IANA CWG Meeting #92 (15 September 2016 @ 06:00 UTC)

Attendees:

Members: Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Elise Lindberge, Jonathan Robinson, Lise Fuhr, Olivier Crepin-Leblond, Paul Kane, Wanawit Ahkuputra (7)

Participants: Chuck Gomes, Kavouss Arasteh, Martin Boyle Matthew Shears, Sabine Meyer, Sivasubramanian Muthusamy (6)

Legal Counsel: Josh Hofheimer

Staff: Nathalie Vergnolle, Trang Nguyen, Yuko Green

Apologies: David Conrad, Eduardo Diaz, Jaap Akkerhuis, Seun Ojedeji, Greg Shatan

Please let Brenda know if your name has been left off the list (attendees or apologies).

Agenda

1. Status Update (Chairs)
2. Implementation Update
   a. Staff update
3. Key Issues (with Sidley)
   a. Naming functions agreement
   b. Services agreement
   c. IANA IPR
4. Client Committee
5. AOB

Notes

1. Status update (Lise)

- 8 comments received on Naming function contract.

- ICANN board meeting today, will consider Naming function and Services agreements for approval.

- IANA IPR agreements public comment ended on 12 Sep.

2. Implementation update (Trang)

- ICANN finalizing the staff analysis on public comments received on the Naming functions agreement

- Revised version of Services agreement circulated to CWG prior to the call.

3. Key issues

3.1 Naming functions agreement

- Name of the agreement changed to contract

- Discussed comment from CENTR (3. Section 4.6) - the revised version proposed by CWG took care of this.

3.2 Services agreement

- Reviewed language of section 5.

- Schedule A bracketed text proposed by SIdley was agreed to by ICANN Legal.

- CWG asking for 24hrs to review the latest version.

ACTION (CWG): review latest version of Services agreement by 06:00UTC on Sep 16.

3.3 IANA IPR

- Role of the CCG reps - familiarity with IP rights seems preferable for co-chair. Time commitment should be light. Familiarity with the transition history/process would also seem appropriate.

- Term: unspecified at this time. Interim reps to be in place until the Naming community decides to replace them.

- Discussed potential conflict of interest.

- Discussed looking for candidates as CCG reps from the CWG, by putting out a call for interest.

ACTION (CWG co-chairs): issue a call for interest for CCG reps within CWG members, and CWG to discuss way forward during next CWG call.

- If no candidates can be identified, CWG co-chairs would be the interim CCGs.

· Reviewed appointment letter:

- Keep the letter brief (definitions of capitalized terms can be found in the community agreement, which is attached to the letter, no need to reiterate the definitions in the letter itself).

- CWG is not meant to remain active - a potential successor should be mentioned. Add: "CWG, or a successor organization acting for the benefit of the Naming community"

- Not necessary to specify the appointment duration.

ACTION (Sidley): revise the letter according to the comments received during the call.

5. AOB

Scheduling of next CWG call: next Thursday, 22 Sep @14:00 UTC

Action Items

- ACTION (CWG): review latest version of Services agreement by 06:00UTC on Sep 16.
- ACTION (CWG co-chairs): issue a call for interest for CCG reps within CWG members, and CWG to discuss way forward during next CWG call.
- ACTION (Sidley): revise the letter according to the comments received during the call.

Transcript

- Transcript_CWG IANA_15 Sept.doc
- Transcript_CWG IANA_15 Sept.pdf

Recordings

- Adobe Connect recording is available here: https://icann.adobeconnect.com/p8xkbbd75re/
- MP3 recording is available here: http://audio.icann.org/stewardship-transition/cwg-iana-15sep16-en.mp3

Documents

- CWG Update 15Sep16.pdf
- Redline - Services Agreement, Draft 9.14.16[3].pdf
- CCG Representative Appointment Letter (1) September 8 Draft (002).pdf

Chat Transcript

Lise Fuhr: (9/15/2016 00:50) Hi all connecting to the audio too

Yuko Green: (00:50) Hi all, welcome to the CWG IANA Meeting #92!

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (00:56) Hello

Yuko Green: (00:56) Hello Chuck!

Sabine Meyer (GAC - Germany): (00:59) hello everyone

Lise Fuhr: (00:59) Hello

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (00:59) Hi Everybody

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (00:59) Lise

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (00:59) GM

martin boyle, Nominet: (01:00) mornin' all

Paul Kane: (01:00) morning

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (01:00) May you kindly clarify what positionor positions you and Jonathan will have in the new arrangements

Yuko Green: (01:00) Hi all, we will get started shortly

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (01:04) evening

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (01:06) Lise ,at appropriate time ,May you kindly clarify what positionor positions you and Jonathan will have in the new arrangements

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (01:07) Yes that was my question

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (01:08) tks

Jonathan Robinson: (01:11) All. Please note that the revised version of SErvices Agreement" was sent to CWG15 mins prior to this call. To that extent it has been circulated.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (01:12) give it at least 24H please

Trang Nguyen: (01:16) @Lise: 24 hours for the Services Agreement should not be a problem.

Yuko Green: (01:16) The latest version of the redline services agreement is on the screen with scroll control for you

Jonathan Robinson: (01:16) @Paul. You were referring to comments on the Naming Functions agreement as I heard it. Therefore, that was a comment addressed to staff as they process the public comments.

Jonathan Robinson: (01:16) COrrect?

Paul Kane: (01:18) Yes Jonathan - exactly. It was for ICANN to adopt the need for language to be updated for community imput

Paul Kane: (01:18) on Technical updates to PTI

Lise Fuhr: (01:18) ok lets discuss after the service agreement

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (01:19) May I request that speakers kindly speak more slowly and separate sylabus one from another

Paul Kane: (01:19) More a comment to ICANN Staff. The comment submitted made a valid suggestion.

Trang Nguyen: (01:21) @Paul, could you please point me to the specific from CENTR that you are referring to?

Paul Kane: (01:22) 3. Section 4.6

Paul Kane: (01:22) Just a tightening of lasnguage - not a substantive change

Lise Fuhr: (01:23) Please mute when not speaking

Paul Kane: (01:24) Perfect ... that is the tightening that I was referencing

Paul Kane: (01:24) Thanks Trang - it is

Jonathan Robinson: (01:27) @ALL. Greg Shatan briefly supplemented my information about the CCG reps to the mail list.

Jonathan Robinson: (01:30) From Greg

Jonathan Robinson: (01:30) I just want to confirm that I understand that the "job" of the CCG representatives from the names community, and in particular that of the Co-Chair, is to serve the community, keep the community informed, seek the direction of the names community and act at the direction of the community. I expect that the most significant tasks before the CCG in the early days will be setting up any procedures the CCG will have, making sure the duties and obligations are well-understood, and making sure that the expected lines of communications are open. As such, an understanding of the genesis of the CCG and how the role of the CCG fits into IPR-related obligations and law will be helpful. Of course, this will all be done at the direction of the names community (initially, the CWG).

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:37) Seun raised some good questions on the terms.

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (01:38) @Jonathan, correct. WHen I referred to "CCG Co-chairs" and the relevance of IP exeperience, I was speakng of the three CCG Co-Chairs under the Community AGreement, i.e. one each from Names, Numbers and Protocols.

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (01:38) Its useful for those three co-chairs to have some IP background

Jonathan Robinson: (01:39) @Paul. Greg would become "Interim Co-chair" in the first instance.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (01:41) SO Sorry I am late I was delayed longer thhn expected when I returned my Samsung Note 7 to the telcostore for my replacement unit **Sigh**

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:42) The key at this point in time is to have some specific alternatives for Jonathan & Lise if we want to go that direction.

matthew shears: (01:44) I agree with your suggested limited term interim role

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:44) Should we ask for volunteers in the CWG?

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:45) Volunteers or nominees

Jonathan Robinson: (01:45) @Chuck. Agreed. Any proposals for nomination, selection much appreciates. I agree that the CWG may be the best pool to search in. Here you have qualifications in terms of the history of the CWG.

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:46) Shall we set a deadline for nominations or volunteers and, if we get none, go with the provisional approach.

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (01:47) The Community AGreement specifies that the CCG is formed at signing, and so the 3 reps from each community should be identified at signing. Their names do not need to be included in the Community Agreement itself, but the group of 9 is supposed to be formed at signing.

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (01:47) +1 Lise

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:47) If Greg is on the CCG, the other two mainly need to be good representatives of the Names Community.

matthew shears: (01:48) agree Chuck

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:53) What will be the deadline for volunteers/nominees?

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:53) Our next meeting?

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (01:53) @Chuck, we need 3 names by the 30th. THey can be changed but we need to have initial persons

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (01:54) Please kindly do not take the comments associated with any individual but just to have a propr process

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:54) We need a few days to confirm qualifications and get support from the CWG.

matthew shears: (01:55) so a one-year appointment?

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:56) To increase our chances, we might want to ask CWG members to reach out to their groups for volunteers/nominees.

matthew shears: (01:58) why not have placeholders until Hyderabad when community should have decided on the individuals

Paul Kane: (01:58) They should be placeholder candidates.

matthew shears: (01:58) that gives the community time - there is slot going on right now

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (01:59) Is it okay for us to reach out to our respective groups for volunteers/nominees?

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (02:00) We can have volunteers who have needed experience/skills.

Paul Kane: (02:01) Knowledge of CWG processes and willing to act as communication channel to naming community

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (02:01) Kavouss is correct that we ask for volunteers identify the qualifications.

matthew shears: (02:01) + 1 Paul

Paul Kane: (02:02) Yes - to Greg

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (02:03) LISE

matthew shears: (02:03) good plan Lise

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (02:03) This is a fair and acceptable conclusions

Jonathan Robinson: (02:04) @Lise. Appointment letter not approval letter

Jonathan Robinson: (02:04) Name is in square brackets. Traditional legal approach to something which is not yet agreed

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (02:05) I suggest that we spell out what CCG stands for because most people will not know what it stands for.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (02:05) good point Chuck

Paul Kane: (02:08) Thanks all - I am sorry but I must leave the call now. Have a good day/eve

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (02:08) Bye Paul

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (02:12) We could say "(a) my receipt of written notice from the CWG, or any successor organization acting for the benefit of the Names Community, of my removal from the position...

matthew shears: (02:13) this should work: http://isoc-ny.org/misc/2016-09-14_senate_iana.mp4

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (02:15) Mathiew.tks

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (02:15) I can not open that hyperlink

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (02:15) Do you have other solution

Trang Nguyen: (02:15) Try this one: https://www.c-span.org/video/?415235-1/hearing-looks-changes-internet-governance-oversight&live

KAVOUSS Arasteh: (02:15) Tks lise

Jonathan Robinson: (02:15) The link just worked for m

Jonathan Robinson: (02:15) me

Chuck Gomes (RySG): (02:15) Thanks all. Good meeting.

matthew shears: (02:16) thanks all

Josh Hofheimer (Sidley): (02:16) good night

Trang Nguyen: (02:16) Thank you. Bye.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (02:16) BYE for now talk soon :-)

Lise Fuhr: (02:16) Bye all

Sivasubramanian M: (02:16) Bye

martin boyle, Nominet: (02:16) bye all

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (02:17) thanks all bye