
BOBAN KRSIC: Okay. And maybe wait until next week because there are only I think four or five people from the team. I've circulated. Let's wait for some comments and then we can consolidate it and finalize it maybe next Thursday.

RUSS HOUSLEY: That is essentially what I was going to suggest, but if there was some part that anybody on the call felt was controversial or wanted to highlight, I want to give time to do that.

BOBAN KRSIC: Okay.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So, Kerry-Ann is typing into the chat. She says it would be good regarding the future challenges one I think is what she's talking about. Get team members to think about other topics that should be considered as we get to working on that work stream. And I think we will need to do that. I think it reflects where we are at the moment. If you disagree with that, Kerry-Ann, please type something.

Alright. So, hopefully, we will get one from Eric in the next couple of days and we can settle on these in terms of having comments typed into the Google Doc over the next week and we can [inaudible] a week from today, so that we can get on with the [inaudible] of that.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Okay. Moving onto agenda item three, if there's nothing else, [inaudible] talk about SSR1 recommendation four and what a write-up might look like for that. Did I miss an e-mail about that? Laurin, if you're talking, you're muted. I heard Laurin earlier. I'm not hearing you now, Laurin. Okay, Laurin is reconnecting.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Hello, everybody. Is this working now?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes. Hear you well. Thank you.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Oh, okay. Sorry. I guess I got [inaudible] the line. Proposed text is essentially in the Google Doc which is available to everybody, I believe. It's called – if this thing lets me load at least – SSR1 recommendations 1-28. Should I post a link [inaudible]? So, we're looking at recommendation four, which reads just to those who are not on the – don't have the ability [to check]. [inaudible] clearly define the nature of the SSR relationships it has within the ICANN community in order to provide a single focal point for understanding the [independencies] between organizations.

We have discussed this recommendation in the past and essentially what it came down to, at least this is what I took away from the document as well as the discussion, is that some stuff has been done. There is some documentation available, but that documentation is slightly scattered. There are some mismatches. One of them is noted in

the text. So, for example, that document that says this was achieved on a certain date and does this document actually reference the stuff that is on a later date.

So, essentially, what I kind of wrote up, I made very few changes to the strawman that was already there and [inaudible] currently in the Google Doc, so I think the idea is essentially, yes, we can see something has been done, but the recommendation has not been implemented in full and we would recommend, based on the current text, to say that [inaudible] continue trying to document this as it would be useful to have these SSR relationships cleared up and explained in one document, which at the moment, they definitely are not.

Can everybody access the Google Doc? Just checking. Should I read it?

RUSS HOUSLEY:

When I look at this, I see the table that we gathered. Which part do you think [inaudible] final report? Because I was not expecting the questions and answers part to be part of a final write-up.

LAURIN WEISSINGER:

Oh, okay. Then, that was a misunderstanding between us, essentially. Okay. I thought we would keep the – essentially saying these are the questions we addressed as we have them in the preamble and then we would address them one by one.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I'm sorry. The question and answer section here is the questions we asked staff.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: No. These are [inaudible] recommendations still relevant today, [inaudible] work needed. Does the implementation have the intended effect? So, it's at the bottom. Oh, you need to scroll down. [inaudible]. We asked staff. And if you continue on, it will say, "Does the implementation have the intended effect?"

RUSS HOUSLEY: I see. So, these three blocks you think will go [inaudible].

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Yes. [inaudible]. Okay. Yes.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So, we [inaudible]. I was just looking at the wrong thing.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Okay, good. So, yes. I assumed we would just keep that structure because that's the structure we have in the preamble and that's how we structure [inaudible].

RUSS HOUSLEY: That part, I'm fine with. All good. Thanks.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Okay. So, if anyone has anything, any comments, any ideas, I'm sure there are some stylistic stuff we have to deal with. But, in general, as I said, it seems that some effort was made. Some documents are available, but not everything is [inaudible] in one document where you could find everything, as I mentioned before.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So, what I'm hoping will happen from this discussion is that having one completed text here, the people who did the research on the other SSR1 recommendations will be able to write similar text to put together into the draft report.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: So, Kerry-Ann is just typing into the chat.

RUSS HOUSLEY: [inaudible] Kerry-Ann is being worked. Staff is creating the preamble document and we will then put the text for each of the SSR1 recommendations into that Google Doc, so that we don't trip over what we've [inaudible] before. Does that make sense? Exactly.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: I would be glad to [inaudible] copy. Because this document is already shared with everyone. I edited it in there, so that everyone has access. It would be a matter of copy and pasting it over for each of them that we

discussed. I'm just not sure if we have that cleaned-up document. Maybe staff could indicate if this has happened and I just didn't notice.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Staff took the action last Thursday to create it and I believe they sent it out and Jennifer has just provided the link.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Sorry, then. I was asleep there.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. So, we just [inaudible] place there with the red text for each of the people to write it.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Okay. Give me a second to copy it over.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Oh, you don't have to do it right this second while we're watching.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: [inaudible].

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. So, in the previous doc, does anyone have any concerns with the approach that Laurin has taken here, so that the rest of us can use this

as a model for our work going forward? I see Kerry-Ann is typing. Okay. Yes, exactly. We need to have a place to work on the final text, so that Google Doc will be the way we get there from here. I was expecting this to spur some discussion. I'm kind of surprised it's not. Okay, Laurin, be more controversial.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: I'm not sure what I can say to be more controversial. Essentially, if anyone ...

RUSS HOUSLEY: Sorry. Sarcasm doesn't work well on a call like this.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: So, essentially, is there anything that people think I missed out on or that's in the draft? As I said, what we see is essentially there are some reports, there are some documents, but nothing is complete in the way that I believe this is the way I interpret this. So, my interpretation of the recommendation is there is one document, one table, or something like that, that you open and it essentially says this is the SSR relationship we have with X. This is the SSR relationship we have with Y. Maybe giving some content on what this is about and providing some documentation, for example.

Right now, as I said, if you click on the link in that document, that should point to, say, the agreement, it doesn't work and a lot of them when it says SSR relationship, it just says none. But then, at the same time, it [inaudible] question is why is this in the list? That's why I concluded,

yes, we can see something was being done, but it definitely has not. The form that the recommendation speaks to, nor is that document complete.

So, this is essentially my quick summary and the question now is if there is anything we should add to the text or change the text to address this?

RUSS HOUSLEY:

I keep jumping back and forth between the Google Doc and the window with the chat to see if people are either typing in one place or the other. So far, I'm not seeing anything. I'm only hearing silence. I'm taking that to mean that people are okay with this direction, so let's take the action for people to use the same structure that was done here for recommendation four. And if you could take the text that you already got together on the recommendations you worked on and put it into the Google Doc as draft text for the final report, that would be great. We'll send an e-mail reminder for the people who are not on the call.

Alright. Well, to my surprise, since we've had very little discussion, we're at the end. I have a few actions that I'm aware of. One is to send a message to everyone about putting their text into the Google Doc and one to ask Eric for preamble text. Is there any other business someone would like to raise? Again, staff to check on travel for LA.

LAURIN WEISSINGER:

I just got an e-mail from Boban saying that he also [inaudible] and he can't connect back in, but he'll check the minutes but no other business from me. Just his apologies.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay, then, I think we're done for this week. Thank you so much. I'm flabbergasted that we got through all of that with no comments. Have a good week.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Have a good week, everyone. Thank you.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Thanks, everybody.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]