CCT Meeting # 36 - 2 February 2017
Attendees:

Team:
Jordyn Buchanan, Kaili Kan, Laureen Kapin, David Taylor, Drew Bagley, Waudo Siganga, Jamie Hedlund, Calvin Browne, Megan Richards, Gaongalelwe Mosweu

Observers: Zack Coleman

Staff: Brenda Brewer, Jean-Baptiste Deroulez, Pamela Smith, Margie Milam, Eleeza Agopian, Brian Aitchison, Antonietta Mangiacotti

Apologies: Jonathan Zuck, Dejan Djukic, Fabro Steibel

Agenda:

- Welcome, roll-call, SoI - Reach consensus on recommendations for:
  a. Impact of Safeguards – Laureen
  b. Benefits vs. Confusion to End Users – Megan
  c. Registry Policies – Megan

Time permitting, also reach consensus on recommendations:
  d. Preventing Delegations that would be confusing or harmful – Megan
  e. Allowing specific communities to be served by a relevant TLD – Megan
  f. Effectiveness of the Dispute Resolution Process in cases of Formal String Objection – Megan
  g. Simplifying the process – Megan

- A.O.B.

Documents:

- VIII. Impact of Safeguards
- V. Benefits vs Confusion to end users
- V. Registry Policies

Recordings:

- Adobe Connect
- mp3

Chat Transcript: EN

Transcript: EN

Action Items:

☐ Jonathan to provide guidance on the priorities matrix - low, med, high, prerequisite, non-prerequisite

Notes:

VIII. IMPACT OF SAFEGUARDS (Continued)

Sensitive and Regulated Strings (p. 9) -

Rec. 1 (p. 9) - High priority, not a prerequisite for subsequent rounds - Consensus approved

Rec. 2 (p. 10) - add language about directing this to ICANN Board for resolution; add "respectively" after "sensitive health and financial information" - Consensus approved

Safeguards for Highly-Regulated Strings (p. 10-12)

All high priority, not a prerequisite

Rec. 1 - Consensus approved

Rec. 2 - Consensus approved

Rec. 3 - Consensus approved

Rec. 4 - Consensus approved

Rec. 5 - Consensus approved

Rec. 6 - Consensus approved

Special Safeguards (p. 13ff)

Low priority; not a prerequisite

Rec. - Consensus approved
Restricted Registration Policies (p. 14ff)

High priority, not a prerequisite for Rec. 1&2

Rec. 1 - Consensus approved

Rec. 2 - Consensus approved; look at subset of TLDs for which registration restrictions could possibly be appropriate

Rec. 3 - Consensus approved

Rec. 4 - Consensus approved (K.Kan conditional)

CONSUMER CHOICE POINT 12. BENEFITS vs. CONFUSION TO END-USERS

Med priority, prerequisite to next round(s)

Rec. -