

Rec-11 Meeting (4 February @ 12:00 UTC)

Attendees:

Members: Alice Munyua, Athina Fragkouli, Becky Burr, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Eberhard Lisse, James Bladel, Julia Wolman, Julie Hammer, Leon Sanchez, Lyman Chapin, Olga Cavalli, Pär Brumark, Robin Gross, Steve DelBianco, Suzanne Radell, Thomas Rickert (16)

Participants: Aarti Bhavan, Allan MacGillivray, Andrew Harris, Andrew Sullivan, Anne Aikman-Scalese, Avri Doria, Barrack Otieno, Brett Schaefer, Chris Disspain, Christopher Wilkinson, David McAuley, Erika Mann, Finn Petersen, Ghislain de Salins, Greg Shatan, Harold Arcos, Jeff Neuman, Jonathan Zuck, Jorge Cancio, Kavouss Arasteh, Keith Drazek, Malcolm Huty, Mark Carvell, Martin Boyle, Matthew Shears, Maura Gambassi, Megan Richards, Mike Chartier, Paul Rosenzweig, Phil Buckingham, Rafael Perez Galindo, Seun Ojedejji, Snehashish Ghosh, Tatiana Tropina, Thomas Schneider, Tom Dale (36)

Legal Counsel: Edward McNicholas, Holly Gregory, Rosemary Fei, Stephanie Petit (4)

Observers & Guests: Asha Hemrajani, Elise Lindeberg, Dierdre Sidjanski, Konstantinos Komaitis, Manal Ismail, Michael Niebel, Navid Heyrani, Olaf Nordling, Oscar Mike, Rory Conaty (10)

Staff: Bernie Turcotte, Berry Cobb, Brenda Brewer, Grace Abuhamad, Karen Mulberry, Laena Rahim, Marika Konings

Apologies: Izumi Okutani, Mathieu Weill

Please let Brenda know if your name has been left off the list (attendees or apologies).

Transcript

- [CCWG ACCT_Rec 11_4 February.docx](#)
- [CCWG ACCT_Rec 11_4 February.pdf](#)

Recording

- The Adobe Connect recording is available here: <https://icann.adobeconnect.com/p1w45fuvn2v/>
- The audio recording is available here: <http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-ccwg-acct-04feb15-en.mp3>

Notes

These high-level notes are designed to help you navigate through content of the call and do not substitute in any way the transcript.

.Audio only: GShattan

No updates on SOI

TRickert: this group has come a long way from nothing, generating consensus on a number of recommendations by a greatly diverse community. We now have to finish, we are close, possibly the last meter. What we deliver needs to be what the chartering organizations will approve. We need to close this by 2359UTC Monday February 8th. Any general remarks on rec 11.

OCavalli: GAC currently working to find a way forward on rec 11. It would be very clear and stable version of the options so we can properly understand and analyze.

RPerezGalindo (GAC Spain): thanksgiving deal was a good compromise and this seems to be breaking at this point. We need clear and stable version to properly understand and analyze.

BBurr: appreciation how hard everyone has worked to get this done and special thanks to Kavous.

JBladel: Echo what BB has said. gNSO is very diverse and the reasons for not approving rec 11 in the third draft have been diverse. This new proposal is a very good step forward and I am encouraged and think we are on the right path.

KArasteh: We need to work together and understand each other. As a member of the ICG I wish to see the transition succeed. I have put together a package with other colleagues such as Becky to let us complete our work. It may not be perfect but includes all inputs and points a way forward.

BBurr: have been listening carefully to calls to try understand the needs. Interest by the GAC to participate. To address what the community was concerned about (second bite at the apple) - whenever the community uses a power to challenge the implementation of GAC advice by the Board would require the GAC to only participate in an advisory capacity.

TRickert: any clarification questions?

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: I would appreciate a clarification of the intended difference between this new version and the previous text we had on the screen previously - Thanks!

BBurr - I accidentally narrowed the proposal - I have adjusted (its not just for using the IRP).

KArasteh - Uncertain what JC implies when he says it is difficult to understand. Changes to rec. 1 does not change the right of the GAC to participate if it so wishes - however if the implementation of GAC advice by the Board is challenged by the community - the GAC can only participate in an advisory capacity.

TRickert: Support or concerns?

SDeIBianco: As rapporteur I can confirm this meets the requirements of ST18. It should be the right path forward.

JBladel: Registrars opposition to the proposal is fading with this new proposal.

BSchaefer: I can support the 60% with the other text.

MHutty: this is a possible compromise. Important for people to say if they support. This is the best we are likely to get. If the GAC supports this, I would expect the ISPs to support (not a commitment).

PRosenweig: Supports MH view - nothing is agreed until everything is agreed. This satisfies no one, but I speak in favour of it with caveat that everyone has to accept it.

KArasteh: In response to Rafael, the third draft of rec. 11 was not agreed by everyone. We are not dealing with the third proposal.

Chris Disspain: it seems to me that the problem is that the GAC folks don't want to go back with a proposal unless they know the gNSO will agree and the gNSO folks don't want to go back unless they know the GAC will agree.

KArasteh: Given the GAC needs to understand the position of the gNSO vs this proposal. If the GAC understands the position of the gNSO then they could consider it seriously.

PRosenweig: Both the GAC the gNSO have to agree to press this and try and sell it in their community.

OCavalli: Difficult for any govt to participate in the straw poll.

TRickert: Straw poll - green if you do not object. red otherwise.

CDispain: Could we change the question to - as a participant do you agree you can take it back to your community for agreement (TR confirmation of non-objection).

KArasteh: prefer original TR proposal. Please emphasise we are dealing with the package as a whole. It cannot break it down.

Straw Poll - TRickert: Let use the Chris version. 31 support, 3 against, 8 abstain on a total of 63 participants.

Robin Gross CHAT[GNSO - NCSG]: I don't have audio, but I don't think it is appropriate for GAC to use this accountability process to get greater power at ICANN relative to the other groups.

TRickert - We have a new reference position for consensus to discuss. We will publish to the list to continue discussion. We will maintain the Monday call, which could be short.

CDispain - Next step should be to wait to see if there are objections from the communities.

Decision - TRickert: Good point. We usually work by establishing if there is objection. We can end the call on this. We will try to confirm this is still our position on Monday. We will try to get this approved as our consensus position on our next CCWG call on Tuesday February 9th.

LSanchez: thanks to all, we will be distributing the official version to the list shortly to continue the discussion. Any AOB? (none).

Adjourned.

Action Items

Documents

- [Arasteh Proposal](#)
- [Burr Proposal](#)

Adobe Chat

Brenda Brewer: (2/4/2016 05:47) Welcome to CCWG Rec 11 Meeting on Thursday, 4 February @ 12:00 UTC! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: <http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Brenda Brewer: (05:47) Hello Kavouss!

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:48) Hello Brenda

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:49) Dear Olga, Hi

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:49) Hi Dear Brenda, can you do a dial out to me? +54 11 4826 2530 thanks

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:49) hi Kavouss

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:49) It is again too early for you?

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:50) no! it is 9 am, this is ok for me

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:50) the problem is with calls at 6 am, which is 3am here

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:50) Ok that is not badf

Brenda Brewer: (05:51) Hello Olga! Yes, to dial out.

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:52) Hi Tom

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:52) Thanks Brenda

Tom Dale (ACIG GAC Secretariat): (05:52) Hello Kavouss.

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:52) hiTom!

Tom Dale (ACIG GAC Secretariat): (05:52) Hola Olga!

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (05:53) Hi all!

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:53) Hi young man

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (05:54) Hello Kavouss, I love being called yong with my 46 years :-). Thanks for the compliment!

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:55) 46 is the begining of youthness

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (05:55) :-)

Olof Nordling: (05:55) Hello all - and agreeing with Kavouss:-)

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:56) hi Olof hi Thomas

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (05:56) Hello Olga!

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:56) When we have Lawyers with us we are covered, Hi rosemary

Becky Burr: (05:57) good morning/day

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:57) Good morning Beckie

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:57) hi Beckie

Rosemary Fei (Adler Colvin): (05:57) Hi, Kavouss. Hi, everyone.

Jonathan Zuck: (05:57) Good morning!

Bernard Turcotte Staff Support: (05:58) hello all

Pär Brumark (GAC Niue): (05:58) Hi all!

Tom Dale (ACIG GAC Secretariat): (05:58) Just checking this IS the CCWG-ACC call. Everyone seems remarkably cheerful :-)

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:58) Tom,

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:58) is the always present optimistic spirit

Kavouss Arasteh: (05:58) Life is too short and we must be cheerful

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (05:59) +1 to Kavouss

Megan Richards, European Commission: (05:59) it always starts cheerful Tom :-)

Chris Disspain: (06:00) Greetings All

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:00) Hello all

Suzanne Radell (GAC): (06:00) Hello everyone

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): (06:00) Thanks Leon.

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:01) May be we should wait another 3 mints allowing everybody to join

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (06:01) Greetings from London (still swinging)

Konstantinos Komaitis: (06:02) Hello all

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:02) Good morning Grec from Newyork

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): (06:02) @Greg - You are a real trooper!

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:03) hi all

Athina Fragkouli (ASO): (06:03) hello all

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:03) Hi Jorge

Aarti Bhavana: (06:03) Hi All

Holly J. Gregory (Sidley): (06:04) Good day to all.

Alice Munyua (GAC): (06:04) Hello everyone

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:04) Good Morning from Costa Rica

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:05) Hi Paul

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:05) Hi Kavouss

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:07) Grec, We remained closed friends irrespective that from time to time we may not have the same opinion on a given subject

Leon Sanchez (Co-Chair ALAC): (06:10) And I must point that white smoke is not intended to come from burning everything down, of course

Keith Drazek: (06:11) I fully support Thomas' comments. Very well said.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:11) good one, Leon :D

Chris Disspain: (06:11) if it's grey smoke it may be coming from my cigar

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:11) Thomas and Keith+ 1

Leon Sanchez (Co-Chair ALAC): (06:11) And grey would seem as a compromise as well Chris ;-)

Chris Disspain: (06:11) indeed Leon...

Chris Disspain: (06:12) there is no black or white....only grey

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:13) Olga ,you are right .I assure you that we would have a clear version of the compromise today at 13.30 UTC

Chris Disspain: (06:14) I apprexiate what Olga says and would suggest it appies to all of the Sos and Acs....

Brett Schaefer: (06:15) Kavouss' latest proposal combines the proposals in a clear package. Could we see it on the screen?

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:16) Time is moving, situation is considerably evolved and we all BNEED to move

Keith Drazek: (06:18) Let's make sure this burst of energy is the result of fusion not fission. ;-)

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:18) Can you put up the concrete proposals in the chat and/or in the connect ...

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (06:22) kavouss sound is very low

Brett Schaefer: (06:23) WOud be helpful to also include the later part of the e-mail with the actual text of teh proposed changes.

Keith Drazek: (06:24) +1 Kavouss. Thanks to you and Becky for your leadership here.

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (06:25) I can live with the proposal currently on screen

Finn Petersen, GAC - DK: (06:25) + 1 Kavouss - we have to move forward

Chris Disspain: (06:25) Huge respect to Kavouss here for his effortas at coalescing us...

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:25) PLEASE -- Can you put up the actual language?? It would help

Chris Disspain: (06:25) and Becky....of course....

Chris Disspain: (06:25) :-)

Brett Schaefer: (06:28) This is the older version of Bechy's language.

Brett Schaefer: (06:28) edit -- Becky's

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (06:29) Can those, who are not speaking please mute their mics?

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (06:29) We have an echo on the line.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:29) sound is very bad

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:29) hard to understand

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:30) Jorge, what is difficult to understand. Do you need more explanation from Beckie

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (06:31) To ensure predictability and transparency, any advisory role the GAC provides must be formally based on process including responsiveness by the other SOs and ACs participating in the empowerment mechanism.

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:31) I would appreciate a clarification of the intended difference between this new version and the previous text we had on the screen previously - Thanks!

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:32) Mark, pls not to that extent of widening

OscarMike: (06:32) GAC wouldn't be able to defend its advice approved by Board.

Avri Doria: (06:33) well, it could defend, but not be part of the decision

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (06:33) As an extension of its advisory role it could do this.

OscarMike: (06:33) @Avri Then the same should be the standard for all SOs/ACs

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:34) Thanks for the clarification Becky!

Keith Drazek: (06:34) I think Jorge was saying he was having a hard time hearing/understanding.

Becky Burr: (06:34) GAC could absolutely defend its proposal - the GAC may speak, advise, persuade, etc.

David McAuley (RySG): (06:34) volume has seemed to drop a bit

Becky Burr: (06:34) Correct, this is my initial proposal

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (06:35) Thanks Becky for saying GAC could participate in the way you describe.

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (06:35) @Becky: please could you assess whether the "exclusion" would be expandible to other SOACs ?

OscarMike: (06:36) I believe Becky has a good proposal in principle. But it should be applicable across all SOs/ACs. Nemo iudex in causa sua - no-one should be a judge in his own cause

Becky Burr: (06:36) Rafael, no other SO/AC is in a "two bites at the apple" situation - no other advice/guidance has the same preferred position as GAC advice.

Matthew Shears: (06:37) +1 Becky

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (06:37) +1 OscarMike

Elise Lindeberg, GAC Norway: (06:37) Is 60 % a legal standard for this kind of decisionmaking anywhere in corporate life

Keith Drazek: (06:37) I'm confident the RySG can support the proposed solution on the table.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:37) Apart from the singling-out of the GAC (which I do not share), there may be cases where a public interest is at stake and the GAC as a matter of public policy should not relinquish its role

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:37) +1 Becky -- the GAC is unique. It can't both assert a privilege to force Board consideration and assert equivalence in other contexts

Keith Drazek: (06:38) @Elise: It's not an illegal standard... ;-)

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:38) Steve, the answer is YES, IT FULLY SATISFIES St18

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:38) Jorge -- the GAC will not have relinquished its role. It will have exercised that role by providing advice to the Board

Becky Burr: (06:38) Applying this to other SO/ACs does not make sense unless and until their advice enjoyed the privileged position - mandatory to try to find a mutually acceptable solution

Elise Lindeberg, GAC Norway: (06:38) No Keith, for sure - why are you making up new standards on the fly like this ?

Keith Drazek: (06:39) 60% was suggested by Kavouss

Keith Drazek: (06:39) ...and I supported it for the record.

Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (06:39) @Kavouss -- yes, I just said that this proposal DOES satisfy Stress Test 18

Becky Burr: (06:39) that standard applies to no other SO or AC without the kinds of limitations and safeguards applied to a PDP. Please see my previous email on the difference between GAC Advice and a PDP

Elise Lindeberg, GAC Norway: (06:39) yes, - and I don't agree with it

Brett Schaefer: (06:39) I would prefer 50 percent, not 60 percent, but I can accept the proposal as on the screen.

Becky Burr: (06:40) email on that difference sent on 1 Feb

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (06:40) I would prefer 2/3

Elise Lindeberg, GAC Norway: (06:40) + 1 Rafael

Brett Schaefer: (06:40) Right Rafael, compromise.

OscarMike: (06:40) @Becky: But the your proposal is emanating from the understanding that you cannot be a judge in your own cause. I think strogest rationale to support your proposal. So I do not see how GAC's 'unique' position is related to that.

Keith Drazek: (06:40) 2/3 is a deal-killer for the GNSO

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (06:40) What is the trade off for the GAC in this proposal, in comparison with the 3rd draft proposal????

Robin Gross [GNSO - NCSG]: (06:40) I agree with Brett, 50% is better than 60%

Brett Schaefer: (06:40) edit, compromise

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:41) OSCARMIKE+1

James Bladel: (06:41) 60% works for Registrars, but only if the changes to Rec #1 are included. Thank you.

Keith Drazek: (06:41) I believe arguments now for 2/3 or 50% are taking the discussion backward and are not constructive.

Finn Petersen, GAC - DK: (06:42) I support 2/3 but DK can accept the proposal

Becky Burr: (06:42) My proposal is emanating from the principle I stated -

mike chartier: (06:42) Is the addition of a requirement for rationale still included (hard to tell without all the text).

Leon Sanchez (Co-Chair ALAC): (06:42) the keyword here is compromise

David McAuley (RySG): (06:42) Agree w/Brett, a good compromise package

Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (06:42) Yes, Mike. That is part of Rec 11 as well.

Becky Burr: (06:42) in my specific emails, including in particular the email about the difference between GAC Advice and a PDP

mike chartier: (06:42) Thanks Steve

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:43) Mike, we have not touched the requirements of Rational

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:43) As you are aware of there is no consensus in the GAC with regard to REC11 but I hope that a compromise will be accepted

Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (06:43) On Rec 11 we had 4 clarifications that we agreed to, before getting to the question of threshold (2/3)

Brett Schaefer: (06:43) Mike, yes I understood that that was agreed to earlier and would be encompassed by the otherwise the recommendations are unaltered.

Matthew Shears: (06:43) it is a workable compromise - lets not unpick this

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:43) Julia, We can not address lack of consensus in GAC. That is an issue to be decided by GAC

Keith Drazek: (06:44) @Malcolm: The GAC as a whole hasn't taken a position and may not ever take a position on Rec 11 (or Rec 1).

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (06:44) @Malcolm: What is the trade off for the GAC in this proposal, in comparison with the 3rd draft proposal? Why would the GAC be willing to accept this proposal? I am sorry I fail to see it...

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:44) +1Julia. If we get back a complete gns0 position out of this meeting we will be in a position to consult nationally and discuss in the gac

Keith Drazek: (06:45) The question is, will the GAC reach consensus to REJECT the proposal.

Alice Munyua (GAC): (06:45) +1 Julia. There is no GAC position

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (06:46) Negotiation tactics aside, I am convinced GAC will accept 60% in the end if Consensus or Full Consensus

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:46) @Kavouss I stated that there is no consensus in the GAC on rec 11 But I still have hopes for a compromise...

Keith Drazek: (06:46) Well said, Paul.

Matthew Shears: (06:46) + 1 Paul

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:47) +1 Jorge

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (06:47) And I will not object to 60%

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:47) @Keith -- I hope you are right ...

Megan Richards, European Commission: (06:47) agree with Julia's assessment

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (06:47) I will not object to Becky's current propasal either

Becky Burr: (06:48) #rd proposal was not the product of complete consensus - but more important the community clearly indicated that it does not accept the 3rd Draft approach

Becky Burr: (06:48) support from community is required for NTIA proposal

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (06:48) Evolution through compromise by all.

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:48) @ Rafael -- it gets an increase from 50% in the current bylaw to 60%. It gets a seat at the EC to influence decisions that are not directly GAC related with an actual vote. It gets a carve out on accountability in Rec 10

Jeff Neuman 2: (06:48) It would be good to produce the entire draft including the 4 points Steve referenced earlier. But good work so far

Keith Drazek: (06:48) Kavouss is absolutely correct. The version 3 language was a compromise PROPOSAL reached by a small group under very intense pressure. It was understood that the proposal would need consideration by the broader group and ultimately by the chartering organizations.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:48) dear kavouss: rec11 left parts of the gns0 and parts of the gac unhappy. any compromise should reflect needs of both parts, not only one part

James Bladel: (06:48) +1 Kavouss. The 3rd Draft is "dead". If we go forward, it will be with some version of this compromise proposal.

OscarMike: (06:49) +1 Jorge

Chris Disspain: (06:49) it seems to me that the problem is that the GAC folks don't want to go back with a proposal unless they know the GNSo will agree and the GNSo folks don't want to go back unless they know the GAC will agree

Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (06:49) Yes, I could defend this proposal.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): (06:49) Agree with Jeff that a draft complete on all points would be helpful - not in the form of an e-mail but just the actual changes.

Megan Richards, European Commission: (06:49) shouldn't all be trying to encourage support for compromise both in GAC and GNSO

James Bladel: (06:50) Chris: One group (RrSG) has indicated it could/would drop its opposition. We need to reach a similar position with other opposing groups in the GNSO

Becky Burr: (06:50) We have indications that this can gain support of GNSO

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:50) The gac did not reopen rec11 - it's for the gns0 to make a complete proposal

Keith Drazek: (06:50) Are there any GNSO members or participants on today's call who think the Arasteh/Burr proposal would NOT be acceptable to their groups?

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (06:50) Well put, Chris. Taking into account the diversity of views inside the GAC; the first step would be to have a proposal (or set of) from the GNSO, as I said previously. Thanks

Malcolm Hutty: (06:50) That's Paul and my point about jumping together.

Chris Disspain: (06:50) could we ask the GAc the same thing Kavouss?

Chris Disspain: (06:51) @ James...understood

James Bladel: (06:51) +1 Kavouss. This work is already underway. Can we ask the GAC members & participants to do the same?

Phil Buckingham: (06:52) Exactly Chris

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (06:52) Rafael, gNSO has already proposed, status quo of 50%, hence this 60% compromise

Thomas Schneider (GAC): (06:52) Chris, you may forget that GAC reps don't decide in a personal capacity. They are representatives of a government and need to have something on black and white that they can take home to consult (which needs a little bit of time...)

Keith Drazek: (06:52) We heard from James that he thinks this proposal is heading in the right direction (paraphrasing) and I agree with that. Others from GNSO have spoken in the same manner. I think that's a good signal to the CCWG and GAC that this could be a reasonable and acceptable outcome. No one has yet signaled the opposite.

Malcolm Hutty: (06:53) @Keith, I think there will still be problems/likelihood of rejection if the GAC does not accept it either; but I believe this could be sold *on the basis* that we all accept it, GAC and GNSO alike

Chris Disspain: (06:53) @ THOMAS...'you may forget that GAC reps don't decide in a personal capacity'...neither do the GNSO reps

Keith Drazek: (06:53) @Malcolm: The GAC only has to not reject. It may not reach consensus at all, either way.

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:54) Then we may ask those people objecting to join the emerging consensus

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (06:54) @Eberhard: the GNSO has proposed so many things including moving targets that we definitely need something final to assess. Thanks.

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (06:54) Rafael: 60% plus Becky's

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:55) +1 Thomas and of course we will do what we can

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (06:55) Like many colleagues, I have to refer up to my minister on final position on this text but GAC colleagues will know UK urges an approach that reaches for community-based compromise rather than reverting to impasse.

Bernard Turcotte Staff Support: (06:55) ticks are under the mand with the raised hand at the top of the Adobe screen

Chris Disspain: (06:55) Thomas...if you go for a straw poll - fine - but we need to be VERY clear what proposal we are polling on

James Bladel: (06:55) +1 Chris.

Becky Burr: (06:55) the combined proposal on the screen

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:55) +Chris

Phil Buckingham: (06:55) +1 Chris

Keith Drazek: (06:55) Green tick is in support of proposal. Red tick is opposed to proposal.

Malcolm Hutty: (06:55) +1 Kavouss

Chris Disspain: (06:55) Fine with me Becky... :-)

Thomas Schneider (GAC): (06:56) @Chris: but maybe you would agree that an average GOV reps's procedures to consult are slightly more complex than those of a average GNSO rep in the CCWG

Chris Disspain: (06:56) @ Thomas...I may hold you to that view in the future :-)

Paul Rosenzweig: (06:56) I can't hear Olga

Megan Richards, European Commission: (06:56) Green tick is in favour of seeking consensus on the compromise with the respective community is it not ?

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:56) I will step away as this proposal is just being presented and we still lack security on whether this is a final gnsoc proposal

Thomas Schneider (GAC): (06:56) @Chris: do that :-)

Becky Burr: (06:56) Olga, the clear version is on the screen.

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:57) Jorge,

Finn Petersen, GAC - DK: (06:57) Denmark is a green country!

Kavouss Arasteh: (06:57) You may react with that condition as you mentioned

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (06:57) Becky yes thanks now we have it

Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (06:57) in our full written version of this package, please include points 1-4 on the Rec 11 cover page. Those are the clarifications and the requirement for AC formal advice to have a rationale

Keith Drazek: (06:57) In lieu of a poll, perhaps we should just ask if anyone has an objection or *feels* their respective group might object/reject. Does anyone feel this is an unworkable solution?

Keith Drazek: (06:57) But I'm fine with a straw poll.

Jeff Neuman 2: (06:58) +1 Steve. I think that will be important

James Bladel: (06:58) I need to step away from Adboe, but if there is a straw poll, please indicate that I am "Green" for non-objection to the combined (Becky/Kavouss) proposal.

Brett Schaefer: (06:58) +1 Steve

Keith Drazek: (06:58) Agree Steve.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (06:59) sound is very poor

Megan Richards, European Commission: (06:59) Right question Chris

Grace Abuhamad: (06:59) Noted @ James

Megan Richards, European Commission: (06:59) question

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (06:59) +1 Chris

Anne Aikman-Scaless (IPC): (06:59) Agree with Chris' formulation of the question.

Andrew Sullivan: (06:59) +11 Chris

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (07:00) @ Olga - urge this is dealt with expediently. GAC colleagues need to respond with consensus-based position in advance for Marrakech. My green tick is in favour of a process to take a decision on this proposal - so similar to what Chris is now saying.

James Bladel: (07:00) @Chris: Thomas has changed both of our names to "Steve" this call. Please make a note of it. :-)

Chris Disspain: (07:00) @ Gary (James) noted :-)

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (07:00) If we tick green for willingness for compromise we are all green

Julie Hammer (SSAC): (07:00) Consistent with its previous advice, the SSAC will choose not to express comment on this issue, as it is not a security and stability issue. However, the SSAC strongly supports the urgent move towards consensus and the progress achieved in these last days.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (07:00) if we have to decide on this gnso proposal, we need to get back for consultations

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (07:01) my iPhone is acting up, and Adobe Connect doesn't have ticks, so please note me as green

Keith Drazek: (07:01) This is a joint proposal, not a GNSO proposal. Becky and Kavouss.

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (07:02) GAC members of CCWG need to report back from this call so all GAC reps have opportunity to react.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (07:02) certainly it is not a proposal which responds to something the gac has asked...

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (07:02) ...to react to the Rec 11 proposal.

James Bladel: (07:02) @Jorge - to my understanding, the GAC (as a group) has not made a proposal, but rather from individual GAC members.

Rafael Perez Galindo (GAC_Spain): (07:03) I would say the part put forward by Kavouss belongs exclusively to him

Kavouss Arasteh: (07:03) What I proposed was as a CCWG Participant

Jeff Neuman 2: (07:04) Robin - Are you in a position to explain why?

Julie Hammer (SSAC): (07:04) Please see earlier SSAC comment to abstain

Megan Richards, European Commission: (07:04) I cant tick and am not a member but would tick green if I could

Tatiana Tropina: (07:04) I am also not a member but I would have ticked green

Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (07:04) BC is Green, but I cannot speak for IPC and ISPCP yet.

Avri Doria: (07:04) i did not think they were asking just members.

Keith Drazek: (07:05) Me either Avri. This is a temperature-taking of the entire room.

Chris Disspain: (07:05) It's pretty clear folks feel comfortable taking the Becky/Kavouss proposal back to their community...

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (07:05) its a poll of all not just members, but since there is no red it implies tyebmembers too

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (07:06) and Consensus includes participants

Becky Burr: (07:06) is "stepping away" code for "not committing"??

Kavouss Arasteh: (07:06) I have the green too

Lyman Chapin (SSAC): (07:06) @Becky For SSAC members it means "abstain"

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (07:06) +1 to lyman

Thomas Schneider (GAC): (07:06) @Becky: i have no mandate from the GAC to express any opinion on this. hence i need to step away

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): (07:07) Good idea Thomas - best to hear them out on this.

Kavouss Arasteh: (07:07) Brenda ,May you indicate me as Green

Jeff Neuman 2: (07:07) For me it is as I am not a voting member, but am happy this is positive movement

Megan Richards, European Commission: (07:07) we are not expressing an opinion just offering to take it back to community to seek consensus view

Chris Disspain: (07:07) exactly Megan

Keith Drazek: (07:07) I think anyone ticking red *should* explain. This may be the last opportunity to do so before we finalize the next and possibly final iteration.

Eberhard Lisse [ccTLD Manager . NA]: (07:07) I am not stepping away, I am leaving. Day job...

Julia Wolman GAC Denmark: (07:07) Exactly Megan

Alice Munyua (GAC): (07:07) +1 Megan

Robin Gross [GNSO - NCSG]: (07:08) I don't have audio, but I don't think it is appropriate for GAC to use this accountability process to get greater power at ICANN relative to the other groups.

Jeff Neuman 2: (07:08) With Megan's clarification, I have changed to green

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (07:08) I think people are still unclear on what we have opined on

Suzanne Radell (GAC): (07:08) Agree with Megan; we're being asked if we will take the text back to our communities, and we're not taking a position on the substance of the proposal

mike chartier: (07:09) in the interim we should publish ASAP complete text

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): (07:10) Agree with Megan and Suzanne

Thomas Schneider (GAC): (07:10) actually, what about those who did not agree or disagree or step away?

Jeff Neuman 2: (07:10) It would be great if the version on the screen could include all of the changes, including those to recommendation 11 as agreed in prior calls (i.e., providing a clear rationale, etc.)

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (07:10) Thomas: please record Suz and Megan's clarifications, which are quite relevant

Mark Carvell GAC - UK Govt: (07:10) Is GNSO community now confirming at least there is no other proposal to consider in parallel - and bearing in mind time is pressing for finalising supplementary report.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): (07:12) Agree strongly with Jeff - all previously agreed changes should be reflected as to Rec 11 so that everyone is presenting the identical proposal to their groups.

Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (07:12) @Mark -- I know of no other proposal being pursued in GNSO

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland): (07:12) I feel that we do not know whether the poll was on good intentions or on this gnsO proposal...

Keith Drazek: (07:13) I think the question is, Who will take this back to their groups and recommend rejection? Perhaps we can ask that question on the list after the final text is frozen.

Chris Disspain: (07:13) Kavouss +1

Finn Petersen, GAC - DK: (07:13) good work - Thomas!

Pär Brumark (GAC Niue): (07:13) Thx all! Bye!

Jeff Neuman 2: (07:13) Thomas - Can we please get the full version?

Keith Drazek: (07:13) Agree with the approach, thanks Thomas, thanks all.

Jeff Neuman 2: (07:13) The version with all of the changes from prior meetings

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): (07:14) Thank you Thomas re full version!

Paul Rosenzweig: (07:14) Well done Thomas

Tatiana Tropina: (07:14) Thomas, thanks!

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (07:14) Thanks all and bye for now!

Matthew Shears: (07:14) thanks

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (07:14) bye for now then...

David McAuley (RySG): (07:14) Thanks Thomas and all

Holly J. Gregory (Sidley): (07:14) Impressive commitment to building consensus. Well-done all!

Suzanne Radell (GAC): (07:15) Cheers all

Robin Gross [GNSO - NCSG]: (07:15) bye

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina: (07:15) bye!

Brett Schaefer: (07:15) thanks all, bye

Pär Brumark (GAC Niue): (07:15) Cheers!

Megan Richards, European Commission: (07:15) bye all

Avri Doria: (07:15) bye - back to sleep now.

Athina Fragkouli (ASO): (07:15) thank you all

Harold Arcos: (07:15) bye

Andrew Sullivan: (07:15) bye. Hurray!

Thomas Schneider (GAC): (07:15) bye all

OscarMike: (07:15) Bye

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (07:15) bye

Rosemary Fei (Adler Colvin): (07:15) Bye

Aarti Bhavana: (07:15) Bye all