

**Subject:** Re: [GNSO-RPM-WG] REMINDER: Agenda and Materials for 12 October Working Group Meeting 1700 UTC  
**Date:** Thursday, October 18, 2018 at 11:41:51 PM Central European Summer Time  
**From:** GNSO-RPM-WG on behalf of Griffin Barnett  
**To:** Corwin, Philip, Julie Hedlund, gnso-rpm-wg@icann.org  
**Attachments:** image001.png, image002.png, image004.png, ATT00001.txt

Hi all,

Following up on my prior correspondence below, I wanted to note that I have discussed the potential consolidation of proposals #9 and #10 with David McAuley and members of our group, and we have reached an agreed-upon “merged” version of these proposals to be included in the Initial Report in place of current Proposals #9 and #10. The revised formulation is as follows:

**Proposal: Eliminate the existing post-default *de novo* review period and instead replace the current URS appeal filing period to 60 days, with the possibility of obtaining an additional 30 days to file a URS appeal as a matter of right, upon request within the initial 60 day filing period.**

The rationale and other supporting material from proposals #9 and #10 still generally apply to this reformulated/merged version so I didn’t think it was necessary to rehash that material here, but let me know if it would be helpful to do so.

Given that proposals #9 and #10 independently garnered “adequate support” as noted in the summary chart, I am hopeful that we can consider this merged version of the proposals to also have this level of support, by extension, for inclusion in the Initial Report. That said, happy to hear thoughts and feedback.

Thank you,

Griffin



**Griffin M. Barnett**  
Associate  
Winterfeldt IP Group  
[1200 17<sup>th</sup> St NW, Ste 501](https://www.winterfeldt.com)  
Washington, DC 20036  
[griffin@winterfeldt.law](mailto:griffin@winterfeldt.law)  
+1 202 759 5836

---

**From:** GNSO-RPM-WG [mailto:gns0-rpm-wg-bounces@icann.org] **On Behalf Of** Griffin Barnett  
**Sent:** Friday, October 12, 2018 11:18 AM  
**To:** Corwin, Philip <pcorwin@verisign.com>; julie.hedlund@icann.org; gns0-rpm-wg@icann.org  
**Subject:** Re: [GNSO-RPM-WG] REMINDER: Agenda and Materials for 12 October Working Group Meeting 1700 UTC

Hi all,

We will not be in a position to present on today's call, but I wanted to let you know that our group (Winterfeldt et al.) and Marie Pattullo from AIM have agreed to merge certain proposals that are co-extensive, namely:

- Proposals #21 (AIM) and #22 (Winterfeldt et al.)
- Proposals #17 (AIM) and #16 (Winterfeldt et al.)
- Proposals #14 (AIM) and #15 (Winterfeldt et al.)

David McAuley and our group have also agreed to work together to try and consolidate our similar proposals concerning the post-default *de novo* review period (#9 (D. McAuley) and #10 (Winterfeldt et al.)). Again, nothing ready to present today though.

We hope to circulate merged versions of these proposals soon.

Best regards,

Griffin



**Griffin M. Barnett**  
Associate  
Winterfeldt IP Group  
1200 17<sup>th</sup> St NW, Ste 501  
Washington, DC 20036  
[griffin@winterfeldt.law](mailto:griffin@winterfeldt.law)  
+1 202 759 5836

---

**From:** gns0-rpm-wg [mailto:gns0-rpm-wg-bounces@icann.org] **On Behalf Of** Corwin, Philip via gns0-rpm-wg  
**Sent:** Friday, October 12, 2018 10:42 AM  
**To:** [julie.hedlund@icann.org](mailto:julie.hedlund@icann.org); [gns0-rpm-wg@icann.org](mailto:gns0-rpm-wg@icann.org)  
**Subject:** Re: [gns0-rpm-wg] REMINDER: Agenda and Materials for 12 October Working Group Meeting 1700

UTC

I'll be chairing today's call.

Does any WG member plan to present a revised proposal on the call after we get through George's remaining four?

Thank you and talk with many of you soon.

Philip S. Corwin  
Policy Counsel  
VeriSign, Inc.  
12061 Bluemont Way  
Reston, VA 20190  
703-948-4648/Direct  
571-342-7489/Cell

*"Luck is the residue of design" -- Branch Rickey*

---

**From:** gnso-rpm-wg [<mailto:gnso-rpm-wg-bounces@icann.org>] **On Behalf Of** Julie Hedlund  
**Sent:** Friday, October 12, 2018 9:16 AM  
**To:** [gnso-rpm-wg@icann.org](mailto:gnso-rpm-wg@icann.org)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] [gnso-rpm-wg] REMINDER: Agenda and Materials for 12 October Working Group Meeting 1700 UTC

Dear RPM PDP Working Group members,

On behalf of the Co-Chairs, please see the draft agenda below for the Working Group meeting on **Friday, 12 October at 1700 UTC for 120 minutes (meeting may not require the full 120 minutes)**.

Per [Co-Chairs' Proposed Procedure](#), for this next meeting, the Working Group will continue discussion of the Individual URS Proposals. According to the timeline published on the [wiki](#) the order of the presentation is as follows. WG members are requested to review the presentations prior to Wednesday's meeting.

1. George Kirikos (#23): <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/93126760/URS-Proposal-23.pdf?api=v2>
2. George Kirikos (#32): <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/93126760/URS-Proposal-32.pdf?api=v2>
3. George Kirikos (#33): <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/93126760/URS-Proposal-33.pdf?api=v2>
4. George Kirikos and Zak Muscovitch (#34): <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/93126760/URS-Proposal-34.pdf?api=v2>

***NOTE FOR WG MEMBERS REVISING PROPOSALS: If WG members have revised/combined proposals the Co-Chairs request that they send them to the WG list as soon as possible and be ready to present them during the meeting on Friday, 12 October.***

Staff have updated the numberings displayed on the proposals to be consistent with the numberings on the wiki. The wiki page also includes a document that consolidates all URS proposals from individual members to facilitate viewing/reference.

Per the procedure, please also note the following time requirements for the presentations:

- When a proposal is up for discussion, its proponent will be accorded a maximum of **five (5) minutes** to orally present the proposal, rationale, and supporting evidence.
- The floor will then be open to other Working Group members to comment on the proposal for a maximum of **two (2) minutes each**, with total discussion limited to **twenty (20) minutes**. However, if there is exceptionally high interest in a topic, the Co-Chairs would have discretion to increase the discussion time.
- At the end of twenty (20) minutes, or when there are no more commenters in queue, the proponent will have up to **four (4) minutes** to respond and/or propose a modification of the proposal based upon the discussion.

Depending on the actual progress during the Wednesday meeting, if a proposal cannot be presented due to time limitation, the presentation should be deferred to the meeting on 12 October.

Draft Agenda:

1. Review Agenda/Statements of Interest Updates
2. Discussion of Individual URS Proposals (See: [wiki](#))
3. ICANN63 Schedule (see attached)
4. AOB

Best,

Mary, Ariel, Berry, and Julie

On Behalf of the RPM PDP WG Co-Chairs