

Attendance - 11 Members

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair)

Gg Levine (NABP)

Heath Dixon (Amazon)

Jamie Baxter | dotgay

Jessica Hooper

Jim Prendergast

Justine Chew

Małgorzata Pęk, Council of Europe

Michael Casadevall

Michael Flemming

Susan Payne

Apologies: Katrin Ohlmer

Staff: Emily Barabas, Julie Hedlund, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Group C call on Thursday, 06 December 2018 at 15:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/XQbuBQ>

Jim Prendergast:is there any way to use one adigo number with same pin for all Sub Pro calls? one button dialing would be a lot easier for all these call. If not, no biggie.

Jim Prendergast:oh ok- sub teams one is good

Jim Prendergast:Thats a good idea -

Jim Prendergast:although I thought that was already the process. I know I had no problem finding out who to talk to with the EWs I worked on

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):General COmments are not the purview of this Sub group however

Emily Barabas:Thanks for the comment. Note that general statements are included in the "general comments" tab considered by Sub Group A

Emily Barabas:And some of these have been referred to the full Working Group for further discussion

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):Sub Group A business

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):indeed @Emily save me typing this

Justine Chew:Sorry I'm late, just got back to my desk.

Susan Payne:@Jim, re GAC EW there was just a ggeneral email address gacearlywarning@gac.icann.org not a specific contact identified

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):No need to hold up our work today to deal with this Sub Group A can be alerted to the concern

Jim Prendergast:@Susan -ok thanks. I guess I knew the GAC reps already so it was easy

Emily Barabas:@Matgorzata, that would be helpful. Please feel free to send these suggestions to the mailing list.

Emily Barabas:Thank you

Susan Payne:@Jim, well I think it depends on the circs: but sometimes the GAC rep isn't actually the one who can take things forward

Emily Barabas:Yes

Emily Barabas:there are two comments from the broader NCSG document that fit under this category

Justine Chew:2.8.1.e.1 ALAC comment - may want to highlight consideration whether the GAC Advice procedure should remain captured under AGB Module Objection Procedure

Justine Chew:Which sort of leads to "presumption" that it is an objection procedure when it is not.

Justine Chew:Line 101

Justine Chew:1st bullet in line 101

Justine Chew:Just to clarify: does putting GAC Advice under Module 3 lead to misleading presumption that is an objection procedure?

Jim Prendergast:im assuming all the GAC objections are going to be dealt with at the plenary level as we simply cant ignore them?

Jim Prendergast:objections meaning dissenting opinion on the language in the report as opposed for the Formal GAC objection

Michael Flemming:Yes

Michael Flemming:Yes*

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):yup

Jim Prendergast:thanks

Jim Prendergast:kick to plenary - worthwhile conversation to have, especially in light of latest on .amazon

Michael Flemming:The applicant status

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):Thanks Justine

Michael Flemming:Let me reboot

Michael Flemming:just a moment

Michael Flemming:Steve, could you voice in for a minute to Justine?

Justine Chew:Correct, Steve

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):exactly @Steve as I understand it

Jim Prendergast:yes

Steve Chan:Welcome back!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):line 123

Michael Casadevall:I need to drop for a bit.

Justine Chew:2.8.1.e.4. ALAC comment - ALAC also agreed that there should be no limit to the number of objections that the IO can file. Can I ask for this to be in green too please? Thanks. (Line 123)

Jim Prendergast:I took 4 years of latin Fach-eh

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):line 137

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):This ALAC Comment is really an additional suggestion not a disagreement though is it not?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):so green (ish)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):OK Justine thanks for clarification if you think it is Divergence leave it as is then

Susan Payne:I think it is a divergence - most are saying, yes, limit to 2 grounds and the ALAC saying maybe it needs to be opened up further

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):I am looking to highlight categorization as best as we can and a single Divergence point just sticks out at me ;-)

Steve Chan:Or blue, as a New Idea? The comment assessment categories do not always fit well for questions (versus recommendations or options)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):I am thinking Blue with a greenish tinge ;-)

Justine Chew:2.8.1.e.7 Question - why is NCSG's comment green/agreement?

Susan Payne:INTA, RySG and NCSG are all listed as agreement but they aren't all saying exactly the same thing - INTA supporting a small panel of available IOs from whom a non-conflicted IO could be selected if there is a conflict, RySG (and I think NCSG) supporting just an alternate IO

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):This line 148 comment again from the ALAC has to me similar Greenish /Blue over Divergence

Jim Prendergast:agree

Jim Prendergast:budget implications between those

Justine Chew:Agree with CLO - especially juxtaposed with NCSG's comment.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):We start at line 149 at our next meeting

Julie Bisland:**The next Sub Group C: Thursday, 13 December 2018 at 21:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):Indeed Justine

Michael Casadevall:Thanks

Susan Payne:bye

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):Thanks everyone. bye for now