

Adobe Connect Chat Transcript
SSR2 Plenary #45 Call – 27 September 2018

Brenda Brewer: (9/27/2018 08:49) Good day all! Welcome to SSR2 Plenary Call #45 on 27 September 2018 @ 14:00 UTC.

Brenda Brewer: (08:49) Please note this meeting will be recorded. When not speaking, mute your phones by pressing *6. Press *6 to unmute. Thank you.

Matogoro: (08:56) Hi All, Goodmorning/Good evening

Ram Krishna: (08:56) Hi Everyone Good Evening / Good Afternoon / Good Morning

Matogoro: (08:57) Hell Ram, hope you are doing fine in Nepal

Ram Krishna: (08:59) Hi Matogoro, I am good hope you also doing good

Steve Conte: (08:59) @Brenda: The 1120 dial in is Russ

Brenda Brewer: (09:03) Thanks, Steve.

Naveed: (09:07) Hi all

Naveed: (09:08) and Happy birthday Steve

Zarko: (09:08) Hi all

Steve Conte: (09:08) Thanks Naveed :)

KerryB: (09:09) happy happy birth day :) steve

Ram Krishna: (09:09) Happy BirthDay Steve

KerryB: (09:09) oh wow!!!!

Zarko: (09:09) Happy birthday to both

Eric Osterweil: (09:09) Birthday twins

Norm Ritchie: (09:09) Happy birthdays

KerryB: (09:09) happy birthday Russ

Steve Conte: (09:09) BCAP

Laurin: (09:09) Happy Birthday to both! :)

Ram Krishna: (09:09) Happy Birthday Russ

Laurin: (09:12) Can hardly hear!

Laurin: (09:13) Yes, same here

Eric Osterweil: (09:13) same problem

KerryB: (09:15) the only concern i had was to see if the relationship is being continually used in the SOP as of today

KerryB: (09:16) the document they shared a document on the roles and responsibility

KerryB: (09:17) and the document states it was developed in response to recomm 4

KerryB: (09:19) i think a recommendation might be that to assess the intended effect may not be done by a new team ...as a future recommendation it may be difficult and there will be other issues more current

KerryB: (09:20) exactly

Laurin: (09:20) Same idea here.

KerryB: (09:20) and this could be the subject of our comments

KerryB: (09:20) instead of trying to measure it ourselves

Eric Osterweil: (09:23) +1 to Kerry

Matogoro: (09:24) I also support that @Kerry

Eric Osterweil: (09:24) We could also find that we could not assess that a recommendation was IMPLEMENTED

KerryB: (09:24) @Laurin we can state that there is evidence of some effort was taken but its complete effectiveness would be challenging.

Naveed 2: (09:24) +1

KerryB: (09:24) keeping in mind we have to lead our own review of current issues for SSR

Eric Osterweil: (09:24) Something could be assessable, but the implementation may not be assessable

Norm Ritchie: (09:24) Nice Kerry

Norm Ritchie: (09:24) I like that

Laurin: (09:25) Yes, I agree!

Laurin: (09:25) I am wondering, do we want to agree on how we try to reach that?

KerryB: (09:25) @Russ this recommendation will fall under i think recommendations that identify issues of lack of communication when we conduct the review of our other themes

KerryB: (09:26) it may not need to be a stand alone

Laurin: (09:27) Most of them aren't properly measurable. Staff simply cannot measure this or only with lots of effort. So my question is what is our system here? 1. Check if rec can be measured/properly assessed? 2. Assess as well as we can (my question, what is the baseline for us to go from not assessable at all, to we can say something, to we can assess properly)

Laurin: (09:28) 3. Is this still relevant? (Do we rephrase the rec so it can actually be measured going forward?)

KerryB: (09:31) @Laurin 3- dont think we should try to restate the recommendation as we were not privy to all the data that led to that recommendation

KerryB: (09:31) it may be better to interpret it based on our own knowledge but restating may be a misinterpretation

KerryB: (09:32) Need to step offline for 5 minutes

Russ Housley: (09:32) Thanks for joining today

Laurin: (09:37) Yes, consistency is important, that is my main point.

Laurin: (09:38) That is why I was saying, do we want to establish a "procedure". We do not need to do that per se but we should have consistency.

KerryB: (09:38) back

KerryB: (09:39) +1 Russ

KerryB: (09:41) mic nit working

Laurin: (09:41) Youre muted Kerry!

KerryB: (09:42) did a dial out

Alain Patrick AINA: (09:43) +1 on Matogorro

Laurin: (09:43) Yes!

Laurin: (09:43) Rewriting is not necessary, just meant this as a question / option.

Matogoro: (09:44) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_news_announcement-2D3-2D2016-2D06-2D30-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=3nGrrKTKzV888dzozHuiCVyNYLD8NKcXPXdFwmaeadM&s=ehs7kwXeQnrXX9VajOO8_Upiz9fYENpdJYpA9Qcu0EM&e=

Matogoro: (09:44) our role here is

Matogoro: (09:44) Review implementation of the first SSR Review Team's recommendations as approved by the ICANN Board

Matogoro: (09:47) +1 to Eric

Laurin: (09:49) Kerry-Ann, I agree with this. A table will work well for us internally and I support that.

Laurin: (09:50) Essentially, Eric and I are saying the same thing I believe.

Laurin: (09:50) Just for the report, we will have to go back to the style that we are expected to stick to.

KerryB: (09:51) @Russ +1

Laurin: (09:51) russ +1

Matogoro: (09:51) Can we start from the previous work that was done in the previous sub-group

Alain Patrick AINA: (09:51) ++1 to Russ

Ram Krishna: (09:51) +1 Russ

KerryB: (09:54) enjoy ur birthdays!!!!!!!!!!

Denise: (09:54) happy birthday steve and russs!

Matogoro: (09:54) bye

Ram Krishna: (09:55) bye

Norm Ritchie: (09:55) bye bye and ty

Zarko: (09:55) bze