

# Why ALSes have been Rejected in the Past

## Notes:

- As this page is public, the applicant's personally identifiable information has not been included.
- There are several instances of ALS applicants not responding to requests for additional feedback, in which case their applications are usually put on hold, rather than formally rejected.

## Formal rejections either by RALO or ALAC:

### AFRALO

- Organization/applicant was not legitimate (either not organized as stated, or used name/identity of existing organization/ALS).
- Organization structure/mission not compatible with being an ALS (not constituted so that participation by individual Internet users who are citizens or residents of countries within the geographic region in which the ALS is based will predominate in the ALS' operation).

### APRALO

- APRALO recommended applicant withdraw and apply to NPOC.

### EURALO

- Organization/applicant was not legitimate (either not organized as stated, or used name/identity of existing organization/ALS).
- Organization structure/mission not compatible with being an ALS (not constituted so that participation by individual Internet users who are citizens or residents of countries within the geographic region in which the ALS is based will predominate in the ALS' operation).

### LACRALO

- Unknown - likely organization/applicant was not legitimate (either not organized as stated, or used name/identity of existing organization/ALS).

### NARALO

- Spam application/organization/applicant or was not legitimate (either not organized as stated, or used name/identity of existing organization/ALS).