

Attendance:

Cheryl Langdon-Orr
Christa Taylor
Drew Wilson
Jeff Neuman
Jim Prendergast
Kristine Dorrain
Michael Casadevall
Rubens Kuhl
Susan Payne
Phil Buckingham

Apologies:

Katrin Ohlmer
Justine Chew

Staff:

Julie Hedlund
Emily Barabas
Steve Chan
Michelle DeSmyter

AC chat:

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Group B on Tuesday, 18 December 2018 at 17:00 UTC

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/igbuBQ>

Michelle DeSmyter:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_133WbhWYB4M4kT6DqSfiCR2-2Dij7jxNkJ5EWZL-2DNA95M_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWI PqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwww ehFBfjrsjWv9&m=mWyXmFq ok4OroPsf_kNdG_EJgM3ii3DCZwIVOuhMKd4&s=aJ-Gojdg8dN0nLbb-Y03VK94pdKUblTLRyCR9QOiQc8&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair:in AC audio

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair:best to go ahead imo

Kristine Dorrain:What decisions are we making? We're just reviewing the sorting of the comments, right?

Susan Payne:is anyone speaking. I've got silence so I may need to log back in?

Kristine Dorrain:No one is speaking

Rubens Kuhl:Susan, it's silent at this point.

Kristine Dorrain:They're trying to decide whether to hold the call

Michael Casadevall:I'm sorry to be late

Susan Payne:ah good

Susan Payne:Thanks! I can hear now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair:we are good to go

Michael Casadevall:The audio is very soft for me, is any one else having issues?

Jim Prendergast:sounds ok here

Jim Prendergast:but im dialed in

Emily Barabas:We left off at 2.5.3.e.2

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair:as I am in 3 calls and AC rooms simultaneously I can't manage a GDoc as well ðŹŹŹ

Michael Casadevall:I had to drop off the audio bridge, I can't hear anything well. Waiting for a dial out to see if it helps

Michael Casadevall:sorry, that was me stating my name for the operator

Michael Casadevall:That was not supposed to happen.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair:we could send to the full WG. I think Jim with our SG opinion

Susan Payne: sounds sensible Cheryl, but when do we agree what our opinion is?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair:if the full WG agrees then perhaps Jim we can sort something in 2019

Jim Prendergast:@Cheryl so is the position of the SG - ICANN had their chance, now we

write it? or is it more along the lines of what I proposed - where we work collaboratively? Of is this a decision to be taken at the WG level? Just trying to get clarity around it

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair:just a sec...

Cheryl - CLO:Sorry Jim one of my calls just ended and I was shifting into AC in the laptop over typing to you on my phone

Steve Chan:Thanks Susan. Proving I'm paying attention :)

Cheryl - CLO:is the position of the SG - ICANN had their chance, now we write it? @Jim I would suggest NO; or is it more along the lines of what I proposed - where we work collaboratively? @Jim in my view YES; Of is this a decision to be taken at the WG level? @Jim I believe it should be YES; Just trying to get clarity around it @Jim =>Understood

Kristine Dorrain:Yes, thanks!

Steve Chan:@Jim, another approach is to ensure that the goal/intention is clear rather than to draft the precise language at the WG level? You could do both of course (goal and precise language), but time may be a limiting factor.

Steve Chan:@Kristine, you may have noticed that there was an attempt to parse the nuance in the RySG comment, where it says, "Divergence (however, opposition is to a broad appeals mechanism and supportive of a limited one. Note, this preliminary recommendation does reference section 2.8.2 (e.g., limited appeals mechanism), There is also opposition to the removal of the covenant not to sue." Does this address your comment in any way? Sorry, multitasking, and maybe didn't hear your comment exactly right.

Steve Chan:@Susan, is that a new hand?

Kristine Dorrain:@Steve, thanks for trying, but I think it should be clearer because I admit we're hard to understand. I propose: the RySG has two diverging views. Divergence #1 advocates for no new appeal mechanisms at this time, noting the IRP is sufficient. Divergence #2 would allow for a limited appeals mechanism.

Susan Payne:sorry, old stuck hand

Steve Chan:@Kristine, sure. At least we were close!

Kristine Dorrain:@Steve, yes. Note the first divergence is distinguishing 2.8.2.c.1, not comparing it. That is, the first divergence supports appeals in a DIFFERENT context, but not this one.

Steve Chan:Ok, thanks Kristine.

Cheryl - CLO:Right now this call has my undivided attention, up until now it was left brain only

Kristine Dorrain:@Cheryl, but are you right-brained or left-brained. :)

Cheryl - CLO:I had three calls going at once ;-)

Cheryl - CLO:I am both

Cheryl - CLO:I can also read writting upside down ;[]

Kristine Dorrain:So many skills! (not surprisingly)

Cheryl - CLO:more a broken brain I suspect

Michael Casadevall:Cheryl: maybe easier to clone yourself :)

Cheryl - CLO:illegal and scary

Cheryl - CLO:for the world ;-)

Michael Casadevall:but highly benefital for ICANN ;)

Cheryl - CLO:LOL many would argue otherwise @Michael \, but I will take the complement

Cheryl - CLO:Good progress people we are nicely in track for this SG

Michael Casadevall:I can't remember if I stated my SOI change to this subgroup.

Cheryl - CLO:Seasons Greetings to you all Bye for now... More LOTS More in 2019

Michael Casadevall:I did state it on the main group, and it's on the wiki.

Michael Casadevall:See you in 2019

Christa Taylor:Thanks everyone!

Kristine Dorrain:Well done Rubens