Adobe Connect: 27

Alan Greenberg (ALAC)

Alan Woods (RYSG)

Alex Deacon (IPC)

Amr Elsadr (NCSG)

Julf Helsingius (NCSG)

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC)

Kristina Rosette (RySG)

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG) Kurt Pritz (Chair)

Ben Butler (SSAC) Laureen Kapin (GAC Alternate)

Benedict Addis (SSAC)

Collin Kurre (NCSG) Alternate)

Diane Plaut (IPC)

Emily Taylor (RrSG)

Esteban Lescano (ISPCP)

Marc Anderson (RySG)

Margie Milam (BC)

Mark Svancarek (BC)

Matt Serlin (RrSG)

Milton Mueller (NCSG)

Georgios Tselentis (GAC) Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison)

Hadia Elminiawi (ALAC) Stephanie Perrin (NCSG)
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP)

On Audio Only:

None

Apologies:

Ashley Heineman (GAC)
Farzaneh Badii (NCSG)
Leon Sanchez (ICANN Board Liaison)
Chris Disspain (ICANN Board Liaison)

Audio Cast (FOR ALTERNATES AND OBSERVERS)

Peak: 16 joined

View Only Adobe Connect:

36 joined

Staff:

Berry Cobb
Caitlin Tubergen
Daniel Halloran (ICANN Org Liaison – Legal)
Marika Konings
Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison – GDD)
Terri Agnew
Andrea Glandon

AC Chat:

Andrea Glandon:Welcome to the EPDP Team Call #13 held on Thursday, 13 September 2018 at 13:00 UTC.

Andrea Glandon: Agenda Wiki: https://community.icann.org/x/2gONBQ

Kurt Pritz:Hi. In addition to your copy of the Temporary Specification, please have handy the registration data matrices prepared by Thomas and by staff; as well as the Data Summary Index for Appendix A

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Hello all

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Hi

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Dear Distinguish Chair

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Good morning, good afternoon and good evening

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):Hi everybody Laureen informed us she might join a bit later

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Please kindly and seriously decide on the reduction of the duration of the meeting from 120 mints to 90 mints

Terri Agnew:@Georgios, thank you for this, we have Laureen noted as joining late

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison):hello all

Collin Kurre (NCSG):Hello everyone

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):Hi all.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):won't take long, you can do it in 15 minutes

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:I did nor receive an email with the credentials

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):Hi all!

Marika Konings:For the Q & A session, please make sure to add your proposed GDPR topics / questions / clarifications here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

<u>3A docs.google.com document d 1QBy9YhdoaQ2yBmPicUSJai9YaNPZWrRywFJVIJAF-5FMs edit-</u>3Fusp-

<u>3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqrCYH</u> o rKms9SFxlmbYEJqG-

y91&m=5AUTs0gKMZee3iFXRa3OKXPczKd1sZ5A0Q_k9DKanTo&s=34ATIk5VCuwUASvKBbZQt1R35IACPgt wTf MsQOXG6o&e=

Marika Konings:@Hadia, please see response from Caitlin with regards to the email address to which the credentials were sent.

Terri Agnew:@Hadia, Caitlin will be following up with you via email.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Kurt ,I sent you some comments on Mediator, pls kindly consider that and take it on board

Marc Anderson (RySG):Just to note Alan Greenberg (putting him on the spot) has chaired 3 in person meetings for the RDS2 RT - If you haven't already, I suggest leveraging his experience to help in planning. Margie Milam (BC):+I Marc

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): I have asked secretarait to assist me to deblock the course introduction. pls call me back at appropriate time to assist

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): the NCSG has expertise in this area too and is happy to be involved in F2F meeting planning

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Any of us has such experience and better that all be involved in the planning Alex Deacon - IPC:Just to confirm - is the chart we are talking about named "Data Elements matrix - updated - 5 Sept 2018.xlsx"?

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): I think so @Alex

Marika Konings:@Alex - correct

Marika Konings:To zoom in, please use the plus sign

Alex Deacon - IPC:Thanks.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):plus doesn't seem to work for me in the browser

Marika Konings: Julf, you may want to download it from

here: https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/93127642/Data%20Elements%20Matrix%20
-%20updated%20-%205%20Sept%202018.xlsx?version=1&modificationDate=1536785512000&api=v2

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Thanks, Marika!

Matt Serlin (RrSG):thanks Marika!

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): Ihear some distortion

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): I also hear some echo

Alex Deacon - IPC:If column B is only related to the contract between the registrant and the registrar we should make that explicit in the heading.

Kristina Rosette (RySG):For clarity, are we talking now about only charter question b1?

Terri Agnew:@Kavouss, we are checking your line

Kristina Rosette (RySG):never mind. sounds like we're talking about charter b2.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Hadia: your microphone is adjusted too loud, it distorts badly because of clipping Alan Woods (RYSG):I'm not experiencing this i'm afraid.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): I hear Thomas pretty clearly.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):me neither

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):someone has mic open

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):i can hear him

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Do you have headphones

Terri Agnew:@Thomas, we have number and dialing out

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Echo is continuing Thomas. pls ask the operaotr to dial you up

Marika Konings:and Thomas is correct, the intention was that the matrix would be further broken down as deliberations progress but that the initial focus would be on the data elements collected by the registrar from the registrant for registrar specific purposes.

Marika Konings:collected by the registrar, or generated by the registrar as some of these data elements are provided by the registrar (e.g. registrar IANA ID)

Emily Taylor (RrSG): Agree with what James said

Emily Taylor (RrSG):+1 to what Benedict is saying.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): The RAA may or may not be legal under GDPR

Milton Mueller (NCSG):It cannot be used as our benchmark

Benedict Addis - SSAC:+1 Milton

James Bladel (RrSG):Margie -that wasn't the question on the table. The question was the purpose for executing the contract between the Registrar and Registrant. ICANN isn't a party to that.

Margie Milam (BC):Disagree Alan- the registration contract with the registrant has to have the information that the RAA requires

Margie Milam (BC):i mean James

James Bladel (RrSG):Fax/Fax Ext. Email OR Telephone (not both). Organization.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Thomas - do you have a view on James's question above ^^^. This is important.

James Bladel (RrSG):margie - RAA = different contract

Emily Taylor (RrSG):@Margie - while I agree that company registers and trade mark registers are a good parallel, the big difference between them and ICANN is that most public registers tend to have a statutory basis,. This means that in comparison to GDPR you have two competing legal obligations, with the same legal status - ie that of statute. ICANN's consensus policies even if baked into a contract don't have the same status

Margie Milam (BC):see 3.7.7

Mark Svancarek (BC): I think that James is arguing that the Domain Name Registrants' Responsibilities language is not part of the contract between the registrar and registrant; I disagree

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): I guess my line has dropped. can I get another dial-out, please?

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Go ahead Thoas

Margie Milam (BC):RAA 3.7.7 requires the agreement between registrar and registrant to have that data provided

Terri Agnew:@Thomas, we can hear you

Alex Deacon - IPC:we can hear you thomas

Milton Mueller (NCSG):we can hear you now. We can even hear you typing

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):As there is a contractual relation between registrant and registrar I think

Thomas following what you said in the beginning the question is rather what sort of personal data information is necessary for this contractual relation instead of checking what is currently there

James Bladel (RrSG): Thank you Thomas. Much clearer articulation of the point I was trying to make.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): Are the data elements between rows 79 and 83 required by ICANN, and collected by registrars? I certainly don't recall ever providing these.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@Amr do you mean military ID etc?

Milton Mueller (NCSG):yes

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):@Benedict: Yup.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:These are in the Transfer

Policy: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A www.icann.org resources pages policy-2Dtransfers-2D2014-2D07-2D02-

<u>2Den&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjltyVqrCYHo_rK_ms9SFxlmbYEJqG-</u>

<u>y91&m=5AUTs0gKMZee3iFXRa3OKXPczKd1sZ5A0Q_k9DKanTo&s=K2E5R8VxhQjHKJOY4aQvq_Fvgvisuput</u> 5mL1q4eKVuw&e=

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Can be used to prove identity in the case of a dispute

James Bladel (RrSG):Benedict: That policy has also been pre-empted by the Temporary SPec/GDPR Amr Elsadr (NCSG):See them now, Benedict. Thanks for the pointer. Honestly, not as familiar with this policy as I should be. Will read up on it. Thanks again.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):so they are authorized for transfer contact? who or what is a transfer contact? Benedict Addis - SSAC:Milton, yes.

James Bladel (RrSG): Amr - don't bother. The Transfer Policy is not currenting being used.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@James, agree!

Benedict Addis - SSAC:But let's just make sure we don't throw out the baby with the bathwater

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):Still curious about it, but thanks to you both @James @Benedict.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:(ie don't throw out good policy with bad)

Milton Mueller (NCSG):but is the transfer contact the same as the (new) registrant?

Benedict Addis - SSAC:There is a Transfer Contact on both sides I believe

Milton Mueller (NCSG):is it the registrant or the registrar

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Registrant

James Bladel (RrSG):Folks here is the issue: Are we discussing the agreement between the Registrar and the Registrant in isolation? If so, then non of the RAA provisions are relevant. If we are analyzing them simultaneous, then what is the objective/value of this exercise?

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@James I'm going to ask Thomas when it's my turn

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):Point taken on coptional!

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Thomas, I HEAR CONTINUEOULY ECHO AND SQUELCH WHEN YOU SPEAKING Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Sorry for CAP

Margie Milam (BC):James -- you are forgetting that the registration agreement requires certain things as delineated in 3.7.7 of the RAA

Terri Agnew:@Kavouss, we are working on getting him connected back on the telephone, until than, he will be using his AC mic.

James Bladel (RrSG):Alan - Absolutely correct! None of this information is on the critical path to executing the domain service under the contract.

Matt Serlin (RrSG):+1 Alan

Benedict Addis - SSAC:But ... we acknowledge that ICANN has a quasi-regulatory purpose which is distinct

Emily Taylor (RrSG): Agree with what Alan said

James Bladel (RrSG):@Margie - no, not forgetting. I do not believe that its presence in the RAA "lends" legitimacy to these data elements in the contract between the Reigstrar/Registrant.

Mark Svancarek (BC): Thanks Milton

Margie Milam (BC): I guess that's where I disagree with you

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):@Milton, the registrant DOES serve to establish title, but few registrars verify that the registrant in fact has the right to use that "identity". It is largely self-identification.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):alas, seems like nothing can happen in two minutes in this group

Milton Mueller (NCSG):@Alan - i don't understand the point yoi are making. "few registrars verify that the registrant has the right to use that identity?""

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):Seems to me that the list of data elements are all those that are collected for any purpose (necessary for a registration + necessary to meet obligations with an ICANN contract)

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): I can register a domain name with a registrant of "UNited Slobs of America". That is then the Registrant. Period.

James Bladel (RrSG):Lowering my hand, Benedict has raised my point/concern exactly

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Ta James, you probably would have done it in a less annoying way:)

Milton Mueller (NCSG):A registrant cannot execute a contract to provide a domain name registration unless that domain is coordinated at the second level (TLD registry) and the root level (ICANN)

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Sorry, I meant REGISTRAR cannot...

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): The data we are discussing are to be used in the RA and RAA OR

Emily Taylor (RrSG):Lowering my hand in the interests of time.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):THE DATA TO BE PROVIDED BY REGISTRANR OR

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@Thomas I still disagree fundamentally. Contractual performance only applies to contracts to which the data subject **is party**. It cannot be relied upon by ICANN in determining lawful basis.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): I would regard escrow as a necessary processing to ensure the rights of the registrant in registering a domain.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):So I would argue for putting that stake in the ground

Margie Milam (BC):yes-- I agree with you Stephanie

Milton Mueller (NCSG):I would ask James and Benedict a question: if you don't think Thomas's method allows us to determine what data elements have a legal basis, what method do you propose as an alternatuve?

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):dISTORTED SIGNAL

Mark Svancarek (BC):booming

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): Ihear distortion pls do thomas Did

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):distorted signal

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): Hadia: your microphone level is set to too loud

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): Ihear distortion

James Bladel (RrSG):Try whispering?

James Bladel (RrSG):Blowing out speakers over here.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): She was saying that none of the date elements are required

Georgios Tselentis (GAC): When Registrars say that none of those data is necessary to perform the contractual relation between registrar and registrant how is the identification of the contractual parties possible in case of breach of those obligations?

Milton Mueller (NCSG):this is not true

Milton Mueller (NCSG):George makes a good point

Milton Mueller (NCSG):no Alan is wrong about this

James Bladel (RrSG):Milton - Alan is correct that WHOIS/RDS is not necessary to provide the service and make the domain name resolve. This information is collected entirely for use by third parties.

Collin Kurre (NCSG):I'm not sure it's constructive to look retroactively at the reasons that WHOIS was established

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Publishing WHOIS is only one processing. We have been told pretty clearly that there is no need to publish all this data. It is time to stop commencing discussion of permissible processing with a published directory.

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): The linking is done for third parties, not the registrar.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):I doubt that we need telephone, fax and e-mail at the same time nor we need birth certificate which could be replaced by date of birth .Similarly we do not need pas no. and national identification number at the same timev

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:The way this exercise was explained makes it a really simple one, so if we are not to include in this discussion any of the contractual obligations with ICANN, then if the registrars present with us today say we don't need any of this data we are done with the exercise

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):IN answer to George's question....the registrar takes the responsability for contacting the client in the case of breach, as they do for instance in proxy services.

James Bladel (RrSG):phone should be optional. Let's move on...

Benedict Addis - SSAC: Phone is important from my point of view, even if fake

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): IMportant to remember that we are talking about collection here.

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): We need all three for those who wish to be contacted in that mode.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): Fax is being disappeared in few years . Only e-mail should remain

Mark Svancarek (BC): Milton, very nice summary

Mark Svancarek (BC):Let us ask the chair if we can allocate additional time

Mark Svancarek (BC):today

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Milton +1

James Bladel (RrSG):Benedict: Is that an SSAC point of view, or as a necessary elelment to fulfilling the contract? Remember, we are focused on the latter

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):This is the core discussion let's go on

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Yes, we are finally talking essential things

Terri Agnew: finding the line

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):Debating the existential benefits of a fax number is NOT something that needs to be on our critical path!

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@James. Depends. In a simplistic view of the registrar-registrant contract, no. Speaking of the full contractual flow between ICANN-Registry-Registrar-(Reseller) under which the contracted parties are deemed as joint controllers and ICANN acts as a quasi-regulator... the phone number is an important data element as it allows correlation. And yes that is broadly an SSAC view.

Margie Milam (BC):can you repeat

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Benedict = any data element would allow correlation. Hair color. Mother's maiden name. Can you think of a better reason?

Mark Svancarek (BC):Yay!

Collin Kurre (NCSG)::)

Matt Serlin (RrSG):we've got agreement on something...end the call!!!!

Milton Mueller (NCSG)::-)

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Noting that legal persons still have human rights that must be protected under GDPR and the Charter

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):NO. Registrars have made it clear that people use Org name for purposes other than indicating legal/natural status.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@Stephanie huh?

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): May I remind this group that different groups have different number of people as members. Counting ticks is NOT a valid way to make decisions.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): would making phone number optional too create more consensus?

Collin Kurre (NCSG):Sorry, what do we mean by "removed" and "dropped"?

Alex Deacon - IPC:if its optional its fine.

Mark Svancarek (BC):if optional its fine

James Bladel (RrSG):Clearly if we vote to drop phone, we drop Phone Ext as well

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):keep as optional

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):declaring legal person status does not remove the privacy rights of individuals working for the company, nor vitiate the human rights of groups who are vulnerable....(e.g. religious groups, political groups, endangered associations)

Alex Deacon - IPC:optional fax is fine.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:fax does not really matter

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Hadia - so vote X!

Milton Mueller (NCSG): Well Fax ext depends on status of fax

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@Stephanie I understand. But when we talk of legal persons, I thought we are not speaking of individuals - even in their role working for an org - but organisations *themselves* as registrant.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Sorry, clumsy fingers

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:@Benedict what I meant is whether we decide to keep it or delete it - it does not really matter

Benedict Addis - SSAC:OK:)

Margie Milam (BC):Intend to call

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):@James: +1

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):Good suggestion.

Alex Deacon - IPC:@james - we call those numbrers but remember we are not talking about redaction yet.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Collected does not necessarily mean pubished, James

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):@mILTON +1

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:but I think that the phone is important - the fax is becoming an obsolete means of communication

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Milton +1

James Bladel (RrSG):I thnk most fields (aside from name,etc) should make the distinction between contact vs. atribution

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Yes James - but not yet!

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):@Alex, @Milton: True, but being specific on the purpose of collection at this point is relevant. Agree that we're not at disclosure yet.

James Bladel (RrSG):Contact means access to one or a few records. Attribution requires access to bulk data

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@James - or hashed versions thereof

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Benedict, the issue arises when employee phone or emails are listed as contact numbers, in a jurisdiction which protects employee rights.

James Bladel (RrSG): Agree with Kavouss. Either/Or. ANd the RAA supports this paradigm

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):@Alan, we have balanced participation in this EPDP.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Alan +1

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):+1 Alan

Alex Deacon - IPC:FWIW we call registrant's all the time...it is part of the investigation process.

Collin Kurre (NCSG):This is why members were encouraged to give their rationale for answering yes or no. I find the distinction between contact and attribution really useful in progressing this conversation. Taking the concrete data points as a starting point also keeps this conversation from getting too theoretical.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):@Collin: +1

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):Yes Thomas but please your question of whether we keep or not the data should be linked to the purpose

Margie Milam (BC):+1 Alex -- we do too - for IP enforcments and cybersecurity issues

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):it cannot be just counting + and -

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): I DISAGREE TO ABONNDON DISCUSSING RATIONALE AND RECOURSING TO VOTING

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):sORRY AGAIN FOR cap

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): the red and green checkmarks were only intended as a rough proxy to see where we were, not as anything definitive

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:+1 Alex and Margie, however we were told not to refer to contractual obligations other than what the registrars think they need

Alex Deacon - IPC:@hadia - i was just responding to the question posed by James.

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):@Ayden if I do not know why the specific data should be removed I do not get any wiser

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):one contact point is what is required.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:@Alex I know

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC::)

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): Why are we discussing elimination of elements BEFORE we discuss whether there is a rationale for needing it.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):@Georgios; we will return to the conversation I'm sure, it's just a rough test to see where we are at the moment

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Large organizations may wish to offer more focused contact points.

Alex Deacon - IPC:we are just talking about performance of the registrar-registrant contract.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):e-mail may be multiple e-mail address

James Bladel (RrSG):anyone here?

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):multiple emails being optional is one thing, but only one should be mandatory...

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):(in my view)

Alex Deacon - IPC:I believe we discussed the possibility of multiple email's in the RDS WG. Not sure if there was rough consensus to that or not...so long ago.

Diane Plaut (IPC):To James point, agree; we beleive that with data minimization principles in mind, the tech and admin can be optional but that there should be an alternative contact that is different from the registratn so that if the registrant contact is no longer active or valid there is a means to communicate with the registrant via the alternative.

Mark Svancarek (BC):+Alan

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@Alex - I disagree. We need to interpret these as data processed for purposes of joint controllers, distinct from the performance of any particular contract.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:(reply was to your previous point about performance of contract)

Alex Deacon - IPC:+benedict - it was a bad job of trying to clarify something Thomas said at the time.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):+1 @Alan W, good to understand what the necessity first

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): Also agree with Alan W.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):Thanks, Thomas.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):I am very concerned about ICANN mandating that any 'optional' fields be present. Slippery slope to inducing registrants to consent to data collection and processing activities that are not in their best interest. Both marketing practitioners and consumer protection advocates would agree, I am sure, that consent is susceptible to manipulation.

Alan Woods (RYSG):thank you Thomas!

Diane Plaut (IPC):Benedict agree, this goes to the idea that the simultaneous evaluation of contracts and joint controller roles makes sense, but I appreciate Thomas's efforts today and the movements made.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:Thank you Thomas

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):It would perhaps be useful to dig out the list of agreed thin data we arrived at on the RDS group. Would it be possible to ask staff to dig that out?

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):Yes Stephanie and if there is also background on the rational

James Bladel (RrSG):Not sure what I just "voluntold" to do, but I'm willing to help. :)

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):I believe there was some rationale provided along with preferences on what data elements should be collected, and which ones shouldn't on the RDS PDP. Don't recall if this was limited to thin data/MPDS or both thin and thick.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):@Marika: Yes, I believe it would be helpful.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:@Marika - agree

Marika Konings:some of the issues are also closely related to the discussion on the data elements

Alex Deacon - IPC:Yes - Thanks very much Thomas!

James Bladel (RrSG):Yes, thank you Thomas & Benedict

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):thanks for doing that Thomas

Diane Plaut (IPC): Thank you, Thomas for your diligence.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:Thank you Thomas and all

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:thank you Benedict

Benedict Addis - SSAC:@Marika the processing of data elements mostly relies on 6 (1) b (contractual performance). I'd argue that collection of Admin & Tech contacts depends on 6 (1) a: consent.

Diane Plaut (IPC):and Benedict for your work and sound reasoning.

Benedict Addis - SSAC:Thanks all, you're very sweet really.

James Bladel (RrSG):"In conclusion, I believe Carthage must be destroyed!"

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):thanks Kurt, thanks all

Matt Serlin (RrSG):thanks all

Amr Elsadr (NCSG):Thanks all. Bye.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Thank you all

Georgios Tselentis (GAC): Thank you all bye

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:bye

Mark Svancarek (BC):bye

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison):thanks all