

SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report
Plenary Call #45
27 September 2018 – 14:00 – 15:00 UTC

Review Team Members: Alain Aina, Mr Matogoro, Ram Krishna, Russ Housley, Eric Osterweil, Kerry Barrett, Laurin Weissinger, Naveed Bin Rais, Norm Richie, Žarko Kecic, Denise Michel, KC Claffy

Apologies
Boban Krsic

Observers
None

ICANN Org
Jennifer Bryce, Negar Farzinnia, Steve Conte, Brenda Brewer

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki at: <https://community.icann.org/x/EwKNBQ>

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

Roll call and apologies. See recorded attendance above. No SOI updates provided.

2. Barcelona - updates from staff and team members on any outstanding issues

Russ asked team members to advise if they had not yet heard from ICANN travel. No team member raised an outstanding issue. Staff referenced the question regarding the SSR2 engagement session raised by GNSO council leadership and sent via email. Staff advised they are working with meetings team to see if there is a different time for the session.

3. SSR1 Recommendations

a. Review of recommendations

The discussion was guided by the document shared with Review Team via email [here](#).

Recommendation 5: Discussion topics included potentially establishing a protocol for consistently measuring and assessing implementation, the degree to which the team should assess implementation, and how its assessment should be recorded in the draft report.

b. Next steps for handling recommendations

Russ proposed that going forward, team members should each volunteer to prepare a strawman response for a recommendation, for the team's consideration. If team members don't volunteer for a recommendation the team will assign a recommendation to them.

Action item: Staff to prepare a Doodle poll of outstanding SSR1 recommendations.

Action item: Review Team members to select which recommendations they wish to take the lead on developing a strawman proposal for.

4. AOB

Denise raised that there are 30+ questions regarding SSR1 implementation that have not yet been answered by staff, and asked that estimated delivery dates and answers be provided expeditiously.

5. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Staff read out recorded action items and decisions.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes