

Consolidated Retirement Process

Barcelona

ccPDP-Retirement Working Group

2018-11-27

*This document includes an outline **automatically** translated from ccPDP-ret.barcelona.consolidatedretirementprocess.2018-10-20.*

7 **1 How should process look like?**

8 Break-out Sessions 1: how to move from A to B? Which steps in between?

9 A: trigger event

10 B: removal from the zone

11 Use results as check list of proposed policy

12 **1.1 Common**

13 **1.1.1 Start: Change of code element**

14 **1.1.2 Communication between IFO and ccTLD**

15 Need for communication between IANA functions operator and ccTLD manager

16 **1.1.3 Communication ccTLD**

17 Communication with registrars, broader community. GVT no longer part of that

18 Communication between registry and registrars. Community to be given input into the

19 process early.

20 **1.1.4 Retirement Plan(s)**

21 See Staff paper on planning

22 Notion of the need for a plan between IANA functions operator and the ccTLD manager.

23 Need for flexibility in the plan

24 Includes Transfer Process: Process of transferring registrations to the gaining registry.

25 If the gaining registry is empty, that is straightforward (Congo to Zaire). If the TLD

26 is going away with no successor: do you play out the renewals until all domains are

27 expired. Or do you do an active cancellation?

28 Includes in between steps agreed (group 3): In between steps to be agreed between

29 PTI and

30 Default Plan group 2: Default plan in case ccTLD manager refused to cooperate in the

31 development of the plan

32 1.1.5 End: Key -event to removal

33 1.2 For discussion

34 1.2.1 Trademark issues

35 Potential trademark issues.

36 1.2.2 Duration of process

37 Long-stop date when domain is removed from root

38 See also results second break-out.

39 • Shorter

40 Long-stop date: shorter if ccTLD manager is non-responsive?

41 Shorter if no agreement

42 • Staff allocations

43 How to keep staff on board?

- 44 • How many domain names?
- 45 How many domains in zone file?
- 46 Comment Peter Koch: Peter: the next user of the code is not at the table here.
47 The larger TLD will leave more waste (use of name outside the DNS). Renaming a
48 big TLD. Real stakeholder is not here.
- 49 • Longer
- 50 If agreed
- 51 Process factors, e.g. stop taking new registrations, transfers of domain name
52 holders, registrars transfers, renewals etc., stop accepting disputes
- 53 Is there a need to stop accepting registrant and registrar transfers?
54 Nick: If you got a 5-year retirement process, the need to be able to renew if it
55 grandfathers into a new ccTLD is important.
- 56 Kim D: no constraint on what registration period can be. Concept of renewal.
57 Practical solution can't be fully gated at the length of the registration period.

58 **1.2.3 Local issues**

59 How do you solve the locale issue if the gaining registry has one

60 1.2.4 IANA re Database

61 IANA activities regarding database changes

62 Staff Question: To be included in Policy or implementation/execution?

63 1.3 Issue Identified

64 1.3.1 Reversal name change

65 What would happen if .CD would change back to .ZR?

66 Kim D: I assume this would need to go through a new delegation process.

67 Assuming the local community is in support, this would be a straightforward process.