

Action Items



Item remains on the Council agenda

Item is to be completed but off the Council agenda

Item completed

Topic	Entry Date (E)	Action Item	Responsible Council Member	Responsible Staff member	Additional Notes
Evolution of the Multi stakeholder Model of Governance IN PROGRESS	16 May 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to investigate the anticipated timing, funding and expected deliverables for the Evolution of the Multi stakeholder Model of Governance project.	Rafik Dammak Pam Little k drazek	Marika Konings Steve Chan	
Non-registry GNSO Liaison to the Customer Standing Committee IN PROGRESS	16 May 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to determine their approach for the non-registry GNSO liaison to the Customer Standing Committee (CSC), which could include supporting James Gannon to serve a second term or to seek additional candidates.	k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak	Marika Konings	
GNSO Input to the Independent Review Process Standing Panel IN PROGRESS	16 May 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to reach out to their respective groups to communicate additional call for volunteers for additional members to serve on the IRP Implementation Oversight Team.	k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak	Marika Konings	
RPM Charter amendments IN PROGRESS	16 May 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to circulate call for volunteers for Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs Phase 2 charter amendments.	k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak	Mary Wong Julie Hedlund Ariel Liang	
Managing IDN Variants TLDs IN PROGRESS	18 Apr 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Small group of Councilors</i> to convene and then coordinate with ICANN to get further understanding, and potentially propose next steps for IDN TLD Variants. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff to develop options paper to support small group efforts	Rubens Kuhl k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak	Steve Chan	
CSC Effectiveness Review Final Report IN PROGRESS	13 Mar 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> In accordance with terms of the CSC Effectiveness Review Template, the <i>Chair of GNSO Council</i> and the Chair of ccNSO Council are requested to recommend report to IANA Naming Function Review Team (IFRT) as soon as that is established. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The GNSO Council instructs the <i>GNSO Secretariat</i> to share the results of this motion with the CSC.	k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak Philipp e Fouquart	Marika Konings Steve Chan	
Comments ICANN's 2021-2025 Strat Plan, FY20 Operating Plan and Budget: common concerns IN PROGRESS	10 Mar 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>SCBO</i> to do analysis between ccNSO/GNSO comments, identify elements for common cause. Look at how the reserve fund replenishment can be sustained.	Ayden Férdeline k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak	Berry Cobb Mari ka Konings Steve Chan	
PDP update: discussion of SubPro progress IN PROGRESS	10 Mar 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to propose path forward to obtain clarification / provide view concerning intersection between the Name Collisions Analysis Project and SubPro PDP	Rafik Dammak Pam Little k drazek	Marika Konings Steve Chan	

<p>IFR team</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>16 Au g 20 18</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Once the Council receives the receipt for IFR membership confirmation, Council to consider how best to coordinate co-chair selection with ccNSO, which will be responsible for selecting the ccNSO co-chair. <input type="checkbox"/> Council to consider selection process by which a GNSO appointed member of the IANA Function Review (IFR) team will be selected to serve as the GNSO co-chair on the IFR as the ICANN Bylaws stipulate that "(d) The IFRT shall be led by two co-chairs: one appointed by the GNSO from one of the members appointed pursuant to clauses (c)-(f) of Section 18.7 and one appointed by the ccNSO from one of the members appointed pursuant to clauses (a)-(b) of Section 18.7" once the IFR membership has been confirmed. <input type="checkbox"/> IANA Function Review (IFR) Team composition: Review of the ccNSO proposal to manage deficiencies - <i>Councilors</i> to take discussion on ccNSO proposal (here: https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/council/2019-January/022175.html) to their respective SG/C and to then consider what input they would like to provide. 	<p>k drazek Pam Little</p>	
<p>Drafting Team on charter related to the next steps for the ICANN Procedure of Handling Whois conflicts with Privacy</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>21 De c 20 17</p> <p>01 Ju n 20 18</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GNSO Council, after having considered the 1 August letter from Akram Atallah and receiving a brief update from GDD on its 24 August Council meeting, should determine next steps for reviewing the Whois Procedure. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Drafting team members</i> to share draft charter and identified questions in charter with respective SG/Cs for feedback, following which the drafting team will review this input and finalize the charter for GNSO Council consideration. <input type="checkbox"/> Council to consider adoption of charter at its upcoming meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Call for volunteers to be delayed at least until the EPDP hits the milestone of publishing its Initial Report. Council to discuss this topic once that milestone is hit. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>ICANN Staff</i> to circulate a call for volunteers (subsequent to the initiation and chartering process for the EPDP on the Temporary Specification) to the GNSO Stakeholder Groups, with the request for each Stakeholder Group to appoint up to 3 members to the Implementation Advisory Group (IAG). The call for volunteers should also be more widely circulated to seek volunteers to serve as participants and observers to the IAG. <input type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to research and develop guidance for if and when the work on the ICANN Procedure of Handling Whois conflicts with Privacy should begin. 	<p>Michele Neylon Paul McGrady k drazek Stephane Perrin Pam Little</p> <p>Marika Konings Nathalie Peregrine</p>	
<p>Legislative Tracker</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>10 M ar 20 19</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Small group of Councilors</i> to develop draft message to ICANN Org to provide input on legislative tracker. Based on feedback, Council leadership to consider scheduling follow up discussion at ICANN65. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Global Engagement</i> to take into account feedback, in particular, inclusion of rationale for including a piece of legislation on the legal / regulatory tracker. 	<p>Pam Little k drazek Rafik Dammak</p> <p>Marika Konings Mandy Carver</p>	
<p>Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy (IRTP) Policy Status Report</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>13 M ar 20 19</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to further consider next steps in relation to the review of the Transfer Policy which would result in providing GDD information /response on expected next steps and/or seek additional information as the Council considers how to approach the Transfer Policy review, for example, holistically instead of piecemeal. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Rubens Kuhl</i>, as Council liaison, to reach out to the IRT for the EPDP Phase 1 to have a conversation and gain a better understanding of how to address recommendation 24 and the Form of Authorization issue stemming from the Temporary Specification. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to determine next steps for the Transfer Policy, which could include the chartering of a narrowly focused EPDP 	<p>k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak</p> <p>Rubens Kuhl</p> <p>Marika Konings Steve Chan</p>	
<p>IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms</p> <p>IN PROGRESS</p>	<p>30 Ap r 20 18</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Susan Kawaguchi</i> to collaborate with the PDP WG and staff to develop a draft final report for preliminary consideration by the GNSO Council (targeting May meeting). <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Susan Kawaguchi and Heather Forrest</i> to prepare report on the usage of the 3.7 Appeal Process contained in the GNSO Operating Procedure that is intended to capture the process used and lessons learned. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ICANN staff to inform the IGO-INGO CRP PDP WG that the GNSO Council resolved that the Working Group, in line with the Council's priority to ensure responsible allocation of resources and timely management of the PDP, the Final Report be submitted in time to meet the July 2018 GNSO Council meeting document deadline. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Councilors to review Final Report, especially in the broader context of the appropriate overall scope of protection for all IGO identifiers, in preparation for consideration as early as the August Council meeting. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ICANN staff to inform the ICANN Board and the GAC that the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Mechanisms PDP Working Group has completed its work and forward a copy of the Final Report to them <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Councilors to review Final Report and options flowchart, then prepare a list of questions by 31 August 2018 that they have, for response by the WG leadership, WG liaison, WG members, or staff as appropriate. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ICANN staff to work with the GNSO Chair and Council liaison to determine possible ways to address concerns regarding some of the PDP recommendations within the scope of the PDP Manual, in light of the fact that the WG has not identified any recommendations as being interdependent. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Next steps for the IGO-INGO Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms PDP WG Final Report - ICANN staff to schedule webinar for questions and answers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership/staff</i> to develop a summary document to capture concerns and issues with the PDP, as well as next step options available to the Council <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to again present Council options for the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Final Report to consider and discuss with their respective SG/Cs. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership and Council</i> to continue developing proposed path forward for the Working Group's Final Report. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Councilors</i> to review the materials for the webinar held on 09 October 2019 (available here: https://gns0.icann.org/en/group-activities/calendar/2018#oct) and other materials shared for the agenda item (here: https://community.icann.org/x/JIoWBg) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council Leadership</i> to consider repurposing the extraordinary meeting on 14 February 2019 to discuss IGO/INGO Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms if the EPDP Final Report is not yet available for discussion and review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to develop a new options document to include proposed motion language for each option for Council consideration. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to follow up on existing action item regarding expected report from Heather Forrest and Susan Kawaguchi on process management for the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>GNSO Chair</i> to prepare communication to GAC/IGOs to explain rationale for vote and demonstrate how they will be able to participate. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to communicate the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms PDP Recommendations Report to ICANN Board Operations. 	<p>Susan Kawaguchi</p> <p>Rafik Dammak k drazek Pam Little</p> <p>Mary Wong Steve Chan</p> <p>Nathalie Peregrine</p>	

EPDP phase 1 recommendations 	16 May 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership and staff</i> to schedule an extraordinary Council meeting to discuss the Board adoption of the EPDP policy recommendations.	k drazek Pam Little Rafik Dammak <hr/> Marika Konings Nathalie Peregrine	
CCWG on New gTLD Auction Proceeds 	16 May 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to inform CCWG on New gTLD Auction Proceeds of the confirmation of Julf Helsingius to serve on the working group	Nathalie Peregrine	

2018 Strategic Planning Session (SPS) Action Items

SPS Segment	Entry Date	Action Item	Responsible Party	Additional Notes
Role and responsibilities of Council leadership		<input type="checkbox"/> Council to consider whether existing mechanisms provide for sufficient opportunity to conduct "emergency" consultations to allow for GNSO Chair to gather input on certain topics and/or decisions that need to be taken. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council members to provide leadership team with feedback on leadership team's performance & actions on a regular basis. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current Council Chair (Heather Forrest) to provide report at the end of her term outlining main challenges / concerns / recommendations in fulfilling the role. <input type="checkbox"/> Council to consider whether Council leadership should have a contract / letter of appointment that would outline role, responsibilities and expectations.		
Changes to GNSO Operating Procedures and related governance documents to facilitate participation in Empowered Community		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to reach out to SG/C leadership to notify them of the changes made to the GNSO Operating Procedures and the requirements for SG/Cs to develop supporting procedures and processes. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff to work on first draft of templates for motions related to EC powers as highlighted in the staff report (see https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/proposed-revisionsgnsop-procedures-bylaws-staff-report-16may17-en.pdf).		
What does Council need/want to achieve in 2018, and how to do this?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Donna Austin to share comments on scheduling of cross-community discussions with the GNSO Council. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff to keep project timing planning document up to date and share it with the Council prior to every ICANN meeting to facilitate review and oversight of timelines. Explore whether there is a more dynamic way in which the document can be publicly shared. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to reach out to leadership of Curative Rights and Reconvened WG on RCRC to see whether it is feasible to deliver Final Reports within 6-month timeframe. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to notify ICANN Board with regards to PDPs that are expected to deliver to finalize their report shortly so that concerns or issues can be raised prior to GNSO Council consideration. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council leadership to request update from GNSO-appointed members to RDS Review Team at upcoming GNSO Council meeting. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council to explore mechanisms and tools for monitoring / reviewing costs related to PDP WGs with a view to improving efficiency and effectiveness <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Council members to review framework for post-implementation reviews and provide input. Council leadership to add this to an upcoming Council meeting agenda to continue this discussion. Council to consider forming a small group of volunteers to work with staff on further developing the framework.		

2019 Strategic Planning Session (SPS) Action Items

SPS Segment	Entry Date	Action Item	Responsible Party	Additional Notes
Other		<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> team to send "thank you" note to Becky Burr for her presentation and James Bladel for his facilitation assistance.		

<p>What is the GNSO Council and what does it do?</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to seek to map out timing elements for utilizing the nine powers held by the EC. Consider if and how an emergency community consultation mechanism can be integrated. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to go through examples/scenarios to see how the EC powers can be utilized. This should include going down into the SG/C level of detail. 		
<p>Role and responsibilities of GNSO more broadly</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to investigate if the GNSO can serve as a Slack guinea pig in the already existing pilot effort within ICANN org. The use of Slack would be incumbent on a review of this platform first to understand how its threads would be archived and what level of access ICANN org would have to privileged or private communications between members. The GNSO Council will discuss whether document drafting can take place in Slack, but because the Council highly values transparency and accountability, the Council agreed that documents would need to be circulated on the archived email list to ensure that they are properly incorporated into the public record. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to liaise with the Nominating Committee to understand from Appointees and Panelists, what works, what does not within the process, and better understand how the selection process takes place. <i>Councilors</i> to investigate how NCAs can be better informed; identify what communications they might not be receiving. 		
<p>PDP 3.0 - General Discussion on three Improvements (#2, #5, & #13)</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to prepare a comparison table for the proposed Working Group models, which could include several factors: membership eligibility, operating procedures, decision-making, communicating decision-making, urgency/timing (e.g., prioritization). Consider creating pros/cons as well. 		
<p>PDP 3.0 - Sub-group presentation and wrap-up</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Small group of Councilors</i> to continue work of the sub-groups to make specific PDP 3.0 implementation recommendations. Council to agree to working methods. <i>(Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team)</i> 		
<p>Role and responsibilities of Council Liaisons</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider whether Consensus Policy Implementation Framework (CPIF) needs to be reevaluated as it relates to guidance for Council liaisons to IRTs, avoiding relitigation of policy issues, and escalation of issues (noting that the Policy & Implementation recommendations adopted in 2015 state that the recommendations, including the CPIF, should be evaluated after at most 5 years). <i>(Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team)</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider options for enhancing the WG participation model, including terms for participation that members must agree to. <i>(Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team)</i> 		
<p>How to manage Council's 2019 workload: strategies to increase effectiveness</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Erika Mann</i> to send note to Council upon the adoption of the new copyright law and the potential impact on GNSO policy work / DNS. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to form a small team to work on the definitions in the Project Timing Document in relation to definitions of priority and level of effort. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to update Project Timing Document to try and incorporate suggestions from Councilors. 		
<p>What does Council need/want to achieve in 2019, and how to do this?</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> As part of PDP 3.0, <i>Council</i> to establish PDPs Chair(s)/ liaisons communication / reporting timelines and requirements to Council. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider adding request for website upgrade to the Council comment to the FY20 budget. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Council</i> to consider how to better understand the specific resourcing needs of PDP WGs (e.g., SCBO to meet with PDP leadership in August of every year). 		
<p>Lunch with the Board</p> <p>COMPLETED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to follow up on status of RPMs litigation threats. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Council leadership</i> to get Council input on the Board initiatives for discussion in Kobe. 		
<p>Wrap up and close of session</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to identify what are low-hanging fruit in the PDP 3.0 implementation plan. Prioritize/color-code. Identify dependencies (e.g., reporting is needed prior to evaluation). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recurring: <i>Small team of Councilors / Council leadership</i> to report progress of PDP 3.0 at each Council meeting. Establish project plan for implementation for PDP 3.0. <i>(Action Item taken on board by the PDP 3.0 small team)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> In order to better prioritize work, need to know capacity of Council, community, staff. <i>Staff</i> to get existing information from Berry Cobb about average commitment and <i>Council</i> to see if that can be utilized, leveraged, and/or updated. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Staff</i> to check if ICANN legal has looked into the insurance for WG members question. 		

Other Council Related Action Items

Topic	Entry Date	Action Item	Responsible Party	Additional Notes