policy Developments and IPv6 Outreach Activities</p> <p>Andrea Cima, RIPE NCC did the presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Allocation based on the policy in the region; RIPEness - Outreach and Capacity building: capacity building important. There is ITU IPv6 Group (2009-2012) model. Availability of an "IPv6 Requirements in ICT Document". <p>World IPv6 Launch 2012: What happened</p> <p>Frededic Dong and Mat Ford gave general overview of what has happened and mentioned a great participation. http://www.internetsociety.org/deploy360 for resources.</p> <p>IPv6 Deployment: The Government Perspective</p> <p>Maria Hall, Ministry of Enterprise, Energy and Communication, Sweden; Anders Rafting (PTS)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guidance document submitted to the Government by PTS www.pts.se/deployipv6 • Public authorities should have deployed IPv6 no later than 2013 <p>ccTLDs and IPv6 Deployment</p> <p>by Ondrej Filip</p> <p>9 of 13 root servers are ready for IPv6</p> <p>at least one Name server on IPv6 for TLDs</p> <p>The Evolving Internet and IPv6</p> <p>By Geoff Huston of APNIC: A presentation where he is convinced that Ipv6 is the only one future for the Internet to be "one Internet".</p> <p>URL for the session at http://prague44.icann.org/node/31803</p> |

[illegible]