






<p>CSC Effectiveness Review Final Report</p> <p>IN PROGRESS - ON HOLD</p>	<p>13 Mar 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> In accordance with terms of the CSC Effectiveness Review Template, the <i>Chair of GNSO Council</i> and the Chair of ccNSO Council are requested to recommend report to IANA Naming Function Review Team (IFRT) as soon as that is established. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The GNSO Council instructs the <i>GNSO Secretariat</i> to share the results of this motion with the CSC. 	<p>k drazek Pam Little Rafik DammakPhilippe Fouquart</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings Steve Chan</p>	
<p>Evolution of the Multi stakeholder Model of Governance</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>26 Jun 2019</p> <p>18 Jul 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to investigate the anticipated timing, funding and expected deliverables for the Evolution of the Multi stakeholder Model of Governance project. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN staff</i> to invite Brian Cute to a future Council meeting <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Rafik Dammak</i> to invite Brian Cute to future PDP 3.0 meeting to make sure to not create overlapping work on the Evolution of the MSM <input type="checkbox"/> <i>PDP3.0 small team</i> to draft response to Public Comment and submit to Council for review. Consider inviting Brian once the Public Comment closes. <input type="checkbox"/> If applicable, <i>ccNSO and GNSO</i> to form joint team to identify any commonalities or differences. Also look at budget and 5 year strategic plan. 	<p>Rafik Dammak Pam Little k drazek Philippe Fouquart Maarten Simon</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings Steve Chan</p>	
<p>PDP updates</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>10 Mar 2019</p> <p>18 Jul 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to propose path forward to obtain clarification / provide view concerning intersection between the Name Collisions Analysis Project and SubPro PDP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN Staff</i> to consider timing for GNSO Policy webinar (e.g., two weeks prior). <i>ICANN Staff</i> to consider suggested changes to slide format raised during meeting. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to provide guidance to SubPro PDP on how to navigate interdependent topics (e.g., assumptions doc, Name Collisions Analysis Project) and potentially dependent PDPs (e.g., RPMs). <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to draft a note to the ICANN Board on NCAP. 	<p>Rafik Dammak Pam Little k drazek</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings Steve Chan</p>	
<p>Managing IDN Variants TLDs</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>18 Apr 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff to develop options paper to support small group efforts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>GNSO Chair</i> to send message to Council list to expand volunteer pool expertise beyond Council. Small team to consider and propose scope of holistic IDN review, prior to outreach with ccNSO. (Volunteers: Rubens Kuhl, Maxim Alzoba, Philippe Fouquart, Edmon Chung, Michele Neylon) (Small team believes it has requisite expertise and adequate volunteers) <input type="checkbox"/> <i>GNSO Chair</i> to email Katrina Sataki to inform her of immediate next steps and willingness determine the best coordination method between the GNSO and ccNSO. <input type="checkbox"/> Once GNSO small team is able to gain an understanding of the scope of effort and potential vehicle for resolution, <i>ICANN staff</i> to schedule call to identify structure for coordination between the GNSO and ccNSO. GNSO and ccNSO to identify where coordination is and is NOT needed. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN staff</i> to reach out to Maarten Simon and Philippe Fouquart to determine if they are willing to lead action items above. (Both Maarten and Philippe are members of the scoping team) <input type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN staff</i> to investigate format for JIG and see if it may be fit for purpose. (Upon review, this letter concerning the closure of the JIG was found. It will be discussed by the Council small team.) <input type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN Staff</i> to ensure that GNSO liaison(s) are assigned to the IDN ccPDP, when the ccNSO initiates that effort <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to draft a note to the ccNSO on string similarity and IDNs (together or separate). 	<p>Rubens Kuhl k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak Maxim Alzoba Philippe Fouquart Edmon Chung Michele Neylon Maarten Simon Philippe FouquartDennis Tan Tanaka</p> <hr/> <p>Steve Chan Ariel Liang</p>	<p>Regarding the JIG format, the small team is not ready to look at that yet. but it's on their radar.</p>
<p>IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>30 Apr 2018</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Susan Kawaguchi and Heather Forrest</i> to prepare report on the usage of the 3.7 Appeal Process contained in the GNSO Operating Procedure that is intended to capture the process used and lessons learned. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Small team</i> to prepare draft RPMs charter amendments (which will likely include engagement with the IGOs/GAC afterwards) (Volunteers, Paul McGrady as lead, Martin Silva Valent, Elsa Saade, Keith Drazek) 	<p>Susan KawaguchiRafik Dammak k drazek Pam Little Paul McGrady Elsa Saade Martin P Valent</p> <hr/> <p>Mary Wong Steve ChanNathalie Peregrine</p>	<p>Staff preparing final draft for Heather and Susan's sign-off.</p>
<p>IFR team</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>16 Aug 2018</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Once the Council receives the receipt for IFR membership confirmation, Council to consider how best to coordinate co-chair selection with ccNSO, which will be responsible for selecting the ccNSO co-chair. <input type="checkbox"/> Council to consider selection process by which a GNSO appointed member of the IANA Function Review (IFR) team will be selected to serve as the GNSO co-chair on the IFR as the ICANN Bylaws stipulate that "(d) The IFRT shall be led by two co-chairs: one appointed by the GNSO from one of the members appointed pursuant to clauses (c)-(f) of Section 18.7 and one appointed by the ccNSO from one of the members appointed pursuant to clauses (a)-(b) of Section 18.7" once the IFR membership has been confirmed. <input type="checkbox"/> IANA Function Review (IFR) Team composition: Review of the ccNSO proposal to manage deficiencies - <i>Councilors</i> to take discussion on ccNSO proposal (here: https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/council/2019-January/022175.html) to their respective SG/C and to then consider what input they would like to provide. 	<p>k drazek Pam Little</p>	
<p>ICANN Org assumptions for new round of new gTLDs</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>22 Aug 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider if they would like to provide a written response to ICANN Org, to be delivered by the end of September. <i>Councilors</i> to inform their respective SG/Cs of the timeline for providing input. 	<p>Rafik Dammak k drazek Pam Little</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings</p>	


<p>EPDP phase 1 recommendations</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>16 May 2019</p> <p>29 Jun 2019</p> <p>18 Jul 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to, in consultation with the EPDP team, write communication to ICANN Board and seek to secure board support for Rec #12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to reach out to their respective SG/Cs to help inform the drafting of the letter to the Board; seek to finalize by 29 July 2019. If the need arises, <i>Council leadership</i> to convene small team to help further develop the letter. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to work to complete the letter, which may include integrating elements such as: When the letter references that some Councilors having dissenting views, that the group holding those dissenting views are identified. Add clarity about the ask of the ICANN Board (e.g., confirm that the Board and Council have a common understanding). <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Rafik Dammak</i> to confirm when recommendation one, purpose 2 will be expected to be discussed by the EPDP phase 2 	<p>k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings Nathalie Peregrine</p>	
<p>EPDP Phase 2 Work plan package</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>22 Aug 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to review EPDP Phase 2 work plan package and share any comments or questions with the mailing list 	<p>k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings Steve Chan Berry Cobb</p>	
<p>RPM Charter amendments</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p> <p>TO BE MOVED TO PROJECT LIST</p>	<p>16 May 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to circulate call for volunteers to draft Charter amendments for Phase 2 of Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs, following completion of Council action on Charter Addendum concerning referral of IGO-INGO Curative Rights PDP Recommendation 5. 	<p>k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak</p> <p>Paul McGrady Martin P Valent</p> <hr/> <p>Mary Wong Julie Hedlund Ariel Liang</p>	<p>These actions are pending the Council's approval of a RPM Charter addendum to handle the Recommendation 5 referral via a separate work track. See below for an update on drafting work concerning this referral.</p>
<p>Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy (IRTP) Policy Status Report</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>13 Mar 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to further consider next steps in relation to the review of the Transfer Policy (which could include the chartering of a narrowly focused EPDP) which would result in providing GDD information /response on expected next steps and/or seek additional information as the Council considers how to approach the Transfer Policy review, for example, holistically instead of piecemeal. The Council anticipates receiving input from the Registrars Stakeholder Group on the Review of the Transfer Policy as well as the request for the suspension of the contractual compliance enforcement of the FOA. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Rubens Kuhl</i>, as Council liaison, to reach out to the IRT for the EPDP Phase 1 to have a conversation and gain a better understanding of how to address recommendation 24 and the Form of Authorization issue stemming from the Temporary Specification. Marked completed as it has been confirmed that the EPDP Phase 1 will not address the FOA issue beyond the changes that have been recommended by the EPDP Phase 1. 	<p>k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak Rubens Kuhl</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings Steve Chan Caitlin Tubergen</p>	
<p>CCT-RT recommendations</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>	<p>26 Jun 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to convene small team to consider CCT-RT recommendations referred to the GNSO and GNSO PDPs and determine next steps (Volunteers: Pam Little to lead, Carlos Gutierrez, Michele Neylon) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small team to provide proposed approach to GNSO Council <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN staff</i> to add CCT-RT to the August Council meeting agenda planning document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to review draft text and provide input, if applicable, prior to the September 2019 Council meeting, 13 September 2019 at the latest. 	<p>Pam Little Carlos Raul Gutierrez Michele Neylon</p> <hr/> <p>Steve Chan Emily Barabas</p>	
<p>Request for Clarification on Data Accuracy and Phase-2 of the Expedited Policy Development Process (EPDP) on the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>	<p>26 Jun 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to draft response to ICANN org, acknowledging receipt of the letter, acknowledgment of the underlying issue, and committing to determine next steps as a Council. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN staff</i> to include an agenda item on the September Council meeting, inviting ICANN Org to speak on the impact of GDPR as well as the EPDP 1 recommendations on the enforcement and implementation of existing policies, procedures, and contractual provisions related to accuracy (including ARS). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to convene a small group to draft a substantive response on data accuracy for Council review to send to ICANN Org in response to 21 June letter (https://gnso.icann.org/en/correspondence/marby-to-drazek-21jun19-en.pdf) (volunteers: Marie, Darcy, Flip) 	<p>Rafik Dammak k drazek Pam Little</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings</p>	
<p>PDP3.0 updates</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>	<p>22 Aug 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to review and provide feedback on the finalized implementation elements (1, 2, 3, 6) by 13 September 2019 at the latest. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN Staff</i> to send slides and remind Councilors of the deadline for input. 	<p>k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak</p> <hr/> <p>Marika Konings Steve Chan Berry Cobb</p>	
<p>IRP IOT</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>	<p>26 Jun 2019</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to convene small team to review questions posed by ICANN org. (Volunteers: Flip Petillion as lead, Elsa Saade) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small team to provide proposed Council response to questions to GNSO Council 	<p>Flip Petillion Elsa Saade</p> <hr/> <p>Julie Hedlund</p>	

ATRT3 Survey 	22 Aug 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to draft response to ATRT3 Survey (volunteers: none)	k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak <hr/> Marika Konings Steve Chan	No GNSO Council response to ATRT3 survey deemed necessary.
Questions from the RPM PDP Working Group Co-Chairs 	22 Aug 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to review Council leadership response to RPM leadership (see https://gns0.icann.org/en/correspondence/gns0-council-to-rpm-pdp-co-chairs-22aug19-en.pdf) and advise Council leadership of any questions, comments or concerns in relation to the response.	k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak <hr/> Mary Wong Julie Hedlund Ariel Liang	
ICANN66 Draft Schedule 	22 Aug 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN Staff</i> to send travel reminders to councilors	Nathalie Peregrine	

2018 Strategic Planning Session (SPS) Action Items

SPS Segment	Entry Date	Action Item	Responsible Party	Additional Notes
Role and responsibilities of Council leadership		<input type="checkbox"/> Council to consider whether existing mechanisms provide for sufficient opportunity to conduct "emergency" consultations to allow for GNSO Chair to gather input on certain topics and/or decisions that need to be taken. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council members to provide leadership team with feedback on leadership team's performance & actions on a regular basis. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current Council Chair (Heather Forrest) to provide report at the end of her term outlining main challenges / concerns / recommendations in fulfilling the role. <input type="checkbox"/> Council to consider whether Council leadership should have a contract / letter of appointment that would outline role, responsibilities and expectations.		
Changes to GNSO Operating Procedures and related governance documents to facilitate participation in Empowered Community 		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to reach out to SG/C leadership to notify them of the changes made to the GNSO Operating Procedures and the requirements for SG/Cs to develop supporting procedures and processes. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff to work on first draft of templates for motions related to EC powers as highlighted in the staff report (see https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/proposed-revisionsgns0-op-procedures-bylaws-staff-report-16may17-en.pdf).		
What does Council need/want to achieve in 2018, and how to do this? 		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Donna Austin to share comments on scheduling of cross-community discussions with the GNSO Council. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff to keep project timing planning document up to date and share it with the Council prior to every ICANN meeting to facilitate review and oversight of timelines. Explore whether there is a more dynamic way in which the document can be publicly shared. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to reach out to leadership of Curative Rights and Reconvened WG on RCRC to see whether it is feasible to deliver Final Reports within 6-month timeframe. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to notify ICANN Board with regards to PDPs that are expected to deliver to finalize their report shortly so that concerns or issues can be raised prior to GNSO Council consideration. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to request update from GNSO-appointed members to RDS Review Team at upcoming GNSO Council meeting. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council to explore mechanisms and tools for monitoring / reviewing costs related to PDP WGs with a view to improving efficiency and effectiveness <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council members to review framework for post-implementation reviews and provide input. Council leadership to add this to an upcoming Council meeting agenda to continue this discussion. Council to consider forming a small group of volunteers to work with staff on further developing the framework.		

2019 Strategic Planning Session (SPS) Action Items

SPS Segment	Entry Date	Action Item	Responsible Party	Additional Notes
Other 		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> team to send "thank you" note to Becky Burr for her presentation and James Bladel for his facilitation assistance.		

<p>What is the GNSO Council and what does it do?</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to seek to map out timing elements for utilizing the nine powers held by the EC. Consider if and how an emergency community consultation mechanism can be integrated. (Note: the GNSO Bylaws Drafting Team is in the midst of addressing this item. Target for delivery date is post-ICANN65) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to go through examples/scenarios to see how the EC powers can be utilized. This should include going down into the SG/C level of detail. (see DT Timeline and Background Documents) 		
<p>Role and responsibilities of GNSO more broadly</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> For the section 3.6 Speaking at Meetings of the GNSO Operating Procedures, <i>Council</i> to consider revising this section since it is not strictly enforced. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership and staff</i> to consider documenting the internal operating procedures of the leadership team. Create a Chair/Vice-chair handbook, to help understand role and responsibilities. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to make the Council meeting planning document public, to both help Councilors stay informed about upcoming topics, but to seek their input for meeting preparation. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to investigate if the GNSO can serve as a Slack guinea pig in the already existing pilot effort within ICANN org. The use of Slack would be incumbent on a review of this platform first to understand how its threads would be archived and what level of access ICANN org would have to privileged or private communications between members. The GNSO Council will discuss whether document drafting can take place in Slack, but because the Council highly values transparency and accountability, the Council agreed that documents would need to be circulated on the archived email list to ensure that they are properly incorporated into the public record. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to liaise with the Nominating Committee to understand from Appointees and Panelists, what works, what does not within the process, and better understand how the selection process takes place. <i>Councilors</i> to investigate how NCAs can be better informed; identify what communications they might not be receiving. 		
<p>PDP 3.0 - General Discussion on three Improvements (#2, #5, & #13)</p> <p style="background-color: #2e7d32; color: white; text-align: center; padding: 2px;">COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to prepare a comparison table for the proposed Working Group models, which could include several factors: membership eligibility, operating procedures, decision-making, communicating decision-making, urgency/timing (e.g., prioritization). Consider creating pros/cons as well. 		
<p>PDP 3.0 - Sub-group presentation and wrap-up</p> <p style="background-color: #2e7d32; color: white; text-align: center; padding: 2px;">COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Small group of Councilors</i> to continue work of the sub-groups to make specific PDP 3.0 implementation recommendations. Council to agree to working methods. (<i>Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team</i>) 		
<p>Role and responsibilities of Council Liaisons</p> <p style="background-color: #2e7d32; color: white; text-align: center; padding: 2px;">COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider whether Consensus Policy Implementation Framework (CPIF) needs to be reevaluated as it relates to guidance for Council liaisons to IRTs, avoiding relitigation of policy issues, and escalation of issues (noting that the Policy & Implementation recommendations adopted in 2015 state that the recommendations, including the CPIF, should be evaluated after at most 5 years). (<i>Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team</i>) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider options for enhancing the WG participation model, including terms for participation that members must agree to. (<i>Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team</i>) 		
<p>How to manage Council's 2019 workload: strategies to increase effectiveness</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Erika Mann</i> to send note to Council upon the adoption of the new copyright law and the potential impact on GNSO policy work / DNS. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to form a small team to work on the definitions in the Project Timing Document in relation to definitions of priority and level of effort. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to update Project Timing Document to try and incorporate suggestions from Councilors. 		
<p>What does Council need/want to achieve in 2019, and how to do this?</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> As part of PDP 3.0, <i>Council</i> to establish PDPs Chair(s)/ liaisons communication / reporting timelines and requirements to Council. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider adding request for website upgrade to the Council comment to the FY20 budget. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider how to better understand the specific resourcing needs of PDP WGs (e.g., SCBO to meet with PDP leadership in August of every year). 		
<p>Lunch with the Board</p> <p style="background-color: #2e7d32; color: white; text-align: center; padding: 2px;">COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to follow up on status of RPMs litigation threats. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to get Council input on the Board initiatives for discussion in Kobe. 		

Wrap up and close of session	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to identify what are low-hanging fruit in the PDP 3.0 implementation plan. Prioritize/color-code. Identify dependencies (e.g., reporting is needed prior to evaluation). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recurring: <i>Small team of Councilors / Council leadership</i> to report progress of PDP 3.0 at each Council meeting. Establish project plan for implementation for PDP 3.0. (<i>Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> In order to better prioritize work, need to know capacity of Council, community, staff, <i>Staff</i> to get existing information from Berry Cobb about average commitment and <i>Council</i> to see if that can be utilized, leveraged, and/or updated. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reviewed prior version from 2017 and must be refreshed to be useful <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to check if ICANN legal has looked into the insurance for WG members question. 		
-------------------------------------	---	--	--

Other Council Related Action Items

Topic	Entry Date	Action Item	Responsible Party	Additional Notes
Nominating Committee (NomCom) Review Implementation Planning Team (IPT). <div style="background-color: #ADD8E6; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin-top: 5px;">IN PROGRESS</div>	18 Jul 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff to advise how to provide input to the NomCom about GNSO NomCom appointees, also what the GNSO Operating Procedures say with respect to the NomCom appointee.	Steve Chan	