NomCom2 Implementation Planning Team - Presentation to CSG

Tom Barrett, IPT Co-Chair
Cheryl Langdon-Orr, IPT Co-Chair

Multistakeholder Strategy and Strategic Initiatives

23 October 2018 @ 10:30AM CEST, UTC+2
The work of the implementation planning team is to assess the feasibility of implementing the recommendations made by the independent examiner in its final report, and to submit its assessment – the Feasibility Assessment and Initial Implementation Plan (FAIIP) document – to the Organizational Effectiveness Committee (OEC) of the ICANN Board.
NomCom2 Implementation Planning Team (IPT) Remit - Detail

- Completion of “Feasibility Assessment and Initial Implementation Plan” (FAIIP) for submission to ICANN Board’s OEC*
  - Assessing the feasibility of implementing the independent examiner (IE)’s 27 recommendations published in NomCom2 organizational review final report
  - Providing consensus-based comments / additions to recommendations where appropriate
  - Submitting its completed FAIIP to the ICANN Board OEC

*Following the IPT’s delivery of its completed FAIIP to the OEC, it is the ICANN Board that determines, via a resolution, whether the IE’s final report recommendations will be accepted for implementation. Materials submitted for consideration are: IPT FAIIP, IE final report, staff report of public comments. See MSSI Organizational Reviews Handbook for more details: https://go.icann.org/2pX9ks5
NomCom2 IPT Scorecard - Progress to Date

NomCom Review Implementation Planning Team
Progress Report as of: 11-Oct-2018

Overview:
The NomCom Review Implementation Planning Team (IPT) is responsible for assessing the feasibility of the Independent examiner's recommendations, and for developing an initial implementation plan.

In all, twenty-seven (27) recommendations were made by the independent examiner in its final report. It was agreed by the NomCom Review IPT that all recommendations made in the report be included for implementation consideration.

Section I: Participation
| IPT members: 14 |
| Voluntary participation rate: 49% |
| Plenary meeting time (hrs): 90.75 |

Section II: IPT Progress (total) 75% Towards full consensus on 27 recommendations

Section III: IPT Progress (breakdown by category)

NomCom Skills & Training 15% of total effort
- 1. Formalize a job description for NomCom members that emphasizes diversity and independence, and provide that description to SIDs/GACs.
- 2. Implement a formalized training to further NomCom members' understanding of the roles and responsibilities of Board directors and the practices of high-performing boards at other nonprofit organizations.
- 3. Implement and formalized training for NomCom leadership to further their understanding of their roles, authority, and responsibilities, and confirm or appoint the next Chair earlier in the cycle.
- 4. Formalize training for NomCom members in the candidate evaluation process.

Recruitment of NomCom Nominees 18.5% of total effort
- 5. A professional recruiting consultant should continue to be involved in the role of identifying potential Board candidates. The role of the recruiting consultant should be clarified and published.
- 6. Publish a candidate communication schedule and costing a communication process with candidates.
- 10. ICANN staff and the recruiting consultant, along with NomCom members, should leverage the detailed job description and desired competencies and experience to develop a marketing plan to better target prospective candidates.
- 12. The NomCom should publish a preliminary screening of all Board candidates and provide blinded assessments to the NomCom to assist the NomCom with reducing the pool of candidates to the deep-dive shortlist.
- 18. The NomCom should use a standardized tool to evaluate and prioritize candidates, based on desired competences and experience as determined annually. This tool will not replace qualitative assessments of candidates.

Evaluation 18.5% of total effort
- 6. A professional evaluation consultant should continue to be involved in the evaluation process for Board candidates. The role of the evaluation consultant should be clarified and published.
- 13. Publish a "Process Diagram" and costly key elements of the NomCom process. Each year, the NomCom should be required to highlight and explain processes changes to the ICANN community in an open session.
- 18. Publish a candidate communication schedule and costing a communication process with candidates.
- 21. The NomCom should use a standardized tool to evaluate and prioritize candidates, based on desired competences and experience as determined annually. This tool will not replace qualitative assessments of candidates.

Charter 22% of total effort
- 7. NomCom members, except for leadership positions, should serve two-year terms, and be limited to a maximum of two terms.
- 8. Maintain the current size of NomCom.
- 9. All NomCom members should be fully participating and voting members, except for NomCom leadership.
- 10. Representation on the NomCom should be re-balanced immediately and then be reviewed every five years.
- 11. The senior staff member supporting NomCom should be accountable to and report to the office of the CEO.

Communications Between NomCom and Community/Board 26% of total effort
- 12. NomCom leadership should have input on the NomCom budget and staffing resources.
- 14. Formalize communication between the NomCom and the Board, SIDs/GACs, and the PTI Board in order to understand needed competencies and experience.
- 15. The NomCom should publish detailed job descriptions for Board, SIDs/GACs, and the PTI Board positions. The job descriptions, in combination with specific needed competencies identified each year by the NomCom, should form the basis for recruiting and evaluation efforts.
- 16. Implement and costly a system for providing feedback to the NomCom regarding the contributions and participation of members up for reappointment by the NomCom.
- 24. An empowered body of current and former NomCom members should be formed to ensure greater continuity across NomComs, and in particular to suggest and assist in implementing changes to NomCom processes.
- 25. Inform assessments of the NomCom by evaluating the performance of the Board.
- 27. Provide clarity on desire for independent directors and designate three specific seats for "Independent Directors."
NomCom2 Next Steps

- IPT reaches consensus on responses to independent examiner’s 27 recommendations in final report
- IPT submits completed FAIIP to OEC (late 2018 / early 2019 timeframe)
- OEC reviews submissions for consideration
  - FAIIP from IPT
  - Final report from independent examiner
  - Staff report of public comments
- OEC makes recommendation to ICANN Board about next steps, including approval of IE final report, NomCom IPT FAIIP.
- ICANN Board passes resolution
- Subject to Board resolution, NomCom review implementation team is formed; begins drafting detailed implementation plan and starts implementation.

See MSSI Organizational Reviews Handbook for implementation timeline details: https://go.icann.org/2pX9ks5
Thank you!

Questions?

Tom Barrett, NomCom2 Review IPT Co-Chair
Cheryl Langdon-Orr, NomCom2 Review IPT Co-Chair
ANNEX

The following slides provide additional information about the NomCom2 Review Implementation Planning Team and its work.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brenden Kuerbis</td>
<td>GNSO/NCUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Tonkin</td>
<td>GNSO/RrSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Langdon-Orr (co-chair)</td>
<td>ALAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Nevett</td>
<td>GNSO/RySG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Cohen</td>
<td>GNSO/IPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Stubbs</td>
<td>GNSO/RySG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leah Symekher</td>
<td>ALAC/NARALO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manmeet Singh</td>
<td>GNSO/BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Seiden</td>
<td>SSAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadira Al Araj</td>
<td>ALAC/APRALO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satish Babu</td>
<td>APRALO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Barrett (co-chair)</td>
<td>GNSO/RrSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanda Scartezini</td>
<td>ALAC/LACRALO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yrjö Länsipuro</td>
<td>ALAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many IPT members have also served as members of the NomCom.
NomCom – Current (Oct 2018) Membership and Representation

1. Address Supporting Organization (ASO) Member
2. Country Code Names Supporting Organization (ccNSO) Member
3. Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO) Members
   - Registries Stakeholder Group (1)
   - Registrars Stakeholder Group (1)
   - Business Users Constituency (Small) (1)
   - Business Users Constituency (Large) (1)
   - Non-Commercial Users Constituency (1)
   - Internet Service Providers Constituency (1)
   - Intellectual Property Constituency (1)
4. Internet Architecture Board (IAB) Member for Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
5. At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) Members
   - Africa Region (1)
   - Asia Pacific Region (1)
   - Europe Region (1)
   - Latin America and the Caribbean Region (1)
   - North America Region (1)
6. Associate Chair
   - Selected by the NomCom Chair
7. Chair Elect
   - Selected by the ICANN Board
8. Chair
   - Selected by the ICANN Board
9. Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC) Member
10. Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC) Member
11. Government Advisory Committee (GAC) Member

Read more about the Nominating Committee at:
http://go.icann.org/nomcom

Learn more about the ICANN Bylaw concerning the Nominating Committee at:
http://go.icann.org/nomcombylaw
NomCom2 Review
Independent Examiner’s Final Report

- Presented 19 findings and 27 recommendations
- Recommendations focused on:
  - increasing transparency, accountability
  - consistency of processes
  - continuity across NomComs; introduction of strategic outlook
  - maximizing efficiency, maximizing resources
  - improvements to NomCom performance, communications, results
  - representativeness of the NomCom

Link to independent examiner’s final report: https://community.icann.org/x/jS_8B
Roles & Responsibilities Post Submission of Final Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Planning Team</th>
<th>Implementation Team*</th>
<th>OEC &amp; ICANN Board</th>
<th>ICANN org</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Establish RWP’s level of agreement with Final Report (FR)</td>
<td>• Draft detailed implementation plan, based on direction provided by ICANN Board</td>
<td>• OEC receives FR and FA</td>
<td>• Provide template for Feasibility Assessment and Initial Implementation Plan (FA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assess feasibility of recommendations</td>
<td>• Conduct implementation of recommendations that require community action</td>
<td>• IE and RWP present FR and FA to OEC</td>
<td>• Support work of RWP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide proposed alternatives if there is a disagreement with the feasibility of the IE’s recommendations</td>
<td>• Support / monitor implementation of recommendations that require ICANN organization action</td>
<td>OEC assesses FR, FA, all other relevant documentation, makes recommendation to Board on next steps</td>
<td>• Facilitate IE and RWP presentations to OEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide detailed rationale for each rejected assessment or recommendations Based on above work, compile Feasibility Assessment and Initial Implementation Plan (FA)* – ICANN org provides template</td>
<td>• Provide OEC with semi-annual updates</td>
<td>Board accepts FR* and FA, takes decision on next steps</td>
<td>• Support work of implementation team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Present FA to OEC</td>
<td>• Provide OEC with final implementation report once efforts are completed</td>
<td>OEC oversees implementation, receives semi-annual updates and final implementation report</td>
<td>• Implement recommendations that require ICANN org action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*contains provisional budget implication, anticipated resources, and timeline.</td>
<td></td>
<td>*resets the five-year clock until next review</td>
<td>• Support drafting of semi-annual implementation reports and final implementation report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*formed after Board action

See the MSSI Organizational Reviews Handbook for more details: https://go.icann.org/2pX9ks5
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: There is a lack of communication between the NomCom and Board/SO/ACs regarding the desired skills and competencies of potential candidates. In addition, the Board and SO/ACs sometimes struggle to reach consensus on what they need and do not have an effective way to communicate to the NomCom if current appointees should be re-appointed.

RECOMMENDATION #16: “Implement and codify a system for providing feedback to the NomCom regarding the contributions and participation of members up for reappointment by the NomCom.”

DISCUSSION CONTENT: feedback structure, reliability, sources; privacy concerns; potential mechanisms for feedback
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: The NomCom does not always evaluate candidates in a consistent manner.

RECOMMENDATION #20: “The evaluation consultant should undertake a preliminary screen of all Board candidates and provide blinded assessments to the NomCom to assist the NomCom with reducing the pool of candidates to the deep-dive shortlist.”

DISCUSSION CONTENT: consultant remit – limitations, annual adjustments; context of consultant assessments in NomCom selection processes; NomCom oversight across years
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: The NomCom does not always evaluate candidates in a consistent manner.

RECOMMENDATION #21: “The NomCom should use a standardized tool to evaluate and prioritize candidates, based on desired competencies and experience as determined annually. This tool will not replace qualitative assessments of candidates.”

DISCUSSION CONTENT: ways to optimize implementation, ensure balance of structure and flexibility; consultation for NomCom evaluation skills improvement
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: The NomCom has made significant progress in becoming more transparent, but transparency of its processes is still a concern within parts of the ICANN community.

RECOMMENDATION #23: “The NomCom should publish additional data on the candidate pool and the recruiting source of candidates.”

DISCUSSION CONTENT: balance transparency and confidentiality; examples of acceptable data for publication; potential for reference to ATRT2; need for community and Board input; benefits of implementation
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: The extent to which NomCom appointees are independent and prioritize the interests of the global Internet community in their decision-making is an area of concern within ICANN.

RECOMMENDATION #27: “Provide clarity on desire for independent directors and designate three specific seats for ‘Independent Directors.’”

DISCUSSION CONTENT: Bylaws changes; consultation and research needed; definition of “independent”; evaluate number of seats; benefit of independent directors
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: There is a lack of understanding around the role of, and consensus regarding, the effectiveness of the professional recruitment firm OB Brussels.

RECOMMENDATION #5: “A professional recruiting consultant should continue to be involved in the role of identifying potential Board candidates. The role of the recruiting consultant should be clarified and published.”

IMPLEMENTATION NOTES – HIGHLIGHTS: measure consultant cost/benefit; consider year-round recruiting; evaluate consultant performance against defined role; consider contracting more than one consultant; post-implementation NomCom oversight
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: There is a lack of communication between the NomCom and Board/SO/ACs regarding the desired skills and competencies of potential candidates. In addition, the Board and SO/ACs sometimes struggle to reach consensus on what they need and do not have an effective way to communicate to the NomCom if current appointees should be re-appointed.

RECOMMENDATION #14: “Formalize communication between the NomCom and the Board, SOs/ACs, and the PTI Board in order to understand needed competencies and experience.”

IMPLEMENTATION NOTES – HIGHLIGHTS: guidelines, methodology, elements, process, timing, NomCom accountability; designation of NomCom party to implement, maintain
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: There is a lack of communication between the NomCom and Board/SO/ACs regarding the desired skills and competencies of potential candidates. In addition, the Board and SO/ACs sometimes struggle to reach consensus on what they need and do not have an effective way to communicate to the NomCom if current appointees should be re-appointed.

RECOMMENDATION #16: “Implement and codify a system for providing feedback to the NomCom regarding the contributions and participation of members up for reappointment by the NomCom.”

IMPLEMENTATION NOTES – HIGHLIGHTS: guidelines, structure, reliability, context, weighting of feedback; best practices, consultation with receiving bodies
INDEPENDENT EXAMINER FINDING: The NomCom does not always evaluate candidates in a consistent manner.

RECOMMENDATION #21: “The NomCom should use a standardized tool to evaluate and prioritize candidates, based on desired competencies and experience as determined annually. This tool will not replace qualitative assessments of candidates.”

IMPLEMENTATION NOTES – HIGHLIGHTS: balance of structure and flexibility; best practices consultation for implementation; NomCom party ownership for tool implementation, reviews, improvements
RECOMMENDATION #24: “An empowered body of current and former NomCom members should be formed to ensure greater continuity across NomComs, and in particular, to suggest and assist in implementing changes to NomCom processes.”

IMPLEMENTATION NOTES – HIGHLIGHTS: scope of responsibilities, authority, limitations, guidelines for operating; size and composition; community consultation for implementation; benefit
RECOMMENDATION #15: “The NomCom should publish detailed job descriptions for Board, SO/AC, and PTI Board positions. The job descriptions, in combination with specific needed competencies identified each year by the NomCom, should form the basis for recruiting and evaluation efforts.”

RECOMMENDATION #24: “An empowered body of current and former NomCom members should be formed to ensure greater continuity across NomComs, and in particular, to suggest recommend and assist in implementing changes improvements to NomCom processes.”

RECOMMENDATION #25: “Inform assessments of the Improve NomCom selection decisions by assessing the performance and needs of the Board all bodies receiving NomCom appointees.”

RECOMMENDATION #27: Provide clarity on desire for and definition of “independent directors.” and designate three specific seats for “Independent Directors.” Upon clarification of desire and definition, determine the number of specific seats for “independent directors.”
NomCom2 Review – Implementation Planning
Remaining Recommendations

**RECOMMENDATION #1:** Formalize a job description for NomCom members that emphasizes diversity and independence, and provide that description to the SOs/ACs.

**RECOMMENDATION #2:** Implement and formalize training to further NomCom members’ understanding of the roles and responsibilities of Board directors and the practices of high-performing Boards at other nonprofit organizations.

**RECOMMENDATION #3:** Implement and formalize training for NomCom leadership to further their understanding of their roles, authority, and responsibilities, and confirm or appoint the next Chair earlier in the cycle.

**RECOMMENDATION #4:** Formalize training for NomCom members in the candidate evaluation process.
RECOMMENDATION #6: A professional evaluation consultant should continue to be involved in the evaluation process for Board candidates. The role of the evaluation consultant should be clarified and published.

RECOMMENDATION #7: NomCom members, except for leadership positions, should serve two-year terms, and be limited to a maximum of two terms.

RECOMMENDATION #8: Maintain the current size of NomCom.

RECOMMENDATION #9: All NomCom members should be fully participating and voting members, except for NomCom leadership.

RECOMMENDATION #10: Representation on the NomCom should be re-balanced immediately and then be reviewed every five years.
NomCom2 Review – Implementation Planning

Remaining Recommendations

**RECOMMENDATION #11:** The senior staff member supporting NomCom should be accountable to and report to the office of the CEO.

**RECOMMENDATION #12:** NomCom leadership should have input on the NomCom budget and staffing resources.

**RECOMMENDATION #13:** Publish a “Process Diagram” and codify key elements of the NomCom process. Each year, the NomCom should be required to highlight and explain process changes to the ICANN community in an open session.

**RECOMMENDATION #17:** Maintain current diversity requirements for NomCom appointees.
NomCom2 Review – Implementation Planning

*Remaining Recommendations*

**RECOMMENDATION #18:** Publish a candidate communication schedule and codify a communication process with candidates.

**RECOMMENDATION #19:** ICANN staff and the recruiting consultant, along with NomCom members, should leverage the detailed job description and desired competencies and experience to develop a marketing plan to better target prospective candidates.

**RECOMMENDATION #22:** The NomCom should provide consistent interview questions and an interviewer evaluation form for the candidates interviewed during the deep-dive phase and the final face-to-face interviews.

**RECOMMENDATION #26:** ICANN should investigate advancing its nominations process into a Leadership Development function.
NomCom2 Review

Organizational Reviews – Process Overview

ㅇ Seven phases:
  ○ Pre-Planning
  ○ Planning
  ○ Selection of the Independent Examiner
  ○ Conducting the Review
  ○ Feasibility Assessment
  ○ Board Consideration
  ○ Implementation

See the MSSI Organizational Reviews Handbook for process details:
https://go.icann.org/2pX9ks5
NomCom2 Review

Reference Links

- **NomCom2 Review**: https://community.icann.org/x/2wBpBQ
  https://www.icann.org/resources/reviews/org/nomcom

- **NomCom2 Review Implementation Planning Phase**: https://community.icann.org/x/3wBpBQ

- **Independent examiner’s final report**: https://community.icann.org/x/jS_8B

- **List of IE final report recommendations**: https://community.icann.org/x/GgFdBQ

- **Public comments to draft final report**: https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/comments-nomcom2-review-27mar18/2018q2/thread.html


- **NomCom2 IPT Work Progress and Scorecard**: https://community.icann.org/x/jgJpBQ

- **NomCom2 Implementation - Announcements & Blogs**: https://community.icann.org/x/OAerBQ

- **MSSI Organizational Reviews Handbook**: https://go.icann.org/2pX9ks5
NomCom2 Review – Implementation Planning
Summary list of recommendations discussed in detail by the IPT

- **RECOMMENDATION #16:** “Implement and codify a system for providing feedback to the NomCom regarding the contributions and participation of members up for reappointment by the NomCom.”

- **RECOMMENDATION #21:** “The NomCom should use a standardized tool to evaluate and prioritize candidates, based on desired competencies and experience as determined annually. This tool will not replace qualitative assessments of candidates.”

- **RECOMMENDATION #20:** “The evaluation consultant should undertake a preliminary screen of all Board candidates and provide blinded assessments to the NomCom to assist the NomCom with reducing the pool of candidates to the deep-dive shortlist.”

- **RECOMMENDATION #23:** “The NomCom should publish additional data on the candidate pool and the recruiting source of candidates.”

- **RECOMMENDATION #27:** “Provide clarity on desire for independent directors and designate three specific seats for ‘Independent Directors.’”
NomCom2 Review – Implementation Planning
Summary list of recommendations with lengthy IPT implementation notes

- **Recommendation #5:** “A professional recruiting consultant should continue to be involved in the role of identifying potential Board candidates. The role of the recruiting consultant should be clarified and published.”

- **Recommendation #14:** “Formalize communication between the NomCom and the Board, SOs/ACs, and the PTI Board in order to understand needed competencies and experience.”

- **Recommendation #16:** “Implement and codify a system for providing feedback to the NomCom regarding the contributions and participation of members up for reappointment by the NomCom.”

- **Recommendation #21:** “The NomCom should use a standardized tool to evaluate and prioritize candidates, based on desired competencies and experience as determined annually. This tool will not replace qualitative assessments of candidates.”

- **Recommendation #24:** “An empowered body of current and former NomCom members should be formed to ensure greater continuity across NomComs, and in particular, to suggest and assist in implementing changes to NomCom processes.”