Work Track 5 Meeting

Work Track 5 Co-Leaders: Olga Cavalli (GAC), Annebeth Lange (ccNSO), Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC), Martin Sutton (GNSO)

19 September 2018

Agenda

1. Welcome/Agenda Review/SOI Updates (5 mins)
2. Terms Requiring Letter of Support/Non-Objection in the 2012 AGB (65 mins)
3. Next Steps and Revised Work Plan (15 mins)
4. AOB (5 mins)

Welcome/Agenda Review/SOI Updates

Agenda Item #1

Terms Requiring Letter of Support/Non-Objection in the 2012 AGB

Agenda Item #2

AGB Section 2.2.1.4.2

Review: In the 2012 Applicant Guidebook the following strings were considered **geographic names** and were **required to be accompanied by documentation of support or non-objection from the relevant governments or public authorities** (note this is a summary):

- An application for any string that is a representation, in any language, of the ***capital city name*** of any country or territory listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard.
- An application for a ***city name***, where the applicant declares that it intends to use the gTLD for purposes associated with the city name.
- An application for any string that is an exact match of a ***sub-national place name***, such as a county, province, or state, listed in the ISO 3166-2 standard.
- An application for a string listed as a **UNESCO region** or appearing on the "**Composition of macro geographical (continental) regions, geographical sub-regions, and selected economic and other groupings**" list. (documentation of support required from at least 60% of national governments in the region, and there may be no more than one written statement of objection.)

Finding Areas of Agreement for the Initial Report (1/2)

- Some members have expressed that they either support or can accept the "status quo" of the 2012 AGB for **capital city names**.
- Some members have expressed that they either support or can accept the "status quo" of the 2012 AGB for **sub-national place names**.
- Some members have expressed that they either support or can accept the "status quo" of the 2012 AGB for **UNESCO regions or places on the "Composition of macro geographical (continental) regions, geographical sub-regions, and selected economic and other groupings"** list.

  - Can the group accept including the "status quo" for these categories as preliminary recommendations in the Initial Report? Note that these preliminary recommendations can be changed for the Final Report based on public comment and further deliberations.
  - Alternatives: Include no preliminary recommendations in the Initial Report and only include questions for community input on these categories. Include preliminary recommendations AND questions.

Finding Areas of Agreement for the Initial Report (2/2)

- Some members have expressed that they either support or can accept the "status quo" of the 2012 AGB for **non-capital city names**.
- Other members have expressed that current restrictions/requirements are too restrictive or not restrictive enough.
- Several members have put forward proposals about non-capital city names that are included in the working document.
  - It can be argued that the "status quo" already represents a compromise solution. Can the group accept including the "status quo" for this category as preliminary recommendation(s) in the Initial Report? Note that these preliminary recommendation(s) can be changed for the Final Report based on public comment and further deliberations.
  - In addition, the leadership team suggests including the proposals submitted in the Initial Report as options for community feedback. Are there any concerns about this approach? Should any of the proposals in the Working Document be eliminated from further consideration at this stage?

Next Steps and Revised Work Plan

Agenda Item #3

Initial Report – Next Steps

- The leadership team anticipates that the Initial Report will have a similar structure to the Initial Report of the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP WG:

  (a) What is the relevant 2007 policy and/or implementation guidance (if any)?

  (b) How was it implemented in the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program?

  (c) What are the preliminary recommendations and/or implementation guidelines?

  (d) What are the options under consideration, along with the associated benefits / drawbacks?

  (e) What specific questions are the PDP WG seeking feedback on?

  (f) Deliberations

  (g) Are there other activities in the community that may serve as a dependency or future input to this topic?

- Draft text for sections (c), (d), and (e) will soon be shared with the WT for review. Please note that this will not be a complete report. Details about the perspectives and positions expressed by Work Track members will be captured in section (f) Deliberations, which will be shared later.

Date	Topic	Deliverables
19 September 2018 (WT5 Meeting)	Part I: Wrap-up discussion on geographic names included in section 2.2.1.4.2 of the 2012 AGB (Geographic Names Requiring Government Support), with a focus on determining how WT deliberations and outcomes on this topic should be reflected in the Initial Report.	Recommendations and/or options and/or questions for community input to be included in the Initial Report.
3 October 2018 (WT5 Meeting)	Part II: Wrap-up discussion on geographic names included in section 2.2.1.4.2 of the 2012 AGB (Geographic Names Requiring Government Support), with a focus on determining how WT deliberations and outcomes on this topic should be reflected in the Initial Report.	Adjust draft recommendations and/or options and/or questions for community input as needed.
10 October 2018	Leadership Team shares draft Initial Report with WT for discussion at ICANN63.	
20 October 2018 (WT5 F2F Meeting)	ICANN63 discussion including feedback on the Initial Report.	
7 November 2018 (WT5 Meeting)	Discuss feedback on Initial Report, by section.	Revisions to Initial Report.
14 November 2018 (WT5 Additional Meeting)	Discuss feedback on Initial Report, by section.	Revisions to Initial Report.
20 November 2018	Publish Initial Report for public comment (public comment closes early 2019).	

Any Other Business

Agenda Item #4