

**Attendance: (11 Members)**

Anne Aikman-Scalese  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr  
Jamie Baxter  
Jeff Neuman  
Jessica Hooper  
Jim Prendergast  
Kathy Kleiman  
Matthew Crossman  
Robin Gross  
Kristine Dorrain  
Crystal Ondo

**Staff:**

Steve Chan  
Emily Barabas  
Julie Hedlund  
Michelle DeSmyter

**AC chat:**

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP - Sub Group A call on Thursday, 29 November 2019 at 15:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/TADuBQ>

Jim Prendergast:do you have a link to the spread sheet we will be following? thanks

Robin Gross:[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_docs.google.com\\_spreadsheets\\_d\\_15zDdzlBwLCz5m2sNXui6N6pporbUq-2DIDFEwf4rKi4A\\_edit-23gid-3D0&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=fSGJdrpPFOhwLSyI\\_kCUKA65v3vYOJ2zUiPL1r-TnaA&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_15zDdzlBwLCz5m2sNXui6N6pporbUq-2DIDFEwf4rKi4A_edit-23gid-3D0&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=fSGJdrpPFOhwLSyI_kCUKA65v3vYOJ2zUiPL1r-TnaA&e=)

Jim Prendergast:thanks Robin

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CO CHair):Sorry for small delay in joining... here in AC now

Jeff Neuman:Hello all!

Kathy Kleiman:I object

Kathy Kleiman:Great

Kathy Kleiman:it sounds like 90 min -- 60 OK

Jim Prendergast:i have no issue with doing 90 minutes in the future just as long as we have sufficient notice

Jeff Neuman:I had mentioned it on the full group call, but the scheduling did not get updated until yesterday. So shortening it to 60 is fine. We will make it up later.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CO CHair):Yup

Emily Barabas:[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_docs.google.com\\_spreadsheets\\_d\\_15zDdzlBwLCz5m2sNXui6N6pporbUq-2DIDFEwfh4rKi4A\\_edit-23gid-3D0&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=fSGJdrpPFOhwLSyI\\_kCUKA65v3vYOJ2zUiPL1r-TnaA&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_15zDdzlBwLCz5m2sNXui6N6pporbUq-2DIDFEwfh4rKi4A_edit-23gid-3D0&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=fSGJdrpPFOhwLSyI_kCUKA65v3vYOJ2zUiPL1r-TnaA&e=)

Crystal Ondo - Donuts:My adobe is acting up. I am going to sign out and dial in on the bridge.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Sorry to be late - traffic.

Kathy Kleiman:should that comment be moved to be included in the questions about "rounds"?

Jim Prendergast:What about the SSAC comment - that was pretty clear

Kathy Kleiman:Can we make a list of what commenters want to see as "condition precedent" to New gTLDs?

Kathy Kleiman:That seems to be something coming up here, even at this early point

Jeff Neuman:SSAC has not designated one sadly

Jeff Neuman:But we can still get things to them

Jim Prendergast:ugh

Jeff Neuman:So High Level: Seems to be a Consensus that we should have additional new gTLDs

Jeff Neuman:Down a level: Some commenters have conditions that must occur prior to starting

Jeff Neuman:next round

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Jeff - I thought you had remarked that Jim Galvin was willing to accept questions from Sub Pro.

Jim Prendergast:Id say thats right. No prohibition but timing is a question mark

Jeff Neuman:@Anne - yes that is what I meant by being able to get info to the SSAC

Jeff Neuman:but they have not designated even a small "liaison"

Jim Prendergast:hes not an official liaison. Hes an SSAC member who occasionally participates at the plenary

Kathy Kleiman:Isn't it a new idea - and shouldn't it go out to the public?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:List of conditions precedent is actually a good idea - might be same list as list related to forming an IRT.

Jim Prendergast:might be because it was late

Jim Prendergast:[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_en\\_system\\_files\\_files\\_sac-2D103-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwill3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=X-KWZJhtPO0yBd34nXn2ABYIzkdYvxlB0iLFc\\_yF1D8&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_sac-2D103-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwill3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=X-KWZJhtPO0yBd34nXn2ABYIzkdYvxlB0iLFc_yF1D8&e=)

Steve Chan:Jim might be referring to the SSAC comment currently under the General tab?

Steve Chan:Which perhaps belongs under this topic instead.

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - thats a good idea

Kathy Kleiman:To follow-up, I would separate "gating" issues from "asks."

Jeff Neuman:@Anne - yes, that is precisely what we should include in the summary as well

Kathy Kleiman:gating are conditions precedents -- asks are groups seeking to promote their interests (e.g., my applications first)

Steve Chan:@Jeff, I believe we might already have that. If I recall correctly, we asked the community for input on dependencies to starting a new round (upon a nudge from the Board)

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - if we have that, great. If not, lets make sure to get the question to the BC

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Good idea. Specifics will be helpful for the WG to weigh in.

Jeff Neuman:We skipped Valideus :)

Jeff Neuman:But i think it is the same as the others

Anne Aikman-Scalese:RE BC comment about Reviews to be completed, the WG response has to be changed - it says Action Taken - none anticipated - Completed - but that has to be updated based on today's call

Jim Prendergast:way to cover Home Base Jeff!

Jeff Neuman:Thanks....I had no role in drafting the Valideus comments

Jeff Neuman:(for the record)

Kathy Kleiman:I think general comments of Council of Europe may fit here as well:

Jeff Neuman:I agree that this is not really divergence as their position in cc2 was clear that they supported new gtlds

Kathy Kleiman:there is a call in their comments for solving "general fairness, transparency and accountability in ICANN processes"

Kathy Kleiman:Could those be added here too?

Kathy Kleiman:Question above to Steve Chan (continuing our discussion of commenters whose comments may be in a diferent format )

Kristine Dorrain:I made it in! It wasn't worth interrupting on thep phone for, but I just wanted to note that my silence indicates a lack of objection (so far) to the current characterizations in the Google doc. :) I think whoever did the sorting and pulled out the "nuggets" did a good job. :)

Steve Chan:@Kathy, sure, we can add in the CoE comment to 2.2.1, which looks like it might apply to other sections as well

Kathy Kleiman:Tx you!!

Steve Chan:And appreciate your ongoing assistance in getting comments directed to one topic, but relevant to another topic, copied over as applicable.

Jim Prendergast:was that study done prior to the launch of 2012 round or post 2012 round? I cant remember.

Steve Chan:@Jeff, if I recall, ICANN did two economic studies (prior to launch)

Jeff Neuman:@jim - I am pretty sure it was after as well

Jeff Neuman:Because I remember registries were asked to provide data

Jeff Neuman:Unless I am mixing stuff up that ICANN did do something asking for data, but I will do more research

Jeff Neuman:Bumped up to full group

Jeff Neuman:the Full group will help weigh it

Jim Prendergast:ok trhanks

Jeff Neuman:@Kristine - riht

Jeff Neuman:right

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CO CHair):That makes perfect sense to me @Kristine

Kristine Dorrain:To clarify: C Wilkinson is "one commenter"

Jeff Neuman:@Anne - Kristine was referring to the CW comment

Jeff Neuman:The GAC

Jeff Neuman:is different

Kristine Dorrain:The GAC, like other commentors have suggests and the Full Working Group will consider that.

Jeff Neuman:their comment is that the economic study was a condition

Kristine Dorrain:I don't think the GAC was divergent...right Jeff.

Kristine Dorrain:And we'll discuss their opinion.

Steve Chan:Re: the GAC comment, perhaps better then to call it Concerns rather than Divergence?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I think that's right STEve

Jeff Neuman:This is the study I may havebeen thinking about  
: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_news\\_announcement-2D2015-2D05-2D29-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=10E8DFcgXVwklNRbpbfOLu\\_jr\\_r29z75m1b-WQV7kvQ&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_news_announcement-2D2015-2D05-2D29-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=10E8DFcgXVwklNRbpbfOLu_jr_r29z75m1b-WQV7kvQ&e=)

Jeff Neuman:in 2015

Anne Aikman-Scalese:it's back to conditions precedent I think

Kristine Dorrain:I think we are using "Concerns" to categorize "conditions precedent", right?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I think that's right Kristine

Kristine Dorrain:(I couldn't determine from audio only if we we'd created a new category or not!)

Jim Prendergast:I think thats more awareness as opposed to cost benefit analysis

Jim Prendergast:but we should ask them directly

Jeff Neuman:Here is the evaluation of  
it: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_news\\_announcement-2D2-2D2015-2D09-2D28-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=aGlg16PZM0flUE5K5w2Ac6MbS1QvjWqmXwJYco0H1Uo&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_news_announcement-2D2-2D2015-2D09-2D28-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=aGlg16PZM0flUE5K5w2Ac6MbS1QvjWqmXwJYco0H1Uo&e=)

Kristine Dorrain:Ha, I guess that's two individual "no new gtlds" positions.

Jeff Neuman:This was phase 2: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_news\\_announcement-2D2016-2D10-2D11-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=oegnLelyUxWrahcONICWut-FPIx2GBnV1001xGjsBHQ&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_news_announcement-2D2016-2D10-2D11-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=VZQkbcE8RnJKaUA5uN64fHNUqdk5tFYgFn1QeYDgSWg&s=oegnLelyUxWrahcONICWut-FPIx2GBnV1001xGjsBHQ&e=)

Anne Aikman-Scalese:right Kristine - not sure if we have a category for "extreme divergence" ;-)

Kathy Kleiman:Can we queue this up to bring to the public as well?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CO CHair):Agree @Jeff

Kathy Kleiman:Since it is a broad request -- the WG can create, and the pu8blic can add.

Kathy Kleiman:Robin, can you read my comment?

Kathy Kleiman:(to a future list of metrics)

Kathy Kleiman:yes - tx

Jeff Neuman:Time

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CO CHair):May as well break here

Emily Barabas:I believe Jeff has AOB

Jim Prendergast:to AOB - suggest an email to the larger plenary mailing list as not evberyone is on the call to hear the lea

Kathy Kleiman:Agreed!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CO CHair):Thanks everyone Good progress today... Bye for now...

Emily Barabas:Next week :)

Kathy Kleiman:Tx Robin - bye all

Anne Aikman-Scalese:thanks all