

Attendance – 16 Members

Alan Greenberg (ALAC)
Alexander.berlin
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair)
Chris Casavale - IPC
David McAuley
Greg Shatan
Hadia Elminiawi
John Rodriguez
Justine Chew
Marita Moll
Martin Sutton (WT5 Co-Lead)
Paul McGrady
Sophie Hey
Stefan Filipovic
Susan Payne
Yrjö Länsipuro

Audio Only:

Vernatius Ezeama
Bram Fudzulani
Harold Arcos

Apologies:

Annebeth Lange
Kavouss Arasteh
Jaap Akkerhuis
Alberto Soto
Jim Prendergast
Juan Manual Rojas

Staff:

Emily Barabas
Julie Hedlund
Steve Chan
Andrea Glandon

AC Chat:

Andrea Glandon:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP Work Track 5 Call held on Wednesday, 14 November 2018 at 14:00 UTC.

Andrea Glandon:Wiki Agenda Page: <https://community.icann.org/x/yxm8BQ>

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):OK now in the AC as well as phone bridge

David McAuley:surprisingly small attendance so far - maybe due to IGF?

Paul McGrady:Andrea, having trouble dialing in calling in can you have them call me at 312 882 5020? thx

Andrea Glandon:@Paul, yes we will dial out now.

Yrjö Länsipuro:Yes, many are here in Paris...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):and Yrjö thank you for making time to join our call

David McAuley:doc on screen has 94 pages but doc just receive in email has 97 - assume it is better to watch screen

Susan Payne:I also think we should keep this so that people comment on it

Andrea Glandon>Welcome to the audio bridge, Paul!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):No down side to leaving it in to draw comments

Emily Barabas:Note that the substantive comments received on list have been incorporated into the pros and cons

Alan Greenberg:Isn't the correct term "delegated" and not "registered"?

Alan Greenberg::-)

Emily Barabas::)

Alan Greenberg:And "available for application"

Emily Barabas:thanks alan, will adjust

Susan Payne:apologies, I had to step away. which bullet - the GAC permission one?

Julie Hedlund:@Susan: Yes, that is the item we are on, on page 42.

David McAuley:That'smy understanding Susan

Susan Payne:thx

Susan Payne:It seems like no-one thinks the proposal floated was that the GAC as a whole should be giving approval?

Greg Shatan:Do we have an accurate source for this input?

Marita Moll:There appears to be so much confusion around this. I think we should let it go.

Greg Shatan:Maybe it came from a bot.

Greg Shatan:Agree that we should let it go.

Justine Chew:Agreed. Remove it please.

John Rodriguez:No support for retaining.

Marita Moll:A good change

David McAuley:agree - good change on bright line

Greg Shatan:I will keep in mind that idioms do not travel well....

Greg Shatan:With apologies, I have a firm meeting now. If I can come back or follow along, I will.

Yrjö Länsipuro:Why should objections be ruled out on this very issue? If I remember, they were a basic feature of the AGB

Alexander.berlin:OK

David McAuley:Good point Martin - thanks Emily and staff for lots of work on this draft

David McAuley:and staying up with comments

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):If we are expecting more substantive comments that may lead to changes, then perhaps covering off some of those you have already is a good plan from a time management point of view

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair)::-) you could humMartin

Alexander.berlin:My suggestion as "drawback text" for the "bright line":Some WT5 members argued to keep the amount of "protected" geo-names as small as possible. These WT members suggested that instead potential violations should be subject to "curative rights" - and that is "objections" in the application phase! So denying objections would have to be offset with expanding the list of protected domains; for example by eliminating the "non-geo use provision".

Alexander.berlin:"protected domains" should be "protected strings"

Justine Chew:I will go one step further and suggest, "Some believe that the right to object is a fundamental right that should be neither abrogated nor limited, especially in relation to any contemplated changes to policy touching on the availability or protection of geo-names strings."

Emily Barabas:One Work Track member raised the following points about exceptionally reserved codes:• They are not officially reserved code points, although data about these codes is available at the ISO's Online Browsing Platform, and the definition of "exceptional reservations" is included in the current standard, (ISO 3166-1:2013(E/F)) Section 7.5, Reservation of Code Elements.• The list may be out of date.• Not all exceptionally reserved codes have a short and long form name associated with them.• Some exceptionally reserved codes do not refer to a country or territory (for example "UN" for United Nations).• Some places may have more than one code associated with their name, for example Tristan da Cunha has both an assigned 2-letter code (SH) and an exceptionally reserved code (TA).

Emily Barabas:This is the text that has been added to page 52 of the deliberations section based on Jaap's feedback

Justine Chew:I am happy to defer to Jaap's feedback on the text for PR#6

Alexander.berlin:Agree with Alan!

Emily Barabas:old hand

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):I would thin that the UN would want UN and not one language's version of their name!

Emily Barabas:Sure, we can do that. Thanks martin

David McAuley:does that mean that Q e8 remains as deleted and that is that, jyst wondering what is shrort description of what we just agreed

Susan Payne:I'm not going to go to the wire on this but I think if we create a policy that blocks the use of this term "united nations" even though it isn't a country name then we also create something that is difficult to unpick in future should the UN want that term. There have been problems in the first round at the second level on this topic (happy to go into detail should anyone care). I don't see the harm in at least giving scope to request release in future for a name which isn't actually a country or territory name

Marita Moll:I don't really agree with Greg's prev. comment. It doesn't seem right to change this at the moment

Emily Barabas:Hi Marita, which comment are your referring to?

David McAuley:is it as to Rec #3?

David McAuley:ah, so that is as to Rec #2

Alexander.berlin:Agree with Marita!

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):Them make it "as applicable". Some or all allows the applicant to decide whether to include.

Emily Barabas:Thanks Alan. Good suggestion. Any objections to Alan's suggestion?

John Rodriguez:Question: I understand we aren't conducting "consensus calls" yet for purposes of this intial draft report. If so, are we getting ahead of ourselves by using language such as "The Work Track recommends..." . Just curious and thanks for any clarification.

Marita Moll:Good suggestion by Alan

John Rodriguez:Thanks for the clarification.

Justine Chew:+1 Alan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):Whenratified it seems an important update to me

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):when ratified

Emily Barabas:Essentially circulate Greg's suggestion in an email?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):Yes it is an update to current terms of use

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):providing it is ratified

David McAuley:This is not a complaint, just a request – in future calls please clearly note in emails which draft will be the one shown on screen – so those of us who prefer to pull it up on our own screen can be in sync. Thank you

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):nuance

Marita Moll:This is fundamental change in terminology that needs to be discussed on the list

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):that was not to your commen @David

David McAuley:Thanks @CLO ;-0

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):I support your request

Emily Barabas:Sorry, David. We will make sure to do this in the future.

Emily Barabas:There were a few comments missing in the version circulated before the call that needed to be added. We will recirculate after the call.

David McAuley:Thanks Emily

David McAuley:no audio now?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):no sound for me

Emily Barabas:I lost audio as well

David McAuley:yikes

Julie Hedlund:It was dropped and is reconnected.

Andrea Glandon:One moment, it appears the AC line disconnected

Andrea Glandon:looks like it is back now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):back

David McAuley:back now

Emily Barabas:I can hear you, Martin

John Rodriguez:I would support adding that question. Seems to pinpoint the issue.

Justine Chew:I have to drop off now. Thanks, Martin.

Alexander.berlin:Thanks to the WT leadership. Tough job.....

Yrjö Länsipuro:Also leaving. Bye bye!

David McAuley:+1 @Alexander

John Rodriguez:Thanks to our co-leads and staff!

Emily Barabas:Apologies, Alan. We will make sure this happens in the future.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP C-Chair):LOTS covered good work people you are progressing well :-
) THANK you... and special thanks to Martin for running solo in todays call... our staff as usual are essential and fantastic... Bye for now

David McAuley:Thanks Martin, Emily and all