

Adobe Connect attendance: 35

Alan Greenberg (ALAC)
Alberto Soto
Alexander Schubert
Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO
Avri Doria
Bruna Martins dos Santos
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair)
Chris Casavale - IPC
Christopher Wilkinson
David McAuley
Dev Anand Teelucksingh
Ejikeme Egbuogu

Greg Shatan
Harold Arcos
Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead)
Jeff Neuman
Jim Prendergast
Joe Alagna
John Rodriguez
Juan Manuel Rojas
Justine Chew
Katrin Ohlmer
Kavouss Arasteh
Martin Sutton (BRG)

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead
Olga Kyryliuk
Paul McGrady
Robin Gross
Sophie Hey
Stefan Filipovic
Susan Anthony
Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC)
Timothy Asiedu
Tom Dale
Yrjö Länsipuro

On audio only:

Bram Fudzulani

Apologies:

Nick Wenban-Smith
Marita Moll

Jorge Cancio
Maureen Hilyard

Jaap Akkerhuis
Susan Payne
Luca Barbero

Staff:

Julie Hedlund
Steve Chan
Linna Hsii
Michael Karakash

Berry Cobb
Michelle DeSmyter
Terri Agnew

Michelle DeSmyter: Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 5 – Geographic Names at the Top Level call on Wednesday, 28 November 2018 at 20:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/zhm8BQ>

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hello there! can we check my audio??

Steve Chan:Yes!

Steve Chan:I've not joined audio yet though

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hi Steve once you can I have a doubt about slide nr14

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hi Yrjo

Yrjö Länsipuro:Hello Olga!

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hi Annebeth

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Hi Olga

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hi Jim

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Hi All

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Hola a Todos

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hola Javier

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Hi all

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Hello everyone

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hi Martin

Alberto Soto:Hello everyone!

Juan Manuel Rojas:Hello everyone!

Juan Manuel Rojas:Hi Olga!

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Hola Juan Manuel

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Hi everyone

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Hi @CLO!

David McAuley:Hello all

David McAuley:yes

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Yes Olga

Katrin Ohlmer:Hello everyone

Steve Chan:Slides are unsynced

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):all ok

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Not from me, thx

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Just let us know when you need us to come in

Steve Chan:We will be starting with slide 5

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Everybody

Kavouss Arasteh:Too weak

Michelle DeSmyter:Greg, we are having a hard time hearing you

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Removing "applicable" should help avoid Kavous' concern.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Kavouss, "as applicable" is suggested to be removed

Katrin Ohlmer:I do not support the change by staff because this does not reflect the discussion of WT5 group. SO I would propose to delete "as applicable" and keep the sentence otherwise.

Jim Prendergast:Greg - maybe dial into the phone #?

Michelle DeSmyter:Greg, if you are needing a dial out, I would be more than happy to assist with that.

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):yep

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:So can all agree that we remove "as applicable" and not add something else? I understand Katrin that way - and Kavouss

Katrin Ohlmer:Thank you, Annebeth!

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):i misunderstood too. thanks Annebeth

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Katrin, could you clarify why the suggested sentence does not reflect the deliberations?

Greg Shatan:Thank you Annabeth.

Katrin Ohlmer:Ok, thanks Steve.

Katrin Ohlmer:I'm fine then.

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):ok

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Great, thank you Katrin.

Greg Shatan:Staff's proposed language is in the documents sent around before the meeting.

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Hand by @Alan after.

Greg Shatan:Can this be put up in AC?

John Rodriguez:I think the proposed Staff sentence edit is in slide 5, at the bottom.

Julie Hedlund:@all: The staff suggested language is in bullet three on slide 5 of the slides. The slides are unsynced.

Steve Chan:Thanks John, that's correct

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:You have to move the slides yourselves

Paul McGrady:@Alan - what about ".Chicago" for use with a musical? It is a reference to the City of Chicago, but is not trying to be the City of Chicago.

Greg Shatan:Thanks again, Annabeth!

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):Paul, that ends up being a second-level reference to Chicago. ANd is why "associated" is not quite right.

Justine Chew:+1 Steve

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:I think this part will also receive comments when it is sent out for public comments

Greg Shatan:It needs to be clear what the recommendation says without having to flip back and forth.

Kavouss Arasteh:May secretariat put the page which we are discussin

Steve Chan:Slide 6

Greg Shatan:Partipants have scroll control

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:You have to move it yourself, Kavouss, slide 6

Steve Chan:If it's helpful, we can sync the slides

Paul McGrady:@Alan, thanks. But we need to make sure we don't end up in a situation where the City of Chicago could block a gTLD application for .Chicago for use in conjunction with the musical.

Greg Shatan:+1 Paul

Robin Gross:It doesn't seem fair to the musical .chicago to prevent that use.

Robin Gross:There is no balancing of rights, only a automatic winner (govts) every time. That's not fair to other legitimate interests.

Greg Shatan:I agree with Justine's change.

John Rodriguez:Also agree with Justine's proposed wording.

Justine Chew:@Steve, yes

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Correct, Steve

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):Sorry, was not focussing here. I agree that if the TLD is about the musical, then it is NOT *ABOUT* the city.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):correct steve

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Then it will be just another 3-letter combination not on the ISO list

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):If we have time at the end of the call to return to slide 5, I think we should try to agree any amended wording that could capture the thoughts (e.g. append a further sentence "This is to prevent misrepresentation of the city in its geographic sense")

Terri Agnew:Christopher Wilkinson has joined the telephone

Steve Chan:Please note that from this point forward, we will be talking about changes/potential changes to the Deliberations section

Paul McGrady:Did sound go out?

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Have we lost Olga?

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):dead air..

Steve Chan:Accordingly, there is a fair likelihood the some WT members will disagree with both concepts and specific language

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@Martin, earlier we have had some opposition with the word misinterpretation. I do not think all agree.

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):yep

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):lest go

David McAuley:Thanks Annebeth

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):please

Paul McGrady:The Internet will be a great thing once we get it to work consistently. :)

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):@Annebeth - thx, I wasn't trying to fix the text but just wanted to suggest we return to this if we have time, as this is part of the preliminary recommendations (rather than the deliberations/additional questions)

Steve Chan:I'll ask a different way. Are there any objections if we sync the slides? It seems we might be losing some poeple here and there.

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):Steve - good idea

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Good idea, Steve

Steve Chan:Done, until I hear otherwise

Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead):thx Steve

Katrin Ohlmer:I would prefer to add the proposed sentence from Ann-Cathrins comment after the sentence starting with "Others....." instead of adding a footnote to keep the balance in the discussion.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Remember this part is only a record of the *Deliberations* and NOT any Recommendations

Greg Shatan:Katrin, this reflects what "some believe". It is not intended to be balanced.

Katrin Ohlmer:@Greg: This is not reflected so we should have no issue in adding this aspect we definitely had in the debate.

Greg Shatan:But not in the part that reflects one set of viewpoints. Please look at the document.

Katrin Ohlmer:That is exactly why I proposed to add the point from An-Cathrin as a new point.

Greg Shatan:Olga, please read the responses as well as Katrin's statement.

John Rodriguez:If we add the footnote, then I'm concerned we'll have to add footnotes to other places in the report where it also references "some believe that" to give the other perspective. This point is merely to show that some members believe.... and not all.

Greg Shatan:Can we please remember that this section of the report describes the DELIBERATIONS. :-(

Greg Shatan:So Kavouss would suggest cutting out the entire deliberations section?

Paul McGrady:But the purpose of the initial report is to elicit comments from the Community. We need to set forth what some believe so that we can get reaction to it. It is an initial report - not a final one.

Martin Sutton (BRG):We are not re-opening the deliberations but trying to reflect these in the report and open up some questions for broader feedback from the community.

Alexander.berlin backup:I don't care whether or not there are "laws" protecting place names. There are city communities - they need to be heard. End of the story. I don't want that some "commercial entertainment company" named "Chicago" can squat on the namespace of the Chicago city community. Capitalist interests DO NOT TOP the interest of the "At Large Community".

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):+1

Greg Shatan:Suggest a minor revision to the footnote, so it says: Some others disagree, and believe..."

Paul McGrady:@Annabeth - my primary concern is that all of these footnotes make a difficult document even more difficult to read. Also, it won't be clear to the reader who the footnote maker is. Are they Staff, are they community members, are they Experts with whom it would be ridiculous to disagree? Yikes!

Paul McGrady:@Robin - couldn't agree more

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Good point, Paul

Paul McGrady:Why not encourage the footnote makers to make them as public comments instead? That way they are sure to be captured by the process?

Katrin Ohlmer:The deliberations should reflect the debate we had, so we should keep the point at least in the footnote, if we were not to have it as a separate point.

Greg Shatan:Paul, I think those people would like those reading for public comment to see what is in the footnote.

Steve Chan:Would there be (perhaps reluctant) agreement to Greg's suggestion, to modify the footnote?

Greg Shatan:It's a reluctant suggestion, but I am hoping to close points.

Alexander.berlin backup:This ISN'T about "competition laws" - this isn't about LAWS AT ALL: City Communities need to be heard.

Greg Shatan:If it isn't about competition law, don't use the term "Monopolization."

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):and that opinion could be picked up in the upcoming PC phase of our work @Greg Yes

Greg Shatan:I'm fine with the footnote.

Robin Gross:Isn't every use of a domain name a "monopolization" in some sense?

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Good point

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:In some sense, Robin, yes. But I like Justines word better: Exclusive use ...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Yes @Paul, and again this part is a record of *Deliberations* not any Recommendation

Greg Shatan:I like Justine's word better too. But some people like to use words that convey a little more "heat"

Paul McGrady:@Cheryl, thanks! I'm just really concerned about the final reader. I like nice clean-looking documents (I may just have to get over myself).

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Since this is outside competition law, monopolization in my mind, is not the right word

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):agreed @Annabeth.

Steve Chan:Is there support then for using Justine's suggestion of "exclusive use" instead? Does that change the nature of the comment, while removing the concerns about using the word monopolization?

Yrjö Länsipuro:Exlusive support +1

Yrjö Länsipuro:Exclusive use, sorry

Dev Anand Teelucksingh:question - just noticed that page 35 the "U.S Board on Geographic Names" is underlined as a URL but in the PDF, it doesn't appear to have a URL

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:We will check the URL

Dev Anand Teelucksingh:@Annabeth - thanks

Martin Sutton (BRG):Olga - Christopher has his hand raised

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):hand by @Christopher

Robin Gross:Trademark law and free expression make a balance between them. How would TLD geo rights balance free expression?

Greg Shatan:It might work to just cut everything after the first two sentences, except for the last two sentences....

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@Greg, I agree

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:This is too complicated as it is

Robin Gross:I agree with Greg also.

Greg Shatan:Christopher, in this case you may have cut the Gordian knot.

Paul McGrady:@Staff - not for publication forthe entire community, but primarily for us WT5vers, can you guys send around a comparison version between this version and the final so that we can see the handful of changes that were made?

Steve Chan:@Paul, of course, no problem

Julie Hedlund:@Greg: Captured in the actions.

Julie Hedlund:I mean, @Paul :-)

Steve Chan:I would like to try an summarize what we intend to do, since it's not always been clear where we've ended up

Greg Shatan:@Julie, you can use the beard to tell us apart....

Julie Hedlund:LOL Greg

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):no comments

Katrin Ohlmer:I agree with the proposal by Justine.

Paul McGrady:I'm having trouble following Christopher's comments.

Alexander.berlin backup:Paul: He says: SCRAP IT!

Justine Chew:While I don't necessarily agree with the first sentence in Proposal 32 I note that it is a proposal, so I am more concerned with the second sentence because it is not correct.

Katrin Ohlmer:+1 Justine, and also does not properly reflect our debate.

Robin Gross:I think what is meant is that no geo right can be used to stop its delegation

Greg Shatan:This is still just a reflection of what some believe.

Christopher Wilkinson:If the rest of the world has to rely on objections, then obviously the principlal grounds for objection would be geographic.

Greg Shatan:Robin, yes.

Greg Shatan:I apologize that I have to step off in about 8 minutes.

Christopher Wilkinson:Well, Robin, it IS the geographic right that would be the basis for an objection.

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):HAvE to leave now. thanks all.

Alexander.berlin backup:Robin: Could you explain yourselves in a way that is more understandable? Do you suggest to scrap the 1st amendment from the constitution?

Greg Shatan:There could be others.

Dev Anand Teelucksingh:As Justine points out, there could be string confusion objection against the applied term

Greg Shatan:We have reached the "die in a ditch" phase of our work.

Alexander.berlin backup:Greg: HAHAHAHA

Paul McGrady:@Steve - congrats on the new garage door!

Robin Gross:Alex, I'm advocating for a gtld policy for geo-rights that includes balance with free expression.

Greg Shatan:Correct — kiss it goodbye, footnotes and all...

Alexander.berlin backup:Robin: I hear you. "Free expression" for WHOM? Capitalist, commercial entertainment industry entities over city constituent comununities (.chicago)?

Justine Chew:JUst remove the duplicate "suspend" please

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Thx Steve

Greg Shatan:The true test of freedom of expression is when it applies to those with whom you disagree.

Robin Gross:Indeed.

Greg Shatan:Or as was better said "I disagree with what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it."

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Ok on my end

Justine Chew:.sorry, I meant to say remove the FIRST instance of "suspend"

Martin Sutton (BRG):not from me - thx everyone

Greg Shatan:Thank you all — very fruitful.

Alexander.berlin backup:THANKS TO THE LEADERSHIP!

Martin Sutton (BRG):Well done Olga

Alberto Soto:Thanks , bye!!

Katrin Ohlmer:@Steve: I would like to propose to keep the comment period open for more than 4 weeks due to the holiday time!

Alexander.berlin backup:And thanks Olga: WELL DONE!

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Katrin, we are talking about 40 days +

Katrin Ohlmer:Thanks, Annebeth! Sounds good!

Greg Shatan:Must go, bye and thanks, especially since many of these edits were mine...

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Bye, Greg

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Thx Greg for engagement!

Javier Rúa Jovet (ALAC Co-Lead):Thanks Olga, All, Lets Publish!

Paul McGrady:Thanks Olga!

David McAuley:tnhanks all

Olga Cavalli - GAC Co-lead:Thanks all for your attention and participation!!!

Katrin Ohlmer:Thanks!

Martin Sutton (BRG):Thank you all

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Thanks!