

At-Large New gTLD Rollout Issues Workspace

The process we follow in the ANgWG is

- Any member of the WG can put an issue on that list.
- As chair I will ask the person making the suggestion to be the 'token holder' for that subject. By that I mean they are responsible for gathering a small group of interested WG group members, or others, to work with them to produce an initial recommendation for the group as a whole to consider.
- During every meeting the agenda will include a request for the token holder to give an update. If there is something for the larger group to discuss, we discuss it.
 - When something is fully baked, the ANgWG is asked for degree of consensus for sending it forward to the ALAC as a recommendation for ALAC action.
 - If the topic languishes with no work being done, I will eventually ask the group whether we can drop it from the meeting discussion rotation

Additionally, the ANgWG has been open to any community member who wants to participate. But it is enough to work on the topic with the token holder, no one has to join the group to participate in creating a recommendation. They do need to be in the group to be part of the consensus determination.

Status	issue	references	token holder	notes
closed	Digital Archery			
closed	TAS and the Glitch			decided not to pursue
charter changed	Outreach program for New gTLDs			ANgWG charter updated to include this item
charter changed	Outreach program for Applicant Support Program			ANgWG charter updated to include this item
closed	Trademark Clearinghouse		Hong Xue	Notes from August 2012 Workshop on TMCH by Neustar Initial draft statement ICANN's Response to Registry Stakeholder Group's Request for Documentary Information Disclosure on TMCH ALAC approved comment
closed	Vertical Integration and Price Caps on Incumbents		Alan Greenberg	Statement made by ALAC - No further action at this time
statement proposed and accepted	"private" generic-word applications		Evan Leibovitch	From the AngRG: ... given the concepts raised regarding the availability of 2nd level domains under gTLDs has policy implications that impacts individual Internet end users, the gTLD RG recommends that the issues raised be referred to the At-Large new gTLD Working Group (https://community.icann.org/x/8Yoi) for discussion and possible policy recommendations. Discussion - Private gTLDs Draft Statement - confluence workspace on the generic-word-private ownership issue will be created
completed	URS		Alan Greenberg	Draft initial statement draft
Watch mode	Additional RPMs		Evan /Alan	Extraordinary Thursday Meeting Issue: Implementation/Policy issues related to IPC/BC demands for additional trademark protection measures. Trademark Clearing House Strawman This seems to be a closed (or closing issues) decision are made and things seem to be proceeding along. Do we wish to continue to follow? Do we wish to make a statement?

Ongoing	Public Interest Commitments (PIC)		Hong	<p>Issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Content and Compliance • procedural issues • mission creep? <p>Issue on how these will be informed</p>
ongoing work on further metrics	GNSO Consumer metrics WG		Evan	<p>Evan working on response on User centric metric concerns. Avri to confirm issue of scope, if necessary.</p> <p>volunteers needed for end user metrics task force. -</p> <p>ALAC Response by Beijing</p> <p>Waiting for Board Repsonse</p>
tracking	String Contention Sets		Alan	Relevance: plural strings
track?	dotless string		Evan	various statement against it (need urls)