IANA CWG Meeting #3 (22 October)

Date: 22 October 2014 (13:00 - 15:00 UTC)

Attendees:

Members: Wanawit Ahkuputra; Jaap Akkerhuis; Donna Austin; Fouad Bajwa; Graeme Bunton; Eduardo Diaz; Lise Fuhr; Erick Iriarte; Staffan Jonson; Paul Kane; Elise Lindeberg; Seun Ojedeji; Greg Shatan; Vika Mpisane.

Participants: Guru Acharya; Carolina Aguerre; Paradorn Athichitsakul; Maarten Botterman; Martin Boyle; Marc Carvell; Derby Chipandwe; Stephanie Duchesneau; Amr Elsadr; Lars-Erik Forsberg; Tomohiro Fujisaki; James Gannon; Chuck Gomes; Alan Greenberg; Byron Holland; Yasuichi Kitamura; Pitinan Kooarmornpatana; Brenden Kuerbis; Cheryl Langdon-Orr; Allan MacGillivray; Kieren McCarthy; Desiree Miloshevic; Milton Mueller; Kris Seeburn; Matthew Shears; Mary Uduma; Suzanne Woolf; Jiankang Yao; Peter Van Roste.

Staff: Grace Abuhamad; Bart Boswinkel; Berry Cobb; Marika Konings; Bernard Turcotte.

Apologies: Olawale Bakare; Fatima Cambronero; Olivier Crepin Leblond; Keith Davidson; Avri Doria; Robert Guerra; Tony Holmes; Stacey King; Jonathan Robinson

**Please let Grace know if your name has been left off the list (attendees or apologies). **

Proposed Agenda:

1. Welcome & Roll Call

2. Notes from last meeting & Review of action items

3. Proposal on how to structure the work

4.. Status on work on items 1 and 2 in RFP and volunteers

5. Example of triage of IANA Functions Contract

6. Any other business

7. Summary of action items and agreed items

Action Items

Action item 1: Send draft principles document out to the list to solicit written comments (see draft principles attached). Lise, Martin Boyle to work with Bernie to update document based on input received, suggestions made and determine whether updated version needs to be discussed further during next meeting or not.

Action item 2: WG members and participants to identify for which sub-groups they would like to volunteer (note, if you volunteer, please make sure that you are able to actively contribute - all members will be able to provide input / feedback throughout the process, but sub-group members are expected to hold the pen and move the discussion forward).

Action item 3: Volunteers to work with Allan on the triage effort to express their interest on the mailing list.

Action item 4: Staff to include a separate work item for this effort and update slides accordingly

Action item 5: Staff to send further details about F2F meeting as soon as possible, including confirmation of whether SO/ACs can appoint an alternate (from current CWG participants) to be supported to attend the F2F meeting if a member is not able to attend.

Full meeting notes are available here: Notes & Action items - 22 October 2014.docx

Transcript

The transcript is available here: Meeting3_22Oct.doc

AR: Meeting3_22Oct-ar.docx

ES: Meeting3_22Oct-es.doc

FR: Meeting3_22Oct-fr.doc

RU: Meeting3_22Oct-ru.docx

ZH: Meeting3_22Oct-ZH.doc

PT: Meeting3_22Oct-pt.doc

Recording

The Adobe Connect recording for today's call is available here: https://icann.adobeconnect.com/p249g8lfadc/

The MP3 is available here: https://icann.box.com/shared/static/v7z1eaz6bhm40gen8f57.mp3

Documents Presented

CWG_Stewardship_Timeline_v0.2.pptx

CWG-guidingPrinciplesV1.1.pdf

CWG-organizingworkV1.1.pdf

SAMPLE TRIAGE OF IANA FUNCTIONS CONTRACT.docx

Chat Transcript

Marika Konings:Welcome to the IANA Stewardship Transition CWG meeting of 22 October 2014

Marika Konings:Please note that this meeting is starting at 13.00 UTC

Pitinan Kooarmornpatana:Hi, Thanks Marika

Seun:Hi Marika

Seun:kindly remember to call my number

Marika Konings:Hi Seun, we have you on the list for a dial-out, no worries

Wanawit Ahkuputra GAC:Hi Marika

Yasuichi Kitamura (ISOC-JP):hi, all.

Graeme Bunton:Good morning

Yasuichi Kitamura (ISOC-JP):unfortunately, soon, 22:00 here. :-)

Pitinan Kooarmornpatana:soon 8pm here :)

Pitinan Kooarmornpatana:could I test the audio... some background music?

Yasuichi Kitamura (ISOC-JP):I could hear the voice of the conference center.

Marika Konings:We are connecting the audio bridge

erick iriarte:hi marika

Marika Konings:Hi Erick

erick iriarte:i had some troubles with the conference center

Pitinan Kooarmornpatana:okie sound - checked

Marika Konings:@Erick - sorry to hear. If we can assist with a dial-out, please let us know.

James Gannon:Morning/Evening All

Bernard Turcotte:Hello!

jaap akkerhuis:Looks like I'm on

Bernard Turcotte:Very Bad echo on my end - anyone else?

Alan Greenberg:Still waiting to get on bridge.

Derby:Hello, its Derby from Lusaka Zambia joining the meeting

Marika Konings:@Bernie - no echo on my end. Maybe try connecting again?

Alan Greenberg:On now

Bernard Turcotte:muting speakers on Adobe seems to clear it up

Marika Konings:that should definitely help ;-)

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):Is everyone else hearing the static?

Marika Konings:sound is loud and clear here in Brussels

James Gannon:Yes Chuck its someones Mic

Alan Greenberg:No static here

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:audio all clear for me @chuck

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):Static stopped on my side.

Marika Konings:Please mute your lines and mics when not speaking

Derby:all clear for me

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):I am here as well.

Matthew Shears:Hello

Kieren McCarthy:Kieren McCarthy also here

Amr Elsadr:I'm in the AC room, but will dial in now.

Mary Uduma:Mary Uduma is here

Seun:Seun Ojedeji is also present

Grace Abuhamad:thanks you. noted

Byron Holland (ccNSO):Audio is clear for me - Byron

Berry Cobb:This chart and its dates are a visual summary extract of the Work Plan that was reviewed at the ICANN51 LA session.

Marika Konings:Please mute your lines and mics when not speaking.

Martin Boyle:martin boyle joined: I can't dial in and my line keeps interupting

Alan Greenberg:Under AOB, can we please add the possibility of Alternates. Given the possible difficulty with visas, this seems essential.

Seun:It was suggested that the f2f be added under AOB

Marika Konings:@Martin - do you need a dial out?

Greg Shatan:I've joined the call and the Adobe room.

Amr Elsadr:Not getting anything on the screen.

Marika Konings:@Amr, you may want to try restarting your AC

Amr Elsadr:Are these principles on how we do our work, or principles on which the proposal will be developed?

Guru Acharya:W.R.T Transparency: If volunteers are working in smaller working groups, then their deliberations should also be available in a publicly archived mailing list.

Byron Holland (ccNSO):Good point Elise!!

Alan Greenberg:Can we please have scrolling of principles?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:nice catch indeed @elis

Philip Corwin:Yes, unlock the screen so we can scroll and see entire document

Amr Elsadr:http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2408226

Seun:is that the url referred @Amr

Amr Elsadr:Yes. It's an updated version of the IGP paper. Not the same as the one submitted earlier this year.

Byron Holland (ccNSO):Alan - too granular at this point

Alan Greenberg:Perhaps but I would like to understand expectations.

Matthew Shears:the delinking issue has sort of been addressed through the two track approach in the accountability process (although the degree of linkage or delinkage is a matter of debate)

Seun:Under the second item, i am thinking its a proposal and not proposal(s)

Amr Elsadr:To be clear, I was suggesting the the IGP's principles be discussed and considered, not adopted. I am more in favour of the more granular type of principles. We don't have very much time to submit the first draft proposal. We need to get into the nitty-gritty quickly.

James Gannon:Under transparency at a high level I think a note that all CWG deliberations must be public and on list (with the exception of F2F) would be worth adding

Maarten Botterman:trying to talk

Maarten Botterman:back now

Lars Erik Forsberg:Agree with Milton

Seun:I think Milton made my point

Amr Elsadr:Also agree with Milton. We need the more granular and detailed principles. Lets start talking about those.

Seun:if we have to state any principle then we just copy and paste what is on the NTIA requirement

Guru Acharya:+1 for Milton

Matthew Shears:Probably need two separate sets of principles - CWG working principles; transition principles.

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):+1 to Matthew

James Gannon:I think given the timelines we need to more proposal based work as soon as possible.

Guru Acharya:+1 to Matthew

Mark Carvell:The point was well-made in the GAC discussions in LA that the articulation of high level principles should be beyond what is already well understood and established but assist in setting out the vision for IANA. its stewardship and inter alia appropriate effective accountability, redress mechanisms and review.

Staffan Jonson:Maarten: hear hear

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):Because of the large number of participants it would be helpful if everyone identified who they represented along with their name in Adobe.

Milton:Mark: the way to do that is to construct a particular organizational proposal for how the new IANA should operate

Marika Konings:Please note that you can update your name in Adobe Connect by using the 'edit my info' menu option when you hoover over your name in the attendee pod and right click

Byron Holland (ccNSO):Chuck +1 - we are not all known to each other, so name, community, and member or participant would be helpful in the early going

Amr Elsadr:The requirement of this CWG to follow bottom-up processes in its work, and the need to meet the NTIA requirements are all part of the charter of this CWG. We don't need new principles on how the CWG works.

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Thanks Marika

Byron Holland (ccNSO):Good point Greg. Perhaps a n action item ?

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):+1 Amr

Mark Carvell:Milton: agree entirely but not yet at that stage - in UK at least. I woudlexpect that agreeing principles with our stakeholder advisory group will enable us to focus on potential model(s). Maybe more widely in the GAC as well.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):+1

Stephanie Duchesneau:the CWG charter does distinguish certian principles for the proposals and others for the conduct of the CWG

Milton:Yes, Amr is right!!!! The leisurely pace in which we repeat the work that is already done is a bit disturbing

Lars Erik Forsberg:again someone talking sense

Martin Boyle:Amr+1

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Yes full agreement, we need to move to working on proposals not process

Matthew Shears (CDT):Agree - need to move to considering models and set a deadline for the submissions

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):+1 to Amr

Martin Boyle:But need principles or criteria to judge the proposals

Greg Shatan:As long as everybody agrees on the principles, we can move on. If not, we can see how we disagree.

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Yes lets work on what the solutions needs as opposed to the CWG

Kieren McCarthy:I agree with moving forward. There simply isn't time to spend a week discussing principles. If we must have them, why not set up a parallel team

Greg Shatan:In my view, these are simply a "short form" version of the requirements for the proposal (other than sub-bullet 1 under transparency).

Martin Boyle:Milton + 1

Greg Shatan:We are now wasting time talking about wasting time. This is becoming regressive....

Kieren McCarthy:I understand this culture of allowing everyone to speak and walking through issues but fundamentally we need the ability to run much more work-driven meetings

Brenden Kuerbis:Would suggest folks take a read of IETF draft proposal to get a good sense of the issues, depth at which we need to be discussing.

Guru Acharya:Request chair to please stop comments on current item and move to the next item.

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):I think we need to have discussions about options such as the document Guru Acharya offered here: https ://docs.google.com/document/d/1B46mlsyZUFF4bZfeWgGCdqIQHCP2BMOy4KZU4RiRiE8/edit?usp=sharing

Milton:Elise, have you read the charter of the CWG?

Kieren McCarthy:What about links to proposals already put forward by others? I'm thinking APNIC and IETF - to get a sense of what others are already doing

Byron Holland (ccNSO):Reminder to put your hand down when you are finished your comment

Milton:Right, Seun!

Matthew Shears (CDT):this may help: http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-ianaplan-icg-response/?include_text=1

Graeme Bunton:Going mobile, may drop.

Bernard Turcotte:Good idea

Elise Lindeberg GAC:Milton - just pointing out that i think the community will expect us to have some agreed principles that has guided our work - not as principles that is going to be put out there as part of the final ressult

Milton:Elise, I think the community expects us to get our work done. Note that the protocols and numbers people are already discussing draft proposals, and the protocols proposal is on its third draft

Elise Lindeberg GAC:Milton - noted :)

Milton::-)

Mark Carvell:Martin & Bernie: I will check with Peter Nettlefold (Australian GAC rep lead on this issue) when the GAC document of guiding principles can be made available to the CWG as a cross check with consensus government expectations.

Guru Acharya:If smaller working groups (sub-groups) are working in parallel, then the deliberations of the smaller working groups should also be available in publicly archived mailing lists.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):I don't think we need those details about commuties use of IANA function. I think it should be relative, this group is all about names and we should focus on that alone

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):+1 Guru we cannot obfuscate the transparency of any of these subgroups

Alan Greenberg:SSAC reports SAC067 and SAC068 cover a lot of what is being described here regarding background knowledge needed.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):+1 to that Alan

erick iriarte:marka/grace question, could you share the ppt in the emailing list?

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):I think we are massivly overcomplicating this by having subgroups, subgroup chairs and again addinng layers of process that are going to slow down work.

erick iriarte:marika/grace question: could you sahre the ppt in the emailing list?

Marika Konings:@Erick - we'll share all the documents presented during this meeting as well as notes shortly after this meeting and will also have them posted on the wiki

Bernard Turcotte:sorry I just fell off the call dialing back in

Kieren McCarthy:Can I grab that presentation file somewhere?

erick iriarte:thanks. :)

Milton:Agree with Chuck

Amr Elsadr:Yes. It'd be great if the slides were uploaded to the wiki.

Greg Shatan:+1 to Chuck

Matthew Shears (CDT):+1 Chuck

Carolina Aguerre:Agree wtih Chuck.

Guru Acharya:+1 to Chuck

Bernard Turcotte:back

Greg Shatan:Who does NOT want to be in subgroup 3?

Marika Konings:Maybe the work of subgroup 3 can be carried out on the main mailing list so that everyone can follow along? While for the others we could create separate, publicly archived mailing lists.

Matthew Shears (CDT):+1 Marika

Kieren McCarthy:+1 Marika

Amr Elsadr:Will this subgroup have additional calls apart from that of the whole group?

Guru Acharya:+1 to Marika

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):+1 Marika

Brenden Kuerbis:+1 Marika

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):+1 Seun

Guru Acharya:Maybe atleast the higher level option/scenario can be first decided on the main mailing list and once that option is agreed upon then we can give the detailed work to the smaller sub-group.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:No we do need a degree of stucture for this nit ad hoc organisations to b more timeley and show responsabilities.

Amr Elsadr:Can we get scrolling control of the slides being shared please?

Marika Konings:@Amr - you should be able to scroll through the screen

Greg Shatan:Agree with Seun. We can't all hold the pen simultaneously.   But we should all be in the discussion.

Mary Uduma:The line breaks and I am finding it difficult to keep up with the meeting

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):I don't think they should

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):thats why i suggested it should not be a formal group

Marika Konings:Additional calls could also be set up, depending on the needs of the sub-group

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Yes to the ipoeness but siomeone needs the 'responsabilities' especially when in sub group mode

Marika Konings:From experience with other efforts, it really depends on the sub-group whether work is done via the mailing list and/or calls

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):Just a team of about 3 to 5 who will be pen holders for the draft with all of us having a comment access

Guru Acharya:+1 Seun

Amr Elsadr:If there won't be dedicated calls for subgroup 3 to help their work coming along quicker, then I don't really see the point?

Mark Carvell:Not sure why IV is a separate chunk of activity from III - ok if in parallel with III I guess. Correct me if I missed the main objective of IV.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):+1 to Elise

Mary Uduma:I strongly support the process of working in subgroups or draftig groups to develop the draft work for the review of the CWG .

Amr Elsadr:I also think subgroups is a good idea. I would also support extra calls for the subgroups to fascilitate faster delivery.

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):+1 to Amr

Suzanne Woolf:Are "participants" eligible to join sub-groups?

Suzanne Woolf:Oh, that's answered, never mind :)

Derby:the sub group is good idea, this way work will be done faster

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):I think we have to get Group III staffed today

Guru Acharya:The deliberations of Group 1 and 2 are already ongoing? Can you please point us to thier mailing list?

Mary Uduma:+1@ Suxanne

Matthew Shears (CDT):The bulk of the work lies in 3 and 4 - the work in 1 and 2 is more just research and listing of existng processes.

Matthew Shears (CDT):Need to jump into 3 now to save time I think

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):+1 to Mark Carvell

Kieren McCarthy:So I agree with Bernie - may be useful to have one week where everyone focuses on what the situation is now so we all have a baseline understanding before starting work

Martin Boyle:sorry, got to leave

Guru Acharya:I dont understand why group 1 and group 2 deliberations are not open.

Suzanne Woolf:@Matthew: no time to lose

Elise Lindeberg GAC:Agree Mark- we could start group 3 now, - lot of work could start even if result from group 1 and 2 are pending

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):@ Guru: Group 1/2 is open. please join.

Staffan Jonson:structure adreed

Staffan Jonson:structure agrreed

Guru Acharya:Please point me to the mailing list?

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Chuck: I think the issue was more are the discussions public?

Kieren McCarthy:Where is Group 1 /2 online?

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Yup I'll work with Group 3

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):I volunteer for Group 3.

Staffan Jonson:volunteer group 3

erick iriarte:i volunter for group 3 too :)

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):I volunteer for Group 3

Kieren McCarthy:So I will volunteer to work with group 1/2 in order to help produce documents that are easy to read and understand

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Can we just have a signup on list please

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:As do I   @CLO

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):For public archive

Mark Carvell:Keep sub-group set up as simple as possible to avoid overlap and irisk of inconsistency - e.g. merge III and IV . Happy to join this group.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):I am up for 1 and 3 if its not overkill already

Matthew Shears (CDT):who does not want to be in group 3?

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):@James: A first draft will be posted to the CWG before the next meeting for everyone to review and comment on. Alan will talk about that in the next agenda item.

Kris Seeburn:i think signup is better

Milton:Kudos to Kieren to make sections 1 and 2 clear

Mary Uduma:+1@ Bart

Amr Elsadr:Also please upload the slides to the CWG wiki.

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):@Chuck thanks for the clarification =)

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):i presume item 4 to 6 would not require a sub-group

Donna Austin:Donna Austin from th RySG also volunteers for sub-group 3.

Amr Elsadr:@Seun: +1. I guess those two could be done collectively by the whole group when the others are done.

Milton:When and where does one OFFICIALLY volunteer/sign up for something?

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Milton: I would hope on lsit for transparency

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):*list

Matthew Shears (CDT):I volunteer for 3 and 4

Brenden Kuerbis:Agree that proposed groups, volunteering needs to happen on list

Paul Kane:I volunteer for 3

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):I think we should agree on which of the items require a sub-group and which does not

Suzanne Woolf:@brenden +1 or an open wiki or....public, easily accessible.

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Marika throw me down for Group 4 aswell please

Carolina Aguerre:I volunteer for 4

Stephanie Duchesneau:please include me for subgroup three

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):turn off speakers

Amr Elsadr:Definitely not better. :)

Milton:ALL: I thought we were told that volunteering here was NOT the place to do so

Alan Greenberg:Impressive echo!

Vika Mpisane:No that's not better at all:-)

Amr Elsadr:Volunteering will be on-list.

Marika Konings:We can create a wiki page for each sub-group and list members that have volunteered so there is an easily accessible public record.

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Marika. :)

Marika Konings:including a link to the google drive, so sub-groups can decide whether to post any drafts there or use the wiki instead (in either case there will be one location from where you will be able to get to any information concerning the sub-groups)

Vika Mpisane:That's a good idea, Marika

Suzanne Woolf:there's some decent background reading available, work in ietm 1/2 is largely in producing an assessment focused on the naming functions specifically

Kieren McCarthy:Link Suzanne?

Suzanne Woolf:I think the SSAC67 link has been here before but: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-067-en.pdf(overview of IANA functions) and https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-068-en.pdf (deconstruction of the contract)

Kieren McCarthy:great tks

Vika Mpisane:Allan's breaking up

Alan Greenberg:SSAC reports 67 and 68 at https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/documents-2012-02-25-en

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Allan: I will volunteer to assist with contract triage.

Milton:activate my mic! no longer on phone

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Allan I can assist with that asweel as an 'outside eye' if needed

Kieren McCarthy:Ah - the SSAC doc - I thought I'd missed something

Amr Elsadr:Milton, YOU need to activate your mic.

Milton:still getting on the phone

Donna Austin:I like Allan's approach

Alan Greenberg:Mark hard to hear

Kieren McCarthy:I am thinking whether there are missing categories. So far this looks like a very logical approach

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):am here

Suzanne Woolf:One of the challenges of parsing the contract is that it incorporates ICANN's proposal as part of the contract, so there may be provisions specifics to USG and there may also be provisions specific to ICANN choices of how to provide it.

Milton:I am on the line now

Guru Acharya:+1: I agree this presupposes a contract

Mark Carvell:Many thanks Alan. The A- E breakdown looks just right to me. Very good basis for this important analysis that will provide a base-line arrangement on which to build.

Kris Seeburn:But can also be used a a checklist against proposal as well...

Matthew Shears (CDT):+ 1 Kris

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):In which of the 6 items does the reviewing IANA contract fall?

Guru Acharya:+1 to Milton

Matthew Shears (CDT):Agree with Milton - perhaps rather than retain we should say "take into consideration"

Mark Carvell:A,C and D should intersect with the principles asap. Then see what needs tobe enhanced or augmented in a proposal for a structure.

Eduardo Diaz:Which group is going to go through this? Groop II?

Mark Carvell:Eduardo: more for baseline for group III.

Guru Acharya:I think everyone agrees that this work is necessary as long as the "retain in contract" is renamed to "retain for consideration"

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):Agree with Alan that the triage work should be done by a separate group.

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):I think it will be easier to do the contract triage after we finish 3.

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):Some of the triage could start now though.

Eduardo Diaz:@Mark: it seem to me that this is another group all by itself. It should be a sub-group of subgroup II

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:agree @`\

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Chuck, I think the triage will inform the work of Group 3.

Alan Greenberg:@Guru Where does it say "retain in contract"?

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):Let's call it Group 2.5, since it is both backward and forward looking.

Mark Carvell:Who would be in this sub-group in addition to Alan? That woudl usefully input into III I would suggest

Matthew Shears (CDT):+1 Greg

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):@Guru - I purposely chose the term 'retain in a future arrangement' rather than 'contract'

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):@ Greg: the triage will inform 3 and 3 will inform triage.

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Chuck: A virtuous cycle :-)

Guru Acharya:@allan: Understood and agreed

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Yes

Mark Carvell:Greg: 2.5 - this is getting bureaucratic (that is a bureaucrat speaking).

Elise Lindeberg GAC:I think the group on lokking into the contract is at the core of our work - we need an early up discussion on this - how to secure perfomance and replace IANA function if not by contract....

Matthew Shears (CDT):do we need to set a deadline for the submission of proposals for consideration?

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):i think google drive is good for both

Bernard Turcotte:That was the idea = repository

Eduardo Diaz:@Seun: How do you use it for commenting anything

Brenden Kuerbis:Agree with Seun. Re commenting on Google Docs, see https://support.google.com/docs/answer/65129?hl=en

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):it has highlight and comment

Amr Elsadr:@Eduardo: Drive allows threads of comments on specific text.

Amr Elsadr:@Eduard: What Seun said.

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):Alternates should be funded if the member cannot attend.

Amr Elsadr:@Alan: +1

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:of course needs to be sorted

Amr Elsadr:I think Olivier's request was for anothe CWG, right?

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):No for this one

Alan Greenberg:Since dates are fixed and so close, there WILL be people who cannot get visa. Also, several people have said they cannot attend due to prior commitments. SO we will need to resolved this quickly if alternates will be allowed.

Eduardo Diaz:@Amr: The way comments work in Google drive is by document (withing the document) you can 't add a general comment about various documents or work in general.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Exactlky Alan

Amr Elsadr:@Eduardo: Yes. That's true. A wiki would work better for that purpose.

Guru Acharya:Will the calls of the sub-groups be transcribed and translated?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:@edwardo @Amr, go for a hybrid model develope the drfts in G Docs, and ensure that regular versio updates are posted to wiki for more general comment ??

Marika Konings:@Guru - I believe the agreement was concerning the main CWG meetings as there was no talk of sub-groups at that time yet.

Amr Elsadr:@CLO: Sounds like a plan. :)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:LOL that's 'my line' @ Amr ;-)

Amr Elsadr::)

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):For those of us travelling independently so we have a confirmed venue for Frankfurt (Want to get hotel closeby)

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):I don't understand how alternates will really work in this case since its going to be a lot of pre activity and post activity

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):*do we

Alan Greenberg:Participants can do as much work as "members", so no reason that they cannot be alternates.

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):I assume the alternates would be drawn from the Participants.

Alan Greenberg:Only thing they cannot do is vote.

Marika Konings:@Alan - correct, the assumption is that alternates would be chosen from the existing participants as those have the background and have hopefully actively participated

Byron Holland (ccNSO - participant):can we go back to the timeline slide

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Indeed @`Greg

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):I assume the Participants acting as alternate Members would be able to vote.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):Okay i just lost my Audio

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):again i don't understand what made the time to be the best

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):can i just get an explanation about why that is the best

Amr Elsadr:@Alan, @Greg: If I'm not mistaken, Seun may be concerned that not all members have participants repping their communities who could act as alternates. I may, of course, be mistaken.

Marika Konings:@Greg - the CWG is expected to operate on the basis of consensus, not voting

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):thats my point @Amr

Marika Konings:To see current list of members and participants, please see https://community.icann.org/x/1QrxAg

Alan Greenberg:@Amr, correct, some participants are private agents. But if a group names someone as alternate, then they defacto represent.... At least in my view.

Brenden Kuerbis:Given the time, other contraints it is imperative that drafts are circulated among stakeholders _as they are developed_. Its the only way we'll hit the target.

Marika Konings:@Chuck - yes, we will confirm this as soon as we can

Byron Holland (ccNSO - participant):Chuck +1

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):@Alan not sure i understand what private agents mean....but the point is that the f2f is a critical meeting that requires members to participate. Its not just about attending

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):Who would decide which Partcipant' would be chosen as the replecment =

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):its the ability to participate

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Marika: Then the alternate should be able to participate as a Member in the consensus call (and in any polling, which does not constitute voting per the Charter).

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Allan: I assume it would be the nominating organization.

Amr Elsadr:@Alan: Yes of course, and I appreciate the challenge in scheduling a F2F. Still, I'm guessing it won't be easy to get an alternate for AFRALO.

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):@ Allan: The group that a member represents should decide who the alternate is.

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):@Chuk - what is 'the group'? The GNSO Council as a whole for example?

Bart Boswinkel:I assume alternates have to be particpants of the group, if appointed by SO/AC?

Amr Elsadr:@Marika: +1

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Allan: In GNSO, each SG put up a Member, so the SG would decide which alternate to "elevate"

Allan MacGillivray (.ca):@Bart - they can become 'participants' fairly quickly

Eduardo Diaz:@Marika: Thnaks for the clarification

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):@ Alan: The RySG for the group I am in. etc.

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Bart, functionally that would the most useful method, esp. given the short timeframe.

Alan Greenberg:@Allan, should be participants from start (or at least now) - otherwise to much catch up

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):what is wrong with nov 20th to 22nd if it works for all?

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):@ Alan Greenburg: Yes, alternates should come from participants.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:yup

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:ACTIVE participants

Marika Konings:@Amr - yes

Greg Shatan (GNSO/CSG/IPC):@Cheryl: Hyperactive participants? :-)

Amr Elsadr:Thanks.

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):@ Cheryl: Yes, Active Participants.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:LOL @ Greg

Staffan Jonson:Excellent chairing, Lise. Thank You all for a very focused meeting. A lot is beeing covered in short time

Byron Holland (ccNSO - participant):Well done Lise :=)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Thanks all good call...

Chuck Gomes (RySG/Verisign):Thanks Lise.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):and for my region active participants is not readily available

James Gannon (Independent - Security Focus):Thanks Lise

Matthew Shears (CDT):Many thanks!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Thanks @Lise

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.

Eduardo Diaz:Adios

Peter Van Roste - Participant (ccNSO, CENTR):Thanks LIse, bye everyone.

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):bye

Mary Uduma:Bye

Seun Ojedeji (AFRALO/ALAC):cheers

Carolina Aguerre:Thank you Lise and All

Paradorn Athichtsakul:Thank you

Guru Acharya:I'd like to thank Grace for managing everything wonderfully!