YESIM NAZLAR:

Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening to everyone. Welcome to the APRALO Monthly Call taking place on Thursday, the 20th of September, 2018, at 6:00 UTC.

On our call today, we have Satish Babu, Maureen Hilyad, Cheryl Langon-Orr, Holly Raiche, Lianna Galstyan, Priyatosh Jana, Amrita Choudhury, Jahangir Hossain, Nadira Al-Araj, Tomohiro Fujisaki, Ahmad Alsadeh, Hong Xue, Yannis Li, and Leon Sanchez.

Currently, we don't have anyone listed on the Chinese channel.

We have received apologies from Kaili Kan, Ali AlMeshal, and Suhaidi Hassan.

From staff side, we have Silvia Vivanco, Siranush Vardanyan; and myself, Yesim Nazlar.

Our Chinese interpreters for today's call are [Yiyi and Jessie].

Before we start, I would like to remind everyone to state their names before speaking for transcription purposes and also for the interpretation purposes as well, please.

I would like to leave the floor back to you, Satish. Thank you very much.


SATISH BABU:

Thank you very much, Yesim. [inaudible] welcome to the September call of APRALO. Right now, we have [inaudible] in the At-Large community and we are preparing for the next ICANN meeting which is coming up in

Barcelona next month. We hope to be able to update the community on the initiatives that are happening currently. So, thanks to all of you who have joined the call. Unfortunately, I'm finding that my Adobe Connect suddenly stopped working and Yesim has very kindly sent me some text on getting it reactivated. I understand that this has happened to some others as well, so maybe there is some common issue. The next result of this is that I will not be able to see the hands in the room, so I am going to ask Yesim to update with the Adobe hand waves.

With that, we are moving along with the agenda. Today, we do not have any pending [inaudible] of membership applications, so we are skipping that agenda item and we will go straight on to agenda item number two, the At-Large [inaudible] implementation that in the last meeting Cheryl had taken us through the presentation of next steps. Today, we have Maureen and Cheryl who will be updating us on the next steps and the current situation vis-à-vis the At-Large implementation. Over to you, Maureen. Maureen, are you muted?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry. Yes, I was muted. Sorry. Thank you, everyone. Just giving you a brief summary of where we're at at the moment with the implementation program. I'll do a bit of a [inaudible]. I'm sure Cheryl will be able to [inaudible] with all the things I've forgotten.

So, we've just done now going through … We received the board okay to go ahead with the implementation in September and we've been working on [inaudible] to get feedback from the community on various items and issues.

This is currently [inaudible] putting this information onto a Wiki page that is being prepared, so that everyone can see how we're going to go about this. What I'm proposing is that we will have penholders who are members of the ALAC and regional leaders who will lead the discussions on each of the 16 issues that the board has asked us to look at, look into, and develop steps to implement and address the issue that's actually being mentioned.

We will be asking for assistance and I know that, at the moment, APRALO has the greatest number of participants in this group and I'm very pleased to see that, but it's really important that everybody does have an opportunity to look through the ALAC proposal and if there is anything that you think that you can contribute, have an idea to actually help us improve the way in which a particular item was actually currently being run, that we can develop a new innovative way to address the issues that were raised, and I said to the ALAC, we may not have thought that there was a problem. It's been identified and we do have address it.

Cheryl, do you have anything else that you would like to add to that?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Just briefly, Maureen, if you don't mind. Just following on from what you've said and what I've covered in the presentation I think it was only last month that I did it, actually.

I just anted to remind everybody that what Maureen's referring to is a two-part priority activity for us at the moment. The majority of our focus will be on developing what specifically the implementation plan –

in other words, the timeline, milestones, [inaudible], etc., that is accepted for those recommendations. And that implementation plan [inaudible] that we have to do the least complex and least expensive ones first. That's under the resolution of the board. So, [inaudible] some of our orders aren't going to be [inaudible] too much.

What particular plan needs to be in the plans needs to be in the hands of the Organization Effectiveness Committee. We're aiming to have it [inaudible] the latter part of December. The actual deadline would've been the 23rd of December. We personally don't think the Organizational Effectiveness Committee, [inaudible] correct me on this and tell me they're wrong, we don't think [inaudible] so we were going to give it a few days early at least. That is the plan at the moment, but the due date is the 23rd of December but the [inaudible] to do it a little earlier than that.

Of course, following on from that, the actual implementation work which is where we drill down to greater detail and of course [inaudible] the actual implementation to carry out continues on. So, with that, I'm sure we'll keep you all posted, but right now anybody who would like to join us on our next working group call which I believe is the 27th – is that correct? If staff would put the correct date and time into chat, thanks.

SATISH BABU:                  Thank you, Maureen and Cheryl. Maureen, do you have anything else to add on the [inaudible] now or later?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes. I'm terribly sorry that I have to rush away earlier than the stop of this meeting. I'd really like to, if at all possible, thank you, raise [inaudible] At-Large Summit meeting which will be in Montreal in 2019. Right up until last week, we were panicking a bit because the ICANN meetings team was in Montreal and they were actually starting to organize rooms for each of the constituencies, and because that's where we wanted to hold the ATLAS we needed to make sure that we could have the rooms that we wanted and the breakout room, all the sorts of things that you need to hold an ATLAS meeting available to us. But, we hadn't had the okay from the board.

Fortunately, while they were at their retreat in Brussels – and we were very pleased to have the support of our At-Large board member, Leon, at that meeting. The board actually gave their okay for us to hold At-Large Summit and gave us some budget as well, which is very [inaudible].

So, we've been given the okay to hold the event by the board and there are going to be some limitations. I have to inform you about that. At the previous summit meeting that we held in London, there were over 200 – anyone who was associated with an ALS was invited. Unfortunately, budget will not reach that far, so we are going to be constrained. The numbers that we invite are going to be constrained by our budget, so that what we will be looking at with regards to invitations with a very strong and active participation in policy development. It will be [inaudible] to do a policy at the summit and there will be an expectation that people will hit the ground running when we are there and are discussing what we will be discussing at that event.

So, it's going to be … We have to tell ICANN who we're going to take to the meeting by May of next year, even though the event is in October/November. I'm not sure what day, but it's still late that time of year. We have to notify ICANN of who the invitees are going to be in May. So, between now and May, there will be criteria developed and there will be metrics that we'll be looking at [inaudible].

It's just that we have to be fair about how we choose people who actually attend, so that's one of the reasons why we're looking at some way that it's going to be fair to choose our inviting.

So, you'll be informed about this more in the next few weeks. Probably Barcelona we'll take more information, so if you're going to be available then or participating remotely. Do keep in touch so that we can inform you about the developments according to how we go.

Again, Cheryl is part of my At-Large Summit team and she may have something to add.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Honestly, I think you've covered it excellently. I just popped into the chat, however, that in addition to people that are proven contributors to policy and policy development – in other words, the work of At-Large under the bylaws – the [inaudible] will be clearly looking towards those who are also showing themselves as wishing to be or capable of being thought leaders and ambassadors for the improvements that we will be putting into implementation [inaudible] of time as a result of the recent review.

So, if you see yourself as a thought leader, an ambassador, and a key part of the future of policy development, as well as perhaps a potential leader either in the region or in the regions or in ALAC itself, then you should be looking at your demonstrables to prove you're going to be the perfect one to be chosen to join us at the ATLAS3.

Just a note. It will be based on Maureen's passion for – I can be pushier than she can on this. She's far too humble. It will be because of Maureen's passion for demonstrables and metrics and measurables that there won't be a matter of each region that's equal amounts. This will be a meritocracy. So, stand in line and try and get to the front of it. Thank you, Maureen.

SATISH BABU:          Thank you, Cheryl and Maureen, for the [inaudible]. I see that Leon is on the call. I'd like to welcome Leon to the call. Welcome, Leon, and glad that you could find time to join us.

LEON SANCHEZ:        Thank you very much, Satish.

SATISH BABU:          You're welcome, Leon. I see that Justine has [inaudible]. Justine, over to you.

JUSTINE CHEW:  Yes, hi. Sorry, I was probably a bit late in raising my hand. I was [inaudible] comment on possibly the previous topic. Sorry, I'm a bit lost with the agenda because I'm working [inaudible]. But, it's pertaining to the At-Large Review Implementation Working Group.

I remember distinctly expressing interest in helping with metrics. So, I'm just putting out a reminder. I do see an element of metrics in the work of the ARIWG, so just to keep my hand up. If someone could remind me on where and what to do about it, I'd appreciate it because my attention has just been drawn in too many different directions at the moment. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:  Thanks, Justine. With this, Maureen, want to comment?

MAUREEN HILYARD:  Yeah. Thank you, Satish. Yes, Justine, we'd be very … Your contribution to metrics will be very valuable. What we'll be doing is we'll be sending out information about who the leads are in each of the different issue areas, so that if there is something that you want to make a comment on, you can actually send it directly to. I'm assuming – I'd really like to set up a conversation thread, so that the penholders will make a statement that people can comment on and also that they can build up the process and the steps that are required for the implementation plan. But, we will keep you posted and make sure that everybody is aware of what will be required because we do want as many people involved in this whole process as possible. Thank you.

SATISH BABU: Thank you, Maureen. Are there any other questions? My [inaudible] remind me [inaudible].

YESIM NAZLAR: Sure, Satish.

SATISH BABU: Thanks. So, not seeing any questions at this point, so we'll move on to the ALAC policy update. Over to Maureen and Cheryl [inaudible] policy update. Over to you, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you very much. [inaudible] if you could all see your screen. Several of them are … Well, some of them we're voting, some are out for comment, and the ones that are drafting. I think I'll start with the drafting ones to alert people to please have a look at the policy page and individual Wikis for them because these are still things you can contribute to and we hope that you do contribute to start from really the bottom up, from what's listed in statements and progress.

The bottom one, proposed gTLD registration data access protocol. That's actually a protocol that was developed to replace the WHOIS protocol. I put some comments there to basically say it was developed to allow … It has the functionality in it to allow gated access. It's really an important component of the whole WHOIS discussion. It's the gated access part of the protocol is not required at the moment because there

is not an ICANN proper policy requiring gated access, but there will be – well, in fact, you've got temporary specification and the EPDP, the WHOIS. So, in fact, that's in the cards. Please read the comments to date.

The next … Working from the bottom up, the next steps on reviews. And if you will remember, if you've been watching the policy, there were comments on both long term and short term reviews. I'm afraid the comment I put in was, "Oh, my goodness, another review." But, Alan has put in a long contribution saying, in fact, we should make some comments, not the least of which is we need to better organize the reviews and actually focus on outcomes of the reviews to make sure that what's recommended actually happens. So, I'd invite you to read Alan's comment on that.

The third one that's drafting – and this is still available for comment. New gTLD subsequent procedures. Now, this has a number of aspects to it. This is one of the main items the consumer policy working group is working on. I know some of the people on this call are also involved in drafting some of those statements and we really need everyone to have a look and to participate in some of the major issues that were raised. Cheryl can tell you that subsequent procedures came up with a number of recommendations on a range of issues. So, read through and pick the issues that are of interest to you. The working group is meeting and the final submission, the final response from ALAC and everybody, for that matter, is the end of September.

Now, the next up comment. This is just comment being sought. It's not a proper policy process. But this is part of the larger issues surrounding

**EN**

WHOIS. This is community feedback on what's called a unified access model.

What this is about is seeking who should have access to WHOIS data and that's really part of that larger WHOIS question. This was, as it shows, it's the … Greg Shatan's comment on that.

Moving up for votes. This is the managing IDN variants. For those who haven't followed IDN variants, it's really the [inaudible] international domain names, the internationalized script, and some of the issues that arise from using different scripts to ASCII. It's reasonably complex. Satish, I won't give the floor right now, but Satish if you want to add something after I finish, it's a very important issue, particularly for IDNs (Internationalized Domain Names) using something other than non-ASCII script.

And the final one, IPC BC accreditation and access model. Again, this is access to WHOIS data and it is a model that was put forward by the intellectual property and business communities. Again, it's [inaudible] part of the larger issues about WHOIS about all of the issues surrounding WHOIS data, what data is made available, in what circumstances, and to whom.

Happy to take any questions. Satish, I notice you're the penholder for the IDN variants. Do you want to add anything to our discussion about the IDN variants that's out for voting at the moment?

SATISH BABU:                    Thank you. Can you hear me?

MAUREEN HILYARD:     Yes.

SATISH BABU:     Am I audible? Okay, thanks. Yeah. Regarding the IDN variant issues, the main problem that [inaudible] is that in many languages, some words are used interchangeably although they are written differently. In English, we write color, the word color, in two ways, color and colour. But, we hardly notice this and we use these things interchangeably depending on which part of the world you come from. And while in [inaudible] one. So, unfortunately, the DNS system doesn't work like that. The DNS system is exact and it requires an exact string. And if you delegate color, colour is not going to work.

So, unfortunately, the way language communities work, we take for granted that it would work, and when it doesn't work, it creates some confusion in users' mind.

Generally, from an end user perspective, we feel that it is a good thing to have variance [inaudible] language and the community are used to such a practice.

Unfortunately, if you look at the way the IDN, particularly, [inaudible] but IDNs particularly handle the issue of variance, the main issue that comes up is that there are a large number of combinations that are possible, that are feasible and as these numbers – when you combine the first-level TLD with the second level, these variants sometimes become unmanageable.

So, the [inaudible] how to balance the user comfort issue with the security, stability, resiliency, and manageability of large numbers of labels. So, that is the main issue that we are trying to cover.

As end users, whenever the language community traditionally has been using these variants, we would like these variants to be also delegated. But also note that there are challenges and some of the automatic processes that have been recommended earlier may not address the manageability issue [inaudible]. So, that's it from me on the IDN part of it.

The floor is now open for any questions on any. I see Cheryl's hand here. Cheryl, please go ahead.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:     Thank you very much, Satish. Not so much a question than embellishment from me. I certainly hope that the ALAC – just for the record, I [inaudible] ALAC has the good faith to put in a supportive vote on the recommendations regarding IDN variants on top-level domains because, as you all know, an issue for quite some time. [inaudible]. But, it is a technical issue and a highly technical one. The experience that [inaudible] to the penholder there, as [inaudible] just go along quietly with it.

I just wanted to mention two very brief things regarding two issues that Holly covered under drafting. Working backwards I guess, again, a report for new gTLD subsequent procedures. Holly, thank you for encouraging people to go and have a look at the questions and

recommendations, that in the 300-plus pages of initial report, we have in fact posed no less than 211 questions, I believe.

So, I don't want to put people off by asking them to go and do that now when the input has to be completed and back in our hands by the 26th of September. So, what you should and could do, however, is join the next consolidated policy working group call or go to the Wiki page of those meetings and put your comments in on the material that is already being drafted and is up for that working group's discussion and review. The working group went through and decided what bits [inaudible] of interest from it has an effect on consumer perspective, not just that some of us thought it was interesting but that there was a demonstrable effect on consumer interests, and so I would encourage the shortcut of putting your comments in on the material that has already been drafted.

I wanted to compliment publicly at this meeting Justine for the huge amount of work that she's been contributing in this area as well. So, your region is being well-represented yet again. This time by Justine, however.

The other thing I wanted just to mention is the one on the next steps and reviews. Thanks, Holly, for pointing that out as penholder and his contributions to date on the subject. Some of you may note this was a very short window for public comment. This is because it's really almost a supplementary public comment. [inaudible] public comment on the matter of the reviews, both organizational and specific, was held, as you all know. ALAC and many of you did put in comments on it. Many of us, I should say, did put in comments on it.

What this is, is this is the [staff] paper that has looked at those comments now, has done an analysis and is saying now: is this what we understand the community is saying? This is our take on what we believe the community is saying. Is that clear? Does community want to make some embellishments?

So, it's a little bit like [inaudible] predominately cooked but we get to decide on the color of the [inaudible] and the decorations. So, those of you who are passionate and interested on reviews, however, I'd also encourage you, if you've got the ICANN news bulletin in the last 24 hours, you'll have noted that there is a strangely named perhaps webinar on standardized operational procedures. Don't think that's terribly boring. In fact, it has a specific link into the specific reviews. It is 34 pages of thrill-packed and exciting reading on a set of standard operational procedures for how specific reviews is being proposed to be run in the future. So, I'd encourage people who have an interest in reviews to sign up for that webinar, at least one of which time is imminently suitable for Asia-Pacific. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:    Thank you, Cheryl. So, Cheryl has mentioned several important points in her last intervention. I would of course join in recognizing Justine for the contributions, in addition to Holly, Maureen, and Cheryl of course who have been leading this process from the front. So, Justine, supported by others including [inaudible] have actually been working very hard on some of the CCWG policy initiatives.

You will see that we have an agenda number four where we are specifically talking about CCWG. This agenda has been [clear] because Maureen has sent a mail saying is there any way we can get some more people from APRALO to join the CCWG because we have several very active members, but we need more, as Maureen also stated in the chat.

Now, since Cheryl has already rose the topic, I also would like to add to what Cheryl said, that the CCWG is [inaudible] to ease in, especially newcomers, into the [inaudible] process. You would be able to get support from other more experienced parts of the At-Large community there and there are some excellent discussions happening there. So, for any member of our community here who would like to [inaudible] in the policy process, I would say that the right place to start is CCWG. So, I would like to reaffirm what Maureen, Holly, and Cheryl have been saying, that please, any of you who want to try this out, join the call. The call is two different time slots. So, definitely one of them is friendly for most parts of Asia-Pacific.

Nevertheless, any of you who would like to participate, you're most welcome to participate and you're encouraged to participate. This is how … Although I'm not trying to link the ATLAS participation with [inaudible], but at some point, the activity of the community is going to be measured by people like Maureen and the best way, or rather the only way, to establish activity is to participate in some of these policy processes.

So, I will leave it there.  Are there any other questions or comments on any of the policy discussions? I don't see any hands.

In that case, we will move on to agenda item number four, the second part of it, which is the social media working group, cochaired by Lianna and Amrita. Over to you, Lianna and Amrita.

LIANNA GALSTYAN: Thank you, Satish. By the social media working group, I would like just to say everyone that we have decided to have the calls for this working group [inaudible] and I would encourage everyone who is active in social media to join the group and the calls and share their ideas, help to better organize the distribution of information.

Unfortunately, the last call I had to apologize for not participating as I was at the TLD call meeting taking place in [Latvia] but I know that Amrita was there. We have talked about it, but probably it would be better for Amrita to do the update from the call.

I also wanted just to remind you all our members about our newsletter, which [outlines] our social activities. So, please everyone, whatever activity you have during the month within your ALS relating to ICANN activities or just Internet-related issues activities, please share that with us and we'll make sure to have that included in the newsletter. That's all from me. Amrita?

AMRITA CHOULDHURY: Thanks, Lianna. Just to add on the social media strategies, which we had shared during the call the other day, is that we could have a more interactive social media Facebook page, as Lianna mentioned. We would love to have information about the various activities the ALSes re

doing in their own respective regions with photographs which could be showcased at the Facebook page as well as tweeted out.

Since ICANN 63 is coming, we could possibly have a Facebook live session from the leaders. The ideas were quite liked by the At-Large social media group, too, and they are also planning to have a session on the KSK rollout.

We also proposed if we could have once a month a question and answer with APRALO leaders where community members can ask questions on how they can engage, contribute, or progress with the community and the leadership in the Facebook live sessions.

We could also probably have a meet an ALS where we highlight a particular community member which could be highlighted in the newsletter, on Facebook, as well as through Twitter.

Simultaneously, we could also have some Twitter storms wherein using a particular hashtag or a particular issue, there could be interactions within various ALSes and from the region, which people who are not so participated to be curious and participate or at least look at those Twitter storms.

So, these are ideas we discussed. We hope to hear from you. And as Lianna said, please do share what you have done this month with us, preferably by 23rd or 24th. Then we would like to have it added into the newsletter. That's all from me. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:                    Thank you Amrita and Lianna for this update. The last point on the Twitter storm, it is interesting because other parts of ICANN, notably NCUC, has been using Twitter for specific short discussions, [inaudible] in the form of notes, conversations [inaudible]. But more the reason [inaudible] for them.  We also could perhaps think of using Twitter for this.

The next is an update on the Outreach and Engagement Working Group, actually, subcommittee. Ali, who is our co-chair on the working group is unfortunately an apology today. I can give you the few highlights. One that the outreach plan, the strategy, has been shared online. We had posted the strategy document for comments. We received quite a few comments, most of which [inaudible] to how to optimally use the CROP program. Unfortunately, this year the CROP has been cut from five to three which has made our maneuverability in this space somewhat difficult. So, we have accepted those comments and we have submitted our revised outreach plan to ICANN.

Particularly interesting has been the fact that the GSE team, Goran and others in the team, have been extremely helpful in creating this plan and [inaudible] interesting that GSE is funding [inaudible] some of the specific events, particularly those that relate to establishing At-Large Structures in countries that do not presently have any. For instance, Myanmar or Vietnam which are countries that we do not have At-Large Structures and I would like to particularly note the support that we have received in regards from Goran and the GSE team. So, that has been a very interesting development by finalizing the outreach plan.

Subsequently, the subcommittee has had a meeting. Unfortunately, I was not part of that meeting, so I'm not prepared. If anyone has, who participated in that meeting, [inaudible] call, you can provide an update. We'll have Ali back the next call and he should be able to update during the next call.

Are there any comments or questions on these working group updates? Not seeing any hands. We will now move on to an update on the NomCom. Over to Nadira.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ:     Thank you, Satish. Probably some of you noticed what I shared about the latest development on the mailing list. Unfortunately, we got an e-mail [inaudible], she has unfortunate that she will not be able to take the position next year. Luckily, this year and it seems for the first time, we have for each of the positions we have an alternative and this alternative went through also to the end, to the background check, and was ready to be as an alternative. That's what happened with us. Luckily, we have a good candidate. [inaudible], he is famous of being the [Serbian] father of the Internet and that's why it's worked well. Even he is coming [to] Europe and also [inaudible] not just only from Europe is from the Eastern Europe, usually very rarely to have them in the board.

On another update, only yesterday, I'm pleased to announce the new leadership for the NomCom 2018. We have the chair is Damon Ashcraft and the chair elect is Cheryl Miller. The associate chair is Zahid Jamil. I think [inaudible] things will go smoothly. Back to you, Satish. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:                    Thank you, Nadira, for the update. Of course, [inaudible] was a very active [inaudible] part of the board and part of the community as well. [inaudible] feedback from the community on specific issues, so it's a great loss for us. Of course, it's good to have someone from Eastern Europe. This has not been represented so far.

I just note that we have substituted a woman with a man, so it's a little bit not diversity there, but [inaudible] that could not be helped.

So, Cheryl, raised her hand. Cheryl, please go ahead.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:           Thank you, Satish. Sorry. Very briefly. [inaudible] is certainly going to be sorely missed. I couldn't agree more with your comment. But as I would count myself amongst her perhaps closer associates and supported within ICANN, I am quite confident that, especially [inaudible] circumstances were beyond her control, that she would deeply appreciate any perhaps little formal thank you note that we might wish to send. I'll also suggest the same for ALAC, but I think our region should and could put out a vote of thanks formally at this meeting and then have Satish send off under his signature a special note of thanks for her contribution because she's been an extraordinary community-minded contributor and I know she would deeply appreciate that sort of thank you.

| | |
|---|---|
| SATISH BABU: | Thanks, Cheryl. That's an excellent idea. I'll certainly do it. I certainly [inaudible] this RALO. So, we'll certainly [inaudible] given a formal thank you and note from our region. [inaudible], indeed. |
| | So, we have come to the end of the formal agenda. Are there any other issues, or comments, or questions that anybody would like to raise? We have still a few more minutes [inaudible]. |
| | I see Leon has raised his hand. Please go ahead, Leon. |
| LEON SANCHEZ: | Thank you very much, Satish. Thank you for having me here and I apologize for missing the past meetings. Not always the time is best for my time zone, but however, I'm here and I will make my best effort to continue to be with you on these calls. |
| | As you know, I'd like to inform you about the workshop that the board just held in Brussels. It was a very positive workshop. The board made eleven decisions during its workshop. The highlight is [inaudible] we continue to work on the fiscal year 19 priorities for the board, the strategic plan, looking ahead of course for the next years. |
| | One decision that I consider to be key, no pun intended, is the KSK rollover which will take place on October the 1st. This is a decision, as you might have followed, had been postponed because of some technical issues. But finally the Board Technical Committee is suggesting that we go ahead and start the process for rolling over the KSK, which as you know, is an important part of signing the root and a key element for |

the security and stability of the Internet. So, this will happen or will begin happening on October the 1ˢᵗ.

We also passed a resolution on the NomCom leadership, which we have heard just recently from Nadira. We made some other resolutions on consent agenda that I encourage you to have a look at our board meeting minutes that have already been published as far as I can tell and I will provide you with the links as soon as I have them.

As I said, we had a very fruitful workshop in Brussels and we look forward to continue to work with you all in Barcelona. I, of course, would like to thank you again for having me here. If you have any questions, I am of course open to any questions that you may have. Thank you very much and thank you for the birthday wishes, Maureen. Thank you to all. What best way of beginning my birthday than being here with the APRALO community. Thank you very much.

SATISH BABU:           Thank you, Leon. Happy birthday from all of us here.

MAUREEN HILYARD:       Oh, happy birthday!

SATISH BABU:           And thanks for the board update and the KSK rollover update. We look forward to more information on this and thanks again. Are there any questions for Leon? If you have any questions, of course, you know where to find him. He's always available on e-mail.

With that, we have come to the end of the agenda. If there are any other interventions that anyone would like to make, please raise your hand right now.

Cheryl has mentioned about a letterhead for APRALO to send our formal thanks. I will ask staff. It will be good to have that because it can then go out in the name of APRALO which is the right way to do a formal thank you note.

Liana has raised her hand. Lianna, please go ahead quickly.

LIANNA GALSTYAN:          Thank you, Satish. I would like just shortly to give an update about the global IGF which is going to be in November. So, the site for this year's Paris meeting is already launched. The address is igf2018.fr which is for France. So, [inaudible] can have a look at that and see the initial schedule.

Also, there is a project launched for the translation of that site in all the official UN six languages, among which is Chinese. So, if our Chinese colleagues would like to contribute the IGF community to that, they can contact the secretariat or just [inaudible] me and I'll send mail with the contact. That's the update I wanted to say. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:          Thanks very much, Lianna, for the update from IGF. I'm not sure [inaudible] ALAC is going to send the formal delegates from the [OND] [inaudible] committee. It's for the information of everybody.

So, I see people dropping off, so I think we will now close the call. I'd like to formally thank everybody, particularly Leon who must have been in a very odd time zone right now and having taken his time to join us all. So, thank you to all people joining this call and we will meet shortly at the next call. Thanks and bye-bye.


YESIM NAZLAR: Thank you, all. This meeting is now adjourned. Have a lovely rest of the day. Bye-bye!


**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**