Attendance - 12 Members

Anne Aikman-Scalese

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair)

Crystal Ondo - Donuts

Gg Levine (NABP)

Heath Dixon (Amazon)

Jamie Baxter | dotgay

Jeff Neuman

Jessica Hooper

Jim Prendergast

Justine Chew

Michael Casadevall

Michael Flemming

On audio only: none

Apologies: Katrin Ohlmer, Małgorzata Pek

Staff: Nanig Mehranian, Julie Hedlund, Emily Barabas, Michael Karakash, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Group C call on Thursday, 29 November 2018 at 21:00 UTC

Julie Bisland: Agenda Wiki: https://community.icann.org/x/TwDuBQ

Steve Chan:Nope!

Michael Flemming:Neither have I Michael Flemming:Sounds good

jeff neuman: Anyone know any good show tunes to sing while we wait

jeff neuman:I do know plenty of them

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair)::-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): I will briefly drop from AC sorry

Steve Chan:Link to the Google doc: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A docs.google.com spreadsheets d 1MQmo1B6zBqGXYFRF2pKZXPhGmz0JfZhlaMxKldVsT1g edit-

23gid-3D0&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-

05YzARosRvTYd84AB UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=0WVGkSPIQe9GA1GhXH-WJpet p10-

0_ok3H4ob2X7h0&s=STqcBmuemFyqNa-5-qkehqPO831Oy9JxJ-hABrYgeP4&e=

Steve Chan: As far as I can tell

Steve Chan:But I will double-check

Steve Chan: Confirmed, that is the entirety of the preliminary recommendation.

Jim Prendergast:is that Marques comment about changing PICS something to be added to the parking lot as a new idea?

Jim Prendergast:ok

Steve Chan:I thought we had asked a question to that effect...trying to locate it. Definitely asked about amended PICs in relation to GAC Advice/GAC EW

Steve Chan:Looking now

Steve Chan: 2.8.1.c.5: Provide applicants with the opportunity to amend an application or add Public Interest Commitments in response to concerns raised in an objection.

Steve Chan:And Marques comments actually applies to 2.8.1.c 2-5, so it is already there actually Michael Casadevall:++

Heath Dixon (Amazon): The text in blue is the text that was supposed to be bold and italic (in response to staff note).

Heath Dixon (Amazon):Sure.

Heath Dixon (Amazon):THe RySG comment said the text in bold and ital was the proposed comment.

Heath Dixon (Amazon): It wasn't bolded and italicized when submitted.

Heath Dixon (Amazon): Staff correctly turned blue the proposed change text.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): I am Also CHairing another call right now remember @Michael Justine Chew: 2.8.1.c.3 Agree with Jamie's interpretation.

jeff neuman:Seems like almost full agreement (at least no divergence)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): I am literally talking in another call

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): I told you I was double booked and CHairig the other call

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Yes They DO support quick look

Justine Chew: 2.8.1.c.4 ALAC comment is similiar to INTA's

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Yes @Justine Thanks

Justine Chew: I guess it is alright for one to be a concern and the other a new idea.

jeff neuman:So, in a summary to Full WG, we would say there is full support from the comments for expanding the Quick Look Mechanism. But some express concerns that the same criteria may not be applicable to all types of objections. So that needs to be considered.

Justine Chew:+1 Jeff

jeff neuman:Pronounced "Marks"

Jim Prendergast: I think its Marks

jeff neuman: This is another concept that seems to have full support from the comments although there are some concerns around some of the details

Steve Chan: Staff comment: clarification might be needed for the ALAC comment since as far as I recall, withdrawals / refunds were available after losing in an objection.

Justine Chew: Steve: what about appeals?

Steve Chan:@Justine, not sure what you mean. I think you're saying there was no appeal mechanism? If so, agree.

Justine Chew:Steve, yes, since staff comment for 2..8.1.c.5 only pertains to refunds - which indicates that refund 'rules' exist. sol I was just confirming whether appeals were available or not.

Justine Chew:Sorry, it's too early for me so I may not be making myself clear enough in the first instance.

Steve Chan: Clear enough Justine, just wanted to make sure. Thanks!

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Hand raised re INTA comment

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Better

Gg Levine (NABP):So, how do we handle contrary positions, ie, the GAC and others, in the final report? Michael Flemming:Steve, I did not see your hand, sorry

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): Note it in the level of Consensus (listing it as Divergence Steve Chan: no worries, will leave it up for now and may lower it based on what Jeff says.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):But we would expect the Report to articulate such issues Gg Levine (NABP):Thank you.

Michael Flemming: Jeff your mic

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Agree with Steve - full discussion of divergent ideas is appropriate and could result in changed recommendations.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Yes some are smaller input levels to deal with

Steve Chan:And to add to that, if the comment remains divergent for instance, then the report should indicate how the WG considered and why it was not taken aboard.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):extending to 90 min calls is 1 option

Justine Chew: When is the next call?

Julie Bisland:Thursday, 6 December at 15:00 UTC

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Steve - yes - that is the only way to remain accountable.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): Bye for now people THANKS you all good progress today

Justine Chew:Thanks.

Michael Casadevall:thanks all

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thank you Michael, Cheryl staff et al

Gg Levine (NABP):Yes, thank you.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: And Jeff