

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Okay, good morning, good afternoon, and good evening everyone. Welcome to the EURALO monthly teleconference call on Tuesday, the 9th of October, 2018 at 18:00 UTC. On the call today we have Olivier Crepin-Leblond, Maureen Hilyard, Wale Bakare, Oksana Prykhodko, Sebastien Bachollet, Anne Marie Joly, Yrjo Lansipuro, and Sandra Hoferichter.

We have received apologies from Bastiaan Goslings and Heidi Ullrich. And a tentative apology from Jean-Jacques Subrenat. On staff, we have Silvia Vivanco and myself, Claudia Ruiz, on call management. Before we begin I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription purposes. Thank you and I will turn it over to you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Claudia. Welcome everyone to our monthly call for the month of October. Just a week away from the ICANN meeting in Barcelona. Well, just a little more than a week away from the ICANN meeting in Barcelona happening in our region. Lots of things in perspective including a General Assembly that will come up soon. We, today, have -- for some reason there's no adoption of the agenda in this agenda again, but I'd like to adopt it before we proceed forward.

We've got our usual policy work, then a short discussion on the EURALO Bylaws Task Force, the follow-up to the -- well I guess it's an announcement for the CROP Travel for Fiscal Year '19, preparation of ICANN 63 Barcelona meeting, and finally, a little update about the At-

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Large Summit, ATLAS III. That's what we have today. Are there any amendments or additions to the agenda?

I'm not seeing anybody put their hand up, so the agenda is adopted as it currently is on your screen. And we can certainly move on to Agenda Item 2, the review of the Actions Items from our last conference call with all the Action Items that are completed. The three that I carried over from our previous call are based around the -- well, the first two are based around the setting up of a webinar on the topic of Framework of Interpretation to Develop a Checklist for Human Rights Impact Assessment. The working group in ICANN that is working on this has not made any progress so so far there isn't any Human Rights Impact Assessment process that we even have to discuss so far. So we'll wait until that comes up.

The one on follow up with EURALO members to organize a panel or talk to participate in Republica in 2019 and add this event to the EURALO strategic plan, I think that we can actually mark this one as complete. The discussion -- and we'll discuss this quickly in CROP, but the discussion basically put Republica aside as being not something that we might be able to support this year due to the new guidelines for the Community Regional Outreach Program. So we might have to shelve this idea for the time being.

And the carryover from the July call, Oliver and Wale are to update the EURALO events calendar with the 2018 events and soon, I guess, the 2019 events. Wale and I have had a call where we've reviewed the different ways on how to fill the events calendar. I know that Wale has been very busy putting together the annual report so I'm not sure,

Wale, have you had a chance to start filling the calendar with some events?

WALE BAKARE:

I was able to go through the event calendar so hopefully this week I'll finish up and fill in the calendar. By the weekend, I should have an update on that. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

That's great. Thanks very much, Wale. So that's it for our Action Items. Let's then -- not seeing any hands up. No comments from anyone on the call? We can then move swiftly to the next part of the call which is the ALAC Public consultations. There are a number of them. And I'm surprised there are not many more because before an ICANN meeting we usually are assailed with a tidal wave of I don't know how many different public consults that suddenly get launched. Perhaps because the ones that we have been working on are actually rather large.

So the first one was the Initial Report on the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process with the Overarching Issues and Work Tracks 1, 2, 3, and 4. And the ALAC has come up with a rather large statement for this, possibly the largest so far because the Consolidated Policy Working Group has worked on this. A lot of people have contributed to the statement. And I'm not going to read the summary of what was said, but there's a lot of things in there that go from whether the program should actually launch as soon as possible. So another round as soon as possible. And how the rounds -- what kind

of concept of rounds there should be. Any metrics, any public interest commitments, lots of different things that are being discussed in this.

So if you are interested in the new gTLD program, please have a look at that. It's too late to comment now, but the statement was approved by the ALAC. And I must say, it's quite a great piece of work. Thanks to Jonathan Zuck. Thanks to Justine Chew. Thanks to a number of people that have helped with putting this thing together.

Next is the Recommendations for Managing IDN Variant Top-Level Domains. And that's the Internationalized Domain Name variants. A number of recommendations have been sent. And so the ALAC has written also a statement, much smaller, of course, but provided basically advice on the four questions that were posed by ICANN regarding the issue. If you're interested in Internationalized Domain Names, there's a link in the agenda to go and read that statement.

Now, the next one is the IPC/BC Accreditation And Access Model for Non-Public Data. This is not a formal ICANN public comment, but it's which the ALAC has decided to respond to. The Accreditation And Access Model is all part of the General Data Protection Regulation, GDPR, related topics. Because once GDPR has come into effect, ICANN has effectively suspended the current WHOIS system and replaced it with a temporary specification for data records. The important part for the intellectual property and the business constituency in the Generic Names Supporting Organization was who has access to what? And so they came up with a proposal, in fact, they came up with several proposals. This one is Version 1.7. It's likely to continue to evolve and so the ALAC has commented on it.

Then the Draft ICANN Africa Strategy Plan 2016-2020. Again, here the AFRALO has spent quite some time working on this and looked at the proposal and made a number of recommendations with regards to having more African participation, having an increased number of African staff working, and an increased representation of African stakeholders and supportive organizations in advisory committees. So a good feedback on this.

We now have a number of statements in progress and you are very welcome, in fact, you are encouraged to help with. The first one is the Comments on ICANN Seeking Community Feedback on Proposed Unified Access Model. Now, this is an interesting one. Of course, this is the starting point of the discussion in the Expedited PDP, Expedited Policy Development Process. ICANN is taking feedback on this and Greg Shatan has drafted quite a comprehensive piece of text which I invite you to have a look at and to comment on as soon as possible. I think we're really at sort of end of the comment period for that and this statement is likely to be renewed or voted on later this week.

The next one is Next Steps on Reviews. There was some discussion about so many different reviews taking place in ICANN. ICANN was suffering from reviewitis, if that exists by any way. The At-Large Review, as you know, was particularly painful. There's also the ccNSO Review and there was reviews of all the different component parts. And sometimes the reviews took so much time that the moment you end the full cycle of review in your constituency and you implement it, you're ready for another review again. So the Next Steps on Review has been proposed and the ALAC has proposed some views on this. Alan Greenberg was the penholder and this is in vote at the moment.

Proposed gTLD-Registration Data Access Protocol. Now, this actually is again something that is related somehow to WHOIS and it works totally in parallel with everything that's going on in the Expedited PDP. This is much more down to earth and it's about the actual technical protocol to be able to replace the WHOIS system with something that would provide various levels of data access and various levels of databases so as to be able to share that information across the internet with all kinds of authorization levels, etcetera. Quite technical. Important that we get involved with this right now. We have two penholders for this and I invite you -- they are still drafting this. If you are technically inclined, then please have a look at that. It's quite important. The original protocols were all based on the Internet Engineering Task Force Protocols, but a few amendments have been made so as to be able to cater for the needs of ICANN and the of the domain name community.

Next is the Registration Directory Services WHOIS Review Team Draft Report of Recommendations. And it might sound to you as though this is just groundhog day, isn't it? We're repeating the same thing again, and again, and again. Yes, again it's about WHOIS. No, it's not related to the Expedited PDP because that's a separate process. It actually predates the Expedited PDP. Yes, it's a separate process to the gTLD-Registration Data Access Protocol because it's not technical. What it basically did was to look at WHOIS and look at any kind of registration directory service and say, right, how is that going to work? Are there any amendments, any changes that we need to make to this so as to make it more usable by end users, usable by the different people that use it and so on?

It was a very long review, the WHOIS Review, and they are coming up with their -- I think that their draft report, so final report. So have a good look at this. We've had some people from At-Large that were involved in this process. The deadline is the 5th of November, so there is still some time and it's a good time now to read through it and perhaps even step forward if you wish to pick up the pen to help with the drafting process on this.

And then the draft PTI and IANA FY20 Operating Plan and Budgets, otherwise translated as Draft -- now it used to be called Post-Transition IANA, and then it became Public Technical Identifiers and IANA is the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority. That's, of course, the organization that provides -- well, that runs the roots, simple as that, and runs it as in operates it. And then, of course, technically it's run by VeriSign. But the IANA is the organization that does all the administrative parts and PTI is this wholly-owned subsidiary now of ICANN, a contraption that has come up from the IANA Stewardship Transition process.

The operating plans and budget have to be done in advance, well in advance of the ICANN Budget. So the way that it is done is they need to submit their budget, these need to be agreed, and then afterward these will then be integrated in the overall ICANN Budget. And so that's just the usual process by saying they prepare the budget and we have to comment on it. Having looked at it, I'm not totally sure that we need to do anything specific on that. If we note anything out of the ordinary, but I think that in general, they're just looking at having very similar budgets year on year.

And then there are a couple of Public Comments to which the ALAC has decided not to submit. Statements. One was the Modification of Domains Protected Marks List Service. That's just to add a few more domains in this list. It's a list, basically, that has all the protected marks which effectively is to do with intellectual property. Sorry, I completely missed out on this one. So I gather this has got to do with -- yeah, the ICANN org and donuts have entered into registry agreements for multiple gTLDs since 2013. And there is a Domain Protected Marks List that is in operation. That, I think, has got a number of trademarks and so on that are listed there. Something that is very technical or technical as in legally-wise and not something that might affect end users directly.

And then there was also a new Public Comment to which the ALAC needs to make a decision and that's the Proposals for Malayalam and Tamil Script Root Zone Label Generation Rules. Unlikely to be something that affects us in Europe. Likely to be something that might affect people in Asia. But I know that some of our colleagues have been involved with those Root Label Generation Rules, just as some of our colleagues were involved with the Label Generation Rules for Cyrillic Script in Europe and a number of others. So no need for this. It's quite standard these days. They've gone through the process a number of times and, in general, the competence of the group making the Root Zone Label Generation Rules is undisputed.

The floor is open for comments and questions and anything else you'd like to discuss regarding policy. Have I put everyone to sleep? Am I off the call? Have I been off the call for the past ten minutes? Sebastien Bachollet.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you. I am not able to reconnect yet. I will try soon. But [inaudible] next public comment on policy that will come up [inaudible].

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks, Sebastien. There are a lot of Public Comments coming up. In October I can see one, two, three, four -- nine of them. Nine or ten of them. I have no idea whether they come early or late.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: I wanted to take the opportunity, I am your voice in this Cross Community Working Group. The auction proceeds stuff is already coming for comments. It's just a question of hours or maybe days, but it's not so much. And it will be an important point to have a look because it's really part of what ALAC needs to look after. And, I guess, the proposal we have, it's an interesting one but it is from a question open like what will be the best framework to distribute the auction proceeds? And there are two plus one proposals and the comments will be a good time to give feedback and to make possibly a choice.

And there are a lot of other -- I will not spend too much time but just to advise you that if you are interested it would be a good time to get involved during this comment period. And, of course, we will be able to have this discussion before we end up with the comment period to what know EURALO and ALAC would like to say on this issue. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Sebastien. A question for you, it's been mentioned upcoming Public Comment requests in October, is this new gTLD Auction Proceeds Cross Community Working Group Initial Report Public Comment likely to happen before the ICANN meeting in Barcelona? Is it likely to open before the ICANN meeting?

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: I guess it is maybe already or it will be in the next few days, yes. But it will be interesting to have it published because I've got the people who will not make it to the specific meeting in Barcelona, will not maybe have the time to read all the recommendations but can read the summary and possibly the time to come to a discussion in Barcelona about this topic. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Sebastien. Anyone else? I'm not seeing any other hands up so I gather you're all okay with policy. Again, if you wish to discuss any of these or indeed are interested in the policy discussions then please join the Consolidated Policy Working Group that will be looking at each of these in further detail. There is a call every Wednesday for us Europeans. I know in other parts of the world it hovers depending on the time of the day.

But there is a call every Wednesday and we are in need of more people from Europe. There are a lot of people from the world, but in Europe it's not that great. So please step forward if you wish to take part in this. You don't need to answer and be on all the calls and so on, but at least follow some of this. And some of the topics are particularly

interesting and certainly very much centered on the topics that we find as being hot topics in Europe.

With no hands up, let's move on. Let's go to Agenda Item #4 and that is the EURALO Bylaws Task Force. Now, in the past few weeks the task force -- in fact, the past few months and should I say past year, the task force has regularly met and has come up with a new version of the EURALO Bylaws. The task force is made up of a small group of people, but we really have to thank one person in particular, Florian Hule, from the University of Vienna who has really worked very hard to draft the bylaws according to the feedback that he was receiving on each one of the calls.

And the bylaws themselves are based on Articles of Association, on a Swiss type of Association because of the simplicity that it has compared to other types of associations. So there's a certain number or structures to the Articles of Association in Switzerland which talks about the founding of the Association, the name, and it actually asks for the Seat of the Association itself, so the address for the Association, the aim and purpose of the Association, the membership, the different component parts of the Association, the assembly in itself, the Board, of course a section about the dissolution of the Association, etcetera.

And so we've ended up with quite an extensive set of bylaws which are now shared. Articles Association of the ICANN European Internet Users Forum. At the moment, the name is ICANN European Internet Users Forum instead of being European At-Large Organization. And there's some discussion as to whether we should be changing our name. That's

something that we can discuss here already, actually, and we'll also be discussing in our face-to-face meeting in Barcelona.

The only few other questions or comments that are in there, and I'm not going to read through them, the whole Association and so on, is the Name and the Seat of the Association. At some point we were thinking, we were under the idea that we didn't really need a Seat as such, but actually, Florian insisted that if you have a Seat it actually makes this even more compliant with the Swiss type of bylaws. So that effectively makes the Association itself more in line and more independent I guess, in some way.

So the idea then was that just like this is being done for EuroDIG and for other such associations, the Seat of the Association would be over at my domicile which currently is in Switzerland. So proposing this address. Apart from this, we could have an alternative address which could be the ICANN address in Geneva, but the advice that we received from legal was well, EURALO is independent. It has an MoU with ICANN, but it is not -- it has got this strange position as in it is part of ICANN's community, but it is not actually part of ICANN Org., ICANN the organization. So, having its address based in the ICAAN offices in Geneva is a possibility, but not that independent of ICANN.

And then finally, one point to notice is the membership where we mentioned that there are natural persons and legal entities are applicable as members. We kept that open. Of course, all of our individual members in EURALO go through the EURALO Individual Association, but we do not know what the At-Large review is going to come up with and whether it will mandate a similar way of getting

individual members involved in each one of the RALOs. Certainly, the request was made for this to happen and we're not quite sure to what extent that will be implemented or not. So we kept this option open in case we one day have to allow for natural persons to become members directly rather than going through the EURALO Individual Association.

And then finally, I think that there was a question here, the responsibility of EURALO Officers to participate in ICANN meetings in person? And this is a question that Roxanna had sent. I kept that question there although I did answer it. The reason is to remind us that these are just the bylaws. The next step is also to create a set of operational rules, rules that will effectively enlighten us a bit more about what the duties are of ALAC Members, the Chair, the EURALO Chair. What are the functions of the EURALO Secretariat? This sort of thing.

So then we have operating rules which can be followed when you have a change in people and new ALAC people come in and older ALAC leave and then there is a replacement of the Chair and so on. There is some continuity, and certainly some documentation as to how things are run. And therefore, the responsibility of EURALO Officers etcetera would be in that additional document, the operational rules or Rules of Operation.

I think that really is the whole thing. There is a little note in 8.2.2 where there was a request from Jean-Jacques Subrenat to clarify the difference between funded and non-funded meetings. Now, 8.2.2 is the Forms of the General Assembly. General Assembly may either take place in form of a funded meeting with in-person attendance of the

members where a number of members exceeding quorum are funded by ICANN to attend. So that is a funded face-to-face meeting. Or a non-funded meeting with in-person attendance of the members where there is no special funding to bring members to the meeting. Which is what is going to happen, actually, the non-funded face-to-face meeting that will happen in Barcelona. And then the third way is to have it through an online forum or conference call, an online meeting.

And the provisions regarding the General Assembly are applicable to all of these meeting forms. I asked Jean-Jacques if this was helpful to explain what the different forms of meeting were and his response was yes. So I gather that this is okay.

And I don't think there's anything else really I need to point you to. You've had a bit of time to read through the bylaws and scope through them. Now let's open the discussion. Now, by the way, the plan forward with this is to keep this open for quite some time. So open for comment bearing in mind that we've already gone through probably every single question, every line in these bylaws has already been debated by the group, EURALO Bylaws Task Force Group.

In some cases, we've reached a very careful consensus, but in some cases, we took quite some time to reach that consensus. And the plan forward, this is open for comments now. It will remain open for comments throughout the Barcelona meeting. We're not going to vote on this in Barcelona because I think we have plenty of other things to discuss as well. But it will be a good time to also circulate this with hopefully a lot more members on the call during the AGM, to circulate this to all of our members.

And then we will follow up after the Barcelona meeting in November and December with the hope that we can reach a consensus by December and therefore then launch the vote at around that time to ratify those bylaws. That's the way forward and now the floor is open for comments, questions, etcetera. Sebastien Bachollet, you have the floor. And you might be muted, Sebastien.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you. I apologize, I didn't really like the version of this. But I wanted to raise a few points in relation with some discussion going on and one specifically about who will be able to apply for the auction proceeds? And there is a discussion if it's an organization member of [inaudible] will not be able to get some funding from that, but it still, from my point of view, open to discussion about the RAOLs, if they can apply for some money and for what purpose if it is not already funded by ICANN.

And the bylaws, the first one is the seat of the organization. And yes, I think and it cooperates was my thinking before it is not a good idea to have it in the ICANN office. But at the same time, I want to be sure there is no risk that it is on the Chair place because we have the risk of some questions about conflict of interest and many other possible discussions on that. Therefore, I would like to ask to have a third option if possible, like a place where we have what we call a sister organization or maybe one of the ALAC places or I don't know. But another solution. I know that the easy one is to use your, Oliver, address, but I'm not sure in the medium and long-term run it's the best way. Nothing against Olivier, of course, but I think we need to keep that in mind.

The second point is about the name. I guess one of the reasons we have a different name was because it was supposed to be the name of the organization outside of ICANN and the name of the organization inside of ICANN will be different. I think we need to align those if possible. That is my two comments for now and I want to thank all the people who have worked very hard on this issue. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Sebastien. I'm not seeing anybody else putting their hand up. So first on the Seat of the Association. I'll attempt to first to answer a couple of your points. On the Seat of the Association, it is like the registered office. So I don't know what the standard is. I understand totally what you mean by having a private address of the Chair might be a problem. There might need to be an external body that at least registers that there are things coming in and out that are not just the sole remit of the Chair. I think you've got a point there. And I would be interested in hearing other views on this.

Certainly, I also thought about having maybe a third-party organization that would be able to act as a mailbox. The only concern I had were the costs associated with it. And because we don't actually have a budget as such, the prior version of the bylaws had a budget, had a treasurer that was included in there. But because we are essentially funded by ICANN, there is no need for a treasurer in the current instance that we are in. That being said, this is something that I will also discuss, pending more information, when we meet in Barcelona. Things are changing in ICANN as well.

When it comes down to sponsorship, and sponsorship is something that we have gone for in the past. There have been some instances where sponsors have paid us rather than paying in kind. They have paid for our lunch, they've paid for dinner, and they actually had to pay the caterers and so on. And they paid them either directly or in some cases they paid ICANN and ICANN paid the supplier. What I'm hearing at the moment is that it might well be that ICANN changes this now and they will not be able to do this anymore. In which case we would need a sponsor to either pay the supplier directly or to have our own payment facility at hand.

I'm a little reticent to move forward on that because of the difficulty that one then has with an association that then has a bank account, that then has a treasurer, a whole number of things. It makes it a lot heavier than what we currently have. But I'm mentioning it here for you to all think about and that we can then follow up discussing it in Barcelona. It's not an easy discussion to have and not something that we should decide in haste. And this is partly one of the reasons why I'm not pushing for these bylaws to be adopted right away. We've got some discussion still to do and to have. And certainly, we'll have more information from ICANN Finance when we meet in Barcelona because I will have spoken to them by then and try to find out what the deal is on this topic.

I'm not seeing any other hands up so anyway, you've got a copy of the bylaws here. Sebastien Bachollet. Go ahead, Sebastien.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLETT: I know that the legal of ICANN say, no way, we don't want to look at that. I really think that things are changing and it may be a good idea to ask them again. And my other suggestion is that we don't go directly to them and we don't go through our own staff, but we may ask our incoming chairperson to meet directly with you about that when she has a chance to discuss. And it may be a question for all of EURALO, but we ask the legal of ICANN to have a look to that.

I know that we have [inaudible] but the idea was at the beginning that the MoU was an agreement between ICANN and organizations of RALOs. And EURALO was really set up and born because of ICANN and by ICANN. It's a construct done by ICANN by bylaws, ICANN bylaws. I think it could be a good idea to have a review of what we are doing and then review what the other RALOs are doing. It's a suggestion. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Sebastien. And I've also just received confirmation that ICANN Legal has suggested that the address not be in ICANN's offices in Geneva. So that is one thing that points also to the independence of the RALO as such.

Should we continue, ladies and gentlemen? Let's go on as the time is ticking quite fast. And let's move on to the Follow-up on the Discussion for CROP Travel. As you know, there was a call for candidates, anybody wishing to travel to the next trip proposal. The Outreach and Engagement Task Force, the ALS Engagement Task Force met in the course of the month and has effectively decided that the next trip

proposal would be towards IGF. And the selection made by the Board of EURALO took one of the people that had applied for this and that is someone who is perhaps not known by many people in our community. Natalia Filina is from the Russian Federation.

She has been involved in several IGFs and so she discussed this with a number of people. She knows a number of people in our community. She's an individual member in EURALO and has been -- I think that she was in the summer school, European Summer School on Internet Governance. And she was also involved in EuroDIG. So not a newcomer, but a newcomer at the same time. She will, therefore, be going to Paris to help out with the boot and with all sorts of things. And, of course, take part in the IGF in Paris. Well done to her. That's the only update, really, on this.

Now the next thing is the Follow-up on Preparation for ICANN63 Barcelona Meeting. As I close some of the windows, because I think I have too many of these, as you know, the meeting is going to be the case for a virtual General Assembly. An agenda has been put up. A whole number of things there. And so, at the moment we have a table of the different At-Large structures that I think has been totally cleaned up with all the different At-Large structures that we have.

What would be important for us to note is whether people will be there in person or attend remotely. You've seen an email from me earlier today on the EURALO mailing list to ask people to please either indicate that they will be there locally or indicate that they will be following remotely, or go and get some proxies. So sign a proxy and nominate someone to hold a proxy for them. The most important thing in this

EURALO meeting, because it is a General Assembly, it needs to have a quorum. If it doesn't have a quorum, it really can't do very much or at least it won't be able to take any decisions if decisions have to be made.

So please have a good look at this and if you know any At-Large structures in your area that you know will not be able to make it in person and might have a problem actually attending the call, then be active and ask them if they want to pass the proxy on to you. Whilst you have to notice there is a maximum of two proxies per member attending.

The agenda of the meeting itself -- well, the first part of the agenda is just going to be all the standing issues and the unfortunate things that we need to always do which is confirmation of a quorum, a quick adoption of the agenda, adoption of the meeting minutes from our last meeting which, in fact, is a transcript of the last meeting, and then we will have, after that, guest speakers.

We have a number of special guests coming to that meeting. We will have Jean-Jacques Sahel who is the Vice-President of Stakeholder Engagement for Europe. Alexandra Kulikova, who is in charge of the Russia and CIS or ex-CIS and Eastern Europe region. Leon Sanchez, who is with the ICANN board. He is the At-Large Selected ICANN Board Member. Alan Greenberg, the current ALAC chair, current until the end of the Barcelona meeting. And Maureen Hilyard who will be the incoming ALAC chair taking over from Alan at the end of that meeting.

So we've got a number of people that will be able to talk to us. I know that they won't be able to spend the whole 90 minutes with us because

the agenda in Barcelona is an absolute nightmare with many, many different things running all in parallel, but I know that they will all have some time at some point during the meeting to be able to speak to us and take our questions and discuss our region's needs.

So we'll have a discussion and adoption of the EURALO Annual Report which Wales is hard working at, at the moment. Then we will have a briefing on the EURALO Bylaws Task Force and the EURALO Bylaws in general which I think is going to be particularly interesting as a follow-up to the discussion we had today. And then we'll have a briefing on the EURALO At-Large Structure Engagement Task Force and [inaudible] will be able to let us know of some of the progress that has been made in this. Then we will have an outline of EURALO Concepts Fiscal Year '19 and looking at the evaluation of the results from last year.

One of the problems that we are faced with is that last year there were five CROP slots. This year there are only three. It would be interesting to study together if this Community Regional Outreach Program is really beneficial and how much we need to fight for it and get five slots back. Or whether maybe it's not really that important and we can use other alternative means to attend meetings etcetera.

Then we'll have a look at the FY19 Outreach Strategy. And that is where we will be discussing the strategy of Jean-Jacques Sahel and Alexandra Kulikova because they are with the Global Stakeholder Engagement Group. And having a coordinated strategy with them is going to be particularly important. I know some RALOs have very good coordination and they've been able to manage a lot.

One of the things that I've done in advance of this is to actually email Jean-Jacques and Alexandra the ICANN Stakeholder Engagement Tool which Dev Anand Teelucksingh has put together in the At-Large Outreach and Engagement Working Group. And that really demonstrates that in Europe we are far away from having the one ALS in every country. We're not only far away from having that, but for some reason, ICANN has in some countries not funded any Fellow or any NextGen to come to an ICANN meeting. So no wonder we can't ALSs there if ICANN doesn't actually fund someone from a local community there to take part in the ICANN work. That is, I think, a message that we need to really push through to Jean-Jacques Sahel and to Alexandra Kulikova.

And then we'll have the EURALO Hot Topics document. Earlier this week, or last week, the RALO chairs, the RALO leaders had a call and one of the big discussions is going to be the hot topics for each one of the RALOs and see if we can put together a matrix of hot topics, see which ones are common to the regions, and perhaps coordinate and collaborate together on the hot topics that are common to the different regions.

At this point in time, we still can still add things to the agenda or remove things from the agenda so I open the floor if you think that we need to -- if there is anything we've missed in this agenda or if there's anything that you think is too much and we can just delete. The floor is open. Sebastien Bachollet.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLETT: Thank you very much. I just wanted to know if there is something that will be done with the CENTR in Barcelona as we will become more close to them and more involved in the next few days. It could be also a good time to have maybe an introduction to either the Chair or one of the board members or the CEO of CENTR. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Sebastien. Now, indeed there is a process currently ongoing with CENTR. I'm not quite sure -- until tomorrow we won't know how far this process is going to go. So CENTR, for those people who don't know, is an organization of country code top-level domain operators in Europe. It is the organization of Country Code Top-Level Domain Operators in Europe. They have members in nearly every European country if not every European country. And therefore, they have the ability to perhaps source or find some potential At-Large structures in those countries where we are not represented. And therefore, having a MoU for collaboration with them is a great thing.

The discussion, I think that I advised the EURALO last time during our last call. I had several calls with Peter from CENTR and he basically told us, Peter Van Roste is his name. He basically told me CENTR only signs the MoUs with organizations that are actually already members or observer members. And as a result, we've made the application to become an observer member as a first step. The application was sent, I think, last week or two weeks ago.

And tomorrow, in Brussels, is a meeting of CENTR, its Annual General Meeting is taking place tomorrow. And, unfortunately, I wasn't able to

travel. I'm currently not close to Brussels. But we do have people that are based close to Brussels and one person, Mr. Christopher Wilkinson, as you might know, is in Brussels as we speak so he will attend this CENTR meeting tomorrow and he will support the EURALO application to become an observer member of CENTR.

Now once we have reached the observer membership level, at that point then we have, I think, a little over one week to work out a further MoU that is an MoU based on the type of MoUs we had with RIPE or which the RALOs have also shared with them, for example, an MoU which APRALO has had with APTLD, a similar organization to CENTR for the Asia, Australasia, and Pacific Islands part of the world. So I'm waiting for some feedback starting from tomorrow.

After the meeting, we will know if the observer status has been agreed by the CENTR board. And if that is the case, they have on their plans that the next step is to sign this MoU. And if we can, if it can be done fast enough then we will be signing this MoU at the end of the General Assembly.

Now I agree with you, Sebastien, if we manage to get the MoU signed at the end of the General Assembly, then we should give some time maybe for the Chair or CEO of CENTR or Managing Director or Chair, depending on who is going to be there. Managing Director is Peter Van Roste. The Chair at the moment is a gentleman from -- I just had him in my mind. Jorg Schweiger. Jorg Schwieger from DENIC. And Jorg is -- I believe it is Jorg, isn't it? I think the Chair of the Board, not the CEO, Chair of the Board is Jorg. Anyway, we can have someone from the CENTR be able

to address the General Assembly and talk to us and answer any questions and so on for the future. Sebastien Bachollet.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLETT:

Just to say, even if we don't sign the MoU at the General Assembly, is one of the questions [inaudible] be a great help to that. I think it may be interesting to ask one of the leaders of the CENTR to come to it. It doesn't matter if we don't sign, if we are not ready yet for the signing of the MoU. It is good to start a discussion and as we are in Europe, it would be a good time to ask them to come to our General Assembly. Next time we will be in two years and let's do something of a change during this meeting, please. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for that, Sebastien. So, fine. Let's take it as an Action Item then to invite someone from CENTR to speak at the General Assembly. Let's add a few minutes at the end of the General Assembly for them to be able to address, just as a placeholder, address everyone at the General Assembly.

And just one more thing I wanted to add before we move on, as we are reaching the last five minutes of this call. I have also placed a request for funds to be able to serve refreshments at the end of the General Assembly if we're signing with CENTR. So that's also been agreed and allowed, and so hopefully if we sign the MoU with them we will have something to drink and approaching the team for taking a few pictures and so on and put this on our EURALO pages.

All right, and that is it really for the preparation. Oh yeah, one more thing for the ICANN Barcelona Meeting. There is a joint NCUC and At-Large Outreach Event. It's called Your Guide to ICANN Architecture. It was prepared by members of the NCUC and of the At-Large Outreach and Engagement Working Group. Again, it is going to be co-chaired by Tatiana Tropina and I, and it is going to take place on Monday, the 22nd of October. That doesn't only happen locally, but it is also going to be -- as you know, every meeting can be followed remotely.

So if you have the time, then please, Monday 22nd of October. From 10:30 until 12:00 is session one and session two is from 12:15 to 13:15. So it is quite a lot of time spent together with newcomers that will include the Fellows and that will include also the NextGen coming in Barcelona.

Sebastien Bachollet. Sebastien? Your hand is up. Hand is down, okay. Thanks.

Now a question, of course, that always comes up is what are you going to talk about? And on this occasion, it is going to be very different from previous occasions. At other occasions, we had a listing on several hot topics that we spoke about and discussed with people. This time around, we know there will be four hot topics, four policy topics that will be discussed. But what we will do is to ask the people present, what do they want to talk about? What questions do they have? What topics do they want to talk about? And we will go around the table actively asking the people sitting around the table and say, what do you want to talk about? What would you like to learn about?

And then, we'll put this on a board and we'll select four topics from all the topics that are brought forward. And then, hopefully, we'll have the right experts around the table to be able to talk about this. It is a bit of an experiment, but it is hopefully going to make it even more exciting because you can expect the unexpected.

Moving on to Agenda Item 7, the At-Large Summit. Now, that's something which we thought was never going to happen, but thankfully quite an amount of work has been done behind the scenes by several members of a core team, namely Alan Greenberg, Maureen Hilyard, Eduardo Diaz, myself, and also Cheryl Langdon-Orr helping out as a sort of consultant.

Why this core team? Well, Alan is, of course, the current ALAC Chair. Maureen is the future ALAC Chair. Eduardo was the Chair of the previous At-Large Summit. And I was the chair of At-Large at the time when the previous At-Large Summit was taking place. Anyway, we put together a sort of proposal for staff and with the help of staff working with finance and working with ICANN logistics trying to find out first funding, how much funding one could expect and working with the ICANN Board, speaking to the ICANN Board.

How much funding can one expect for this? Ideally -- now, we have an excess of 250 At-Large structures so the idea was let's try and fund all of them to come over. And the answer was, no funding for that amount. You are going to have to make a choice. Finally, discussion seems to have settled for about 60 people in addition to the 30 people that are already funded travelers. So that is 90 people in total. I know some people will say, well, this is not an At-Large Summit if not everyone can

come. But we have no choice. That is how much we've been told we would be getting. The commitment from the ICANN Board is to allocate the cost of \$350,000 to \$400,000. And the costs were calculated for each person regarding their hotel and regarding their travels, sort of a ballpark figure for them.

The discussion about the attendance requirements in order to operate some kind of a selection of the travelers based on merit is something that is going to be really, really important. So there will be a committee that will be created. In fact, there are four committees that are going to be created. One is about scheduling, programming, and training. And that will be chaired by Eduardo Diaz, Humberto Carrasco, and myself. One will be about sponsors and, I guess, that is one that I'm going to chair because I've got a number of sponsors for the last ATLAS, and I invite anyone who has contacts with potential sponsors to join this group. One will be about metrics and criteria. That is the selection process. And that will be chaired by Alan and Maureen. One will be about communications and network mentoring. And the lead on this will be Cheryl Langdon-Orr.

As per ATLAS II, we'll have a program that will start before the Summit. The topics are yet to be determined. There will be plenary sessions that will take place in the main room. We call them plenary sessions. There will be time for about five plenary sessions at that meeting. That also can include leadership training and all sorts of other programs where we need to have that large room. There is also the ability to have three additional smaller rooms that will be able to hold approximately 20 to 30 people for our parallel sessions which means if we keep the main room it will four parallel rooms in total.

And we are trying, we are hoping that at least two of these would have interpretation. If not, then the main room will always have interpretation so at least we will have one room that will be interpreted. It is still very early on at the moment and still in negotiations and I'm sure there will be some progress.

There will be -- because of the ICANN Meeting being so crunched, no General Assembly. Which is a bit of a concern for many because we haven't had General Assembly, face-to-face, funded, General Assemblies for quite some time. There is likely to be a sponsored lunch sometime in the week and a declaration at the end.

So in Barcelona, we will start building the teams to take part in the preparation groups. The teams have not yet been built and participation in a preparation group is not necessarily a ticket to the summit so you can't play this one. It is going to be a pretty tough selection for people coming over. Again, the subgroups will be Program Committee, Sponsorship, Metric Selection of Attendees, and the Logistics. I think that's all I can do on this one considering the time and that we are running over time. The floor is open for comments and questions. Sebastien Bachollet.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Sorry to be the one. I was wondering why the inventor of this summit was not invited by anybody to work on this next At-Large? At the end, I am quite happy not to be invited. I only think that we make a very big mistake. We want to innovate and we have just old people participating in this program, and it is wrong. Really, it's wrong. I love the people

who want to be everywhere and do everything, but yet at the end of the day, if we don't give the seat to the newcomers, the new people, to new ones who did not build the previous ones with the help of the other and not the other way around, we are doing wrong. Really, I think so.

Therefore, I am very disappointed and I want to stress that it is not [inaudible] it was built for that and now we don't have a General Assembly of each region. And we don't have, when we compare with the GAC is doing when they want to organize a high-level session, they have no problem. They can do it. We can't. And they do it more often than we do. Therefore, I love that we go into the what the staff is asking, but if we take the budget of the two previous ones and we compare to the level of the global budget of ICANN at that time and the global budget of today, we are losing a lot a lot of possibility and money to organize this one.

I am sorry, Olivier. I need to be frank that I feel that we are really not going in the right way in that and yes, of course, it will be better to have 90 people coming together than nobody, but it is not what we wanted to have. And I am still thinking that we need to struggle for the right. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this, Sebastien. I can't say that I disagree with the points you are making by the way. But we are in this real tough situation. It is either this or nothing. That is what we have been told. Now, should we rebel against this? Should we fight this? Well, maybe we should make

this known in Barcelona. But, at present, that is all we're being given and I agree with you, it is not as much as there should be.

With regards to the leading of those working groups, by the way, the largest one is going to be the program committee. The co-chairing overall, if you want, with Eduardo and I and Humberto, this one is going to need a number of subgroups. There is going to be a subgroup on the preparation work. There is going to be a subgroup on the actual program itself, on the topics, on the structure.

So I am planning to have a number of subgroups, and it would be really great to have new people lead each one of these subgroups. But, the problem that we are faced with is when you ask people and say, hey, you know -- so we do a call for new people to go and jump forward. There are not that many people that move forward with it. So we are going to have to start this as early as possible and this is why I would suggest that we start everything in Barcelona and get moving. We have a year to get this organized and a year passes very quickly indeed.

Anyway, with seven minutes past the top of the hour and I understand that we now need to close this call, I think, because I have to be on another call as well. I'm not seeing any other hands up. Is there any other business? Not seeing any hands. I would like to thank you all for taking part in this call.

Don't forget the General Assembly. Even if you can't travel to Barcelona, you can follow remotely. And we'll make sure that the people who follow remotely have as much if not even more of a voice than the people that are local. In fact, there are some times when

hands are up for remote participation you give a priority for those people who are having their hands up for remote participation. So the people who will be sitting in the room in Barcelona will be the ones that will have to wait a bit more. So with this, I look forward to seeing you then and thanks for taking part in this call. This call is now ended and see you very soon. Have a very good evening, everyone. Goodbye.

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Thank you all for joining the call. This meeting is now adjourned. Please remember to disconnect the line. Thank you.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]