From: amy.bivins@external.icann.org
To: amy.bivins@external.icann.org

Subject: [Ext] Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript from PPIRT Date: Thursday, September 6, 2018 1:01:25 PM

Amy Bivins: (9/6/2018 11:50) Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Service Provider Accreditation Program IRT call on 6 September, 2018!

steve metalitz: (11:51) Hi Amy, once again I am not seeing an option to participate via computer/microphone. Is this available or do I need to dial in?

Amy Bivins: (11:52) Hi Steve, just a moment. This was supposed to have been fixed.

Amy Bivins: (11:52) Checking with IT

Amy Bivins: (11:53) Hi Steve, is it working now?

steve metalitz: (11:53) Yes I now have option and audio is working. thanks!

Amy Bivins: (11:54) Great, thanks for letting me know! sara bockey: (11:58) Good call Steve. Thank you IT!

victoria sheckler: (12:09) agree w/ Susan

victoria sheckler: (12:12) we do not need to go back to council - instead we need to move forward without delay Mary Wong: (12:14) As Amy has noted previously, there is a specific process for when, and how, an IRT may go back to the GNSO Council (via the Council liaison). As Amy also noted, this generally needs the IRT's consensus.. Jennifer Gore: (12:20) I would just like to also state that there is no actual referene in the PP IRT materials to the Temporary Specification, however there is similar language in the PP materials.

Theo Geurts: (12:22) yes that is in it a nutshell

Theo Geurts: (12:23) @jenn agreed, but it is not the IRTs responsibillity also

Theo Geurts: (12:24) The IRT did not come up with fees, and the setup fee of 1.1 million for onboarding.

sara bockey: (12:30) Agree with DArcy 100%

Darcy Southwell: (12:31) The POLL is not confusing. Your process is confusing.

Darcy Southwell: (12:31) You have an open poll. You say speak up now or we proceed to publication. So why is there a poll at all? That's my point.

sara bockey: (12:32) It feels like staff is moving forward and this process is merely lip service to say you've checked the box. I don't think there is anything we could raise that staff would view as "consenus" to take to council Jennifer Gore: (12:32) We have the poll open and we are hoping the IRT does speak up to tell us specifically want if anything (in detail) needs to go to the council?

Jennifer Gore: (12:34) Regardless, Consensus must be reach by the IRT to take items to the Council.

Roger Carney: (12:39) +1 Steve

Theo Geurts: (12:41) We can always do an ePDP on PPSAI:)

steve metalitz: (12:44) I will also try to suggest "certification" language and circulate it on list (and or review by legal) this week re Spec 8.

victoria sheckler: (12:44) thanks steve

Jennifer Gore: (12:45) As it relates to the Poll that is currently open, I will committ that ICANN Staff will provide 100% transparency on the poll results and will communicate how these results will impact our next steps prior to moving TOWARDS Pubic Comment.

Theo Geurts: (12:46) I supported all Volker comments

Theo Geurts: (12:50) Steve could be right Theo Geurts: (12:51) Volker is right

victoria sheckler: (12:57) @theo - Steve is always right ;-)

Theo Geurts: (13:00) aha! Theo Geurts: (13:00) Lol Vicki Darcy Southwell: (13:00) Thanks. Bye.