

# Implementation of the ALAC Review Process Recommendations...

Board Resolution - 23rd June 2018

Cheryl Langdon-Orr

# ICANN Board Resolution - 23rd June 2018

Item (h.) in the Consent Agenda of the ICANN Board Meeting Organizational Review of the At-Large - Final Report and Recommendations was approved.

In addition to a number of important Whereas clauses found [here](#)

It was-

Resolved (2018.06.23.11), the Board receives the At-Large Review Final Report from the independent examiner.

**Resolved (2018.06.23.12), the Board accepts the At-Large Review Recommendations Feasibility Assessment & Implementation Plan, approved by the ALAC on 22 August 2017, and the Review Working Party's At-Large Review Implementation Overview Proposal, approved by the ALAC on 20 April 2018.**

## Formation of the ARIWG is also directed...

Resolved (2018.06.23.13), the Board directs the ALAC to convene an At-Large review implementation working group that oversees the implementation process of the implementation proposals contained in the At-Large Review Implementation Overview Proposal, including through the development of a detailed implementation plan. The Board expects that the implementation plan will expand on the implementation steps detailed in the At-Large Review Implementation Overview Proposal, including through identification of metrics for each implementation, a concise overview of the current state for each of the ALAC's proposals, a clearly defined goal of the implementation objectives, and a methodology of how to measure implementation progress on an ongoing basis.

# **Fiscal responsibility and budget planning is required...**

Resolved (2018.06.23.14), the Board directs the ALAC to work with ICANN organization to include expected budgetary implication for each of the implementation steps into its detailed implementation plan. The implementation plan shall incorporate a phased approach that allows for easy-to-implement and least costly improvements to be implemented first, with those items with more significant budget implications addressed via subsequent budget cycles. Any budgetary requests should be made in line with ICANN organization's budgeting processes. The detailed implementation plan shall be submitted to the Board as soon as possible, but no later than six (6) months after the adoption of this resolution.

# As is regular Reporting on Progress...

Resolved (2018.06.23.15), the Board directs the At-Large review implementation working group to provide to the OEC semiannual written implementation reports on progress against the implementation plan, including, but not limited to, progress toward metrics detailed in the implementation plan and use of allocated budget.

# It is also worth noting a section from the Rationale...

## *Rationale for Resolutions 2018.06.23.11 – 2018.06.23.15*

To ensure ICANN's multistakeholder model remains transparent and accountable, and to improve its performance, ICANN conducts ICANN Bylaws-mandated organizational reviews of its Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees as prescribed in Article 4, Section 4.4 of its Bylaws. The second At-Large Review started in May 2016.

## **What is the proposal being considered?**

The Board considers the proposal to accept the [Final Report](#) [PDF, 4.04 MB], the [At-Large Review Recommendations Feasibility Assessment & Implementation Plan](#) [PDF, 556 KB], and the [At-Large Review Implementation Overview Proposal](#), both approved by the ALAC. In addition, the Board considers instructing the ALAC to form an implementation team. The implementation team shall draft an implementation plan and provide the OEC with semiannual written reports detailing the implementation progress. Due to the fact that some of the independent examiner's recommendations were either not implementable or, if implemented without modification, would lead to a deviation from the At-Large's mission and function, the Board is considering whether to instruct the ALAC to use the At-Large Review Implementation Overview Proposal in lieu of the Final Report to reasonably address the underlying issues identified by the independent reviewer to guide the implementation process.

# Structure of the ARIWG...

The Function is clearly outlined, the structure is assumed based on standard practice of the ALAC one that should be both **Regionally balanced** and have a **clear ALAC specific component** to it.

In addition the ARIWG will need to be:

**AGILE** - Yet work in a timely manner in collaboration in keeping with a published project timeline.

**WELL FOUNDED** - A good mix of Skill Sets and experience. Utilizing active and effective participation from volunteers who are well founded or experienced in either the ICANN Review Process and ALAC Reviews specifically, or bringing expertise in a key enabler for the project's success.