For Best Audio: Join via Telephone Using Dial-Out

Connecting via the audio bridge is always preferable to the AC audio connection.

Upon logging into Adobe Connect, a pop-up window will appear for the AC to call your phone. This preferred method will assure the best audio for the meeting.



After 2 background noise occurrences, staff will mute the offending line (either Telephone or Adobe Connect).

After two failed attempts to speak over the audio, participants will be invited to type their comments in the chat or take them to the mailing list.

PLEASE ALWAYS MUTE WHEN NOT SPEAKING! *6 to mute and *6 to unmute

For any questions, dial out requests, apologies, please email: mssi-secretariat@icann.org



SSR2 Review Team Plenary Call #44

20 September 2018



Agenda

- 1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates
 - a) DNS Root KSK rollover update
 - b) Barcelona update / RT members to advise if they are waiting on anything from travel team
- 2. Review of SSR1 recommendations
- 3. AOB
- 4. Confirm action items / decisions



Review of SSR1 Recommendations

Refer to table circulated via email



RECOMMENDATION 1: ICANN should publish a single, clear and consistent statement of its SSR remit and limited technical mission. ICANN should elicit and gain public feedback in order to reach a consensus-based statement.

What was done to implement the recommendation? Was the recommendation fully implemented?

- Public comment was taken on a <u>draft statement between May-Sept 2012</u>; it was subsequently <u>revised in Oct</u> 2012.
- The updated <u>statement</u> was published on ICANN's website and incorporated in the <u>FY 14 SSR Framework</u> and is part of SSR SOP in which SSR Framework and statement is periodically reviewed and updated as needed. This statement also has been incorporated into other ICANN documentation.
- SSR1 implementation report <u>here</u> (slides 1 3)
- FY 15-16 SSR Framework is here.
- SSR2-RT briefing slides on this recommendation <u>here</u> (slides 5 13).

Did the implementation have the intended effect? How was the assessment conducted?

Is the recommendation still relevant today? If so, what further work needed? If not, why not?

RECOMMENDATION 2: ICANN's definition and implementation of its SSR remit and limited technical mission should be reviewed in order to maintain consensus and elicit feedback from the Community. The process should be repeated on a regular basis, perhaps in conjunction with the cycle of future SSR reviews

What was done to implement the recommendation? Was the recommendation fully implemented?

- The <u>statement</u> (and <u>SSR Framework</u>) informed ICANN's <u>Strategic Plan for FY2016—2020</u>, which reflects strategic SSR objectives, goals and key success factors (KSFs) for the next five years and was result of input and review by the ICANN community, Staff and Board. SSR elements are highlighted <u>here</u>.
- This, in turn, informed the new <u>Five-Year Operating Plan</u>, which also was developed with community input and includes SSR key performance indicators (KPIs), dependencies, five-year phasing, and portfolios. SSR elements are highlighted here.
- Periodic review of the SSR Framework, including the SSR role and remit statement, are part of the SSR SOP, and also will be reviewed by the next SSR RT in 2015.
- SSR1 implementation report here (slides 4 6)
- SSR2-RT briefing slides on this recommendation <u>here</u> (slides 4 29).

Did the implementation have the intended effect? How was the assessment conducted?

Is the recommendation still relevant today? If so, what further work needed? If not, why not?

RECOMMENDATION 3: Once ICANN issues a consensus-based statement of its SSR remit and limited technical mission, ICANN should utilize consistent terminology and descriptions of this statement in all materials.

What was done to implement the recommendation? Was the recommendation fully implemented?

- Consistent <u>terminology and descriptions</u> related to ICANN's SSR role and remit have been publicized and are encouraged in all ICANN material.
- Key terms are added to ICANN's public glossary on an ongoing basis as part of SOP.
- As SSR activities evolve, terminology and descriptions will be updated as part of SOP.
- Document of definitions across ICANN org available <u>here</u> (provided to RT in March 2017).

• SSR1 implementation report <u>here</u> (slides 7 - 9)

Did the implementation have the intended effect? How was the assessment conducted?

Is the recommendation still relevant today? If so, what further work needed? If not, why not?

RECOMMENDATION 4: ICANN should document and clearly define the nature of the SSR relationships it has within the ICANN Community in order to provide a single focal point for understanding the interdependencies between organizations.

What was done to implement the recommendation? Was the recommendation fully implemented?

- (Phase I) Many of ICANN's SSR relationships have been <u>defined and publicized</u>. As part of OCTO SSR Team SOP, this work will be <u>updated periodically</u> to keep pace with SSR activities. Memorandums of Understanding that indicate roles and responsibilities relevant to SSR have been signed with numerous entities; the list is posted <u>here</u> and will be updated as part of SOP, as needed.
- (Phase II) Extract and catalogue SSR-related elements of MOUs; Provide additional detail on formal
 relationships ICANN has with key organizations. This includes: 1) noting the "relationship," covering
 informal and formal arrangements; 2) documenting that some relationships are sensitive (not disclosed) and
 noting the industry best practices and conventions that are used to address this lack of disclosure.
- ICANN Security Awareness Resource Locator Developed All stakeholders should learn how to protect themselves, their families, or their organizations against online threats. The resources on this page can help consumers, business or IT professionals avoid online threats or harm and make informed choices regarding (personal) data disclosure or protection.
- The document tracking ICANN SSR related roles and responsibilities has been completed and posted at https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/files/ssr-relationships-fy17-23jan17-en.pdf
- SSR1 implementation report here (slides 10 12)
- SSR2-RT briefing on this recommendation <u>here</u> (slides 23 27).

Did the implementation have the intended effect? How was the assessment conducted?

Is the recommendation still relevant today? If so, what further work needed? If not, why not?

RECOMMENDATION 5: ICANN should use the definition of its SSR relationships to maintain effective working arrangements and to demonstrate how these relationships are utilized to achieve each SSR goal.

What was done to implement the recommendation? Was the recommendation fully implemented?

- (Phase I) Reporting on ICANN's progress toward SSR-related KSFs and KPIs involving SSR relationships is SOP, and can be found in ICANN's regular project management reporting, operating plans, <u>SSR Framework</u>, and SSR quarterly reports.
- (Phase II) Next SSR Framework/report on SSR activities will include information on how key relationships noted in Recommendation 4 are used to achieve SSR goals (as part of SOP).
- The document tracking ICANN SSR related roles and responsibilities has been completed and posted at https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/ssr-relationships-fy17-23jan17-en.pdf
- SSR1 implementation report <u>here</u> (slides 13 15)
- SSR2- RT briefing on this recommendation here (slides 23 27).

Did the implementation have the intended effect? How was the assessment conducted?

Is the recommendation still relevant today? If so, what further work needed? If not, why not?

AOB Confirm action items / decisions reached

