

Attendance - 36 Members

Adrian Carballo - LACRALO

Alberto Soto

Alfredo Calderon

Ann Cathrin Marcussen

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO

Ashley Heineman

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair)

Chris Casavale - IPC

Christopher Wilkinson

David McAuley

Dessalegn Yehuala

Dev Anand Teelucksingh

Harold Arcos

Jaap Akkerhuis

Javier Rua-Jovet

Jess Hooper

John Rodriguez

Kavouss Arasteh

Marita Moll

Martin Sutton (WT5 co-lead)

Matthew Johnson

Maureen Hilyard

Olga Cavalli - Co lead GAC

Paul McGrady

Phil Marano

Robin Gross

Rosalía Morales

Sophie Hey

Susan Anthony

Timothy Kwadwo Asiedu

On audio only: Vernatius Ezeama, Harold Arcos, Daniel Anthony, Taylor Bentley, Juan Manuel Rojas, Carlos Raul Gutierrez

Apologies: Katrin Ohlmer, Susan Payne, Greg Shatan, Alan Greenberg, Luca Barbero, Jorge Cancio, Jeff Neuman, Kristina Rosette

Staff: Emily Barabas, Julie Hedlund, Berry Cobb, Michael Karakash, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 5 – Geographic Names at the Top Level call on Wednesday, 19 September 2018 at 20:00 UTC

Julie Bisland: Agenda wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/chhpBQ>

Christopher Wilkinson: Julie, Martin, can hear you OK. CW

Julie Bisland: thank you, Christopher!

Martin Sutton (WT5 co-lead): hi all - we will allow a few mins for others to join

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO: Hi Cheryl, much better than usual, actually!

Martin Sutton (WT5 co-lead): Thx Julie

Paul McGrady: Hi all. I'm in London tonight and feeling pretty cheap, so will try to avoid calling in and just make my comments by chat.

Martin Sutton (WT5 co-lead): OK Paul - welcome to London :-)

David McAuley: I may have to leave a few minutes early

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): Noted David

Maureen Hilyard: Sorry I'm getting into the AC room late. I'm on phone bridge as well. Thanks

Javier Rua-Jovet: Hi all

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO: Julie, an apology from my colleague Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, her flight is delayed

Julie Bisland: thank you, Annebeth, noting that now

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO: We should not forget that 2012 AGB was the result of many years of discussions from 2007 to 2012.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): Well said Martin and Yes indeed Annebeth!

Javier Rua-Jovet:Thats right @Martin: Status quo might be a type of evidence that past consensus and past hard fought agreements werent that bad at all.

Paul McGrady 2:Can we have the specific language from the AGB RE: city names used as city names?
Rosalia Morales:+ 1 Annebeth

Paul McGrady 2:Also, is the question the AGB as written or the AGB as misapplied?

Paul McGrady 2:That is capital city names, but what is the language for the second bullet point?

David McAuley:process question, must we have 'prelim recommendations' for IR or can we simply pose questions that are supported by us to be posed for public comment?

Paul McGrady 2:What supports the second bullet point>

David McAuley:thanks Martin

Emily Barabas:An application for a city name, where the applicant declares that it intends to use the gTLD for purposes associated with the city name.City names present challenges because city names may also be generic terms or brand names, and in many cases city names are not unique. Unlike other types of geographic names, there are no established lists that can be used as objective references in the evaluation process. Thus, city names are not universally protected. However, the process does provide a means for cities and applicants to work together where desired.An application for a city name will be subject to the geographic names requirements (i.e., will require documentation of support or non-objection from the relevant governments or public authorities) if:(a) It is clear from applicant statements within the application that the applicant will use the TLD primarily for purposes associated with the city name; and(b) The applied-for string i

Emily Barabas:(b) The applied-for string is a city name as listed on official city documents.

Javier Rua-Jovet:Hello Christopher.

Paul McGrady 2:dialing in

Emily Barabas:@Paul, this is the text in the 2012 AGB regarding non-capital city names

Paul McGrady 2:Thanks Emily!

Javier Rua-Jovet:Thanks for comment @Christopher.

Javier Rua-Jovet:Thx Emily!

Emily Barabas:@Paul let us know if you would like a dial out

Marita Moll:Yes, I agree with Christopher

Robin Gross:I could agree to not allowing city names or sub-national places when the intended use would misrepresent its authority over or connection to that place. That seems like a reasonable compromise that recognizes there are multiple legitimate uses of a word.

Javier Rua-Jovet:Noted @Robin!

Paul McGrady 2:Thanks Annebeth!

Javier Rua-Jovet:Thx Annebeth for clarification. We got a bit mixed up there.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Important focus for us thanks Annebeth

Emily Barabas:The following types of applied-for strings are considered geographic names and must be accompanied by documentation of support or non-objection from the relevant governments or public authorities:1. An application for any string that is a representation, in any language, of the capital city name of any country or territory listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard.

Javier Rua-Jovet:After @Dev hand up by @Annebeth

Javier Rua-Jovet:and @Emily

Emily Barabas:hand down, Martin has covered my point

Javier Rua-Jovet:Important point @Dev, Thx!

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Mine too, Emily, this will be covered later

Christopher Wilkinson:I have in the past supported official national languages + the UN languages + PT, but today I feel a consensus for 'in any language' then I withdraw. CW

Javier Rua-Jovet:welcome!

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Again, let us take point for point

Emily Barabas:@Christopher, as Martin mentioned, the different options with respect to languages will be posed as a question for community input in the Initial Report

Emily Barabas:As there are still different perspectives in the group about the path forward

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):indeed Annebeth point by point is the only way I think you will progress efficiently in this...

Javier Rua-Jovet:Thx @Robin

Marita Moll:I might be okay with Robin's concept except there is still an idea of treating very large cities differently -- names of cities 1M+

Christopher Wilkinson:'misrepresent' is subject to a range of interpretations. For now, Robin is making an effort which we should welcome. CW

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):You could offer alternatives of course as preliminary recommendations...

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@Robin, which categories did you mean to extend?

Robin Gross:I was recommending we narrow to words other than capital names.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@Robin - so for categories "below" capital city names, less protection, use the "intent" for all of those

Emily Barabas:@Robin, you are only suggesting to extending intended use provisions to sub-national place names and regions, correct?

Alberto Soto:I think, the greater or lesser number of citizens of a place, should not give greater or lesser rights...

Emily Barabas:*to extend

Paul McGrady 2:I think we should explore it.

Paul McGrady 2:Can staff try to incorporate some language that can be put to the list?

Robin Gross:Yes, Annebeth, for those categories below capital city names, we consider the narrowing to misrepresentation.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Thanks, Robin, just to get your point clear

Marita Moll:Is the intention to ignore all the discussion about protection cities of 1M+

Christopher Wilkinson:@Alberto. AGREED. CW

Robin Gross:thank you!

Alberto Soto:Thanks Chris

Maureen Hilyard:+1 Alberto

Emily Barabas:@Marita, the co-leaders are addressing one topic at a time

Javier Rua-Jovet:Gracias por comentar, @Alberto

Emily Barabas:Cities will be covered shortly

Javier Rua-Jovet:Hand up by @Christopher

Alberto Soto:De nada Javier

David McAuley:Process question again, Martin, when you say close off or cover off what does that mean? about those unable to attend this meeting – I notice among apologies a number of folks who might have opinions on these matters? I note also that Sept. 19 is a Jewish holy day and some people simply cannot be here.

Emily Barabas:@David, there will be additional opportunity to comment

Emily Barabas:on the mailing list and on calls

David McAuley:Good, thanks Emily

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@David, there will be many opportunities to comment.

David McAuley:thanks Annebeth - just to clarify - prior to IR?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):@David after this call the WT Leaders are intending to send out to everyone the initial draft text of the Initial Report proposed language for review today's discussions will be captured there as well, we are well aware of not ever "trying to settle" anything in a single call .;-)

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:We have not decided when to send out IR, David, but at one time we have to stop and go forward. And after that, it will again be opportunities to comment

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):IR - Initial Report

David McAuley:OK - thanks

Javier Rua-Jovet:If nobody is completely happy it means we are moving towards some consensus!

David McAuley:Thanks Annebeth, CLO, and Martin

David McAuley:and Emily

Marita Moll:Will the status quo mean we will have to face another Amazon problem next year?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):-) Javier

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Javier, that is the definition of consensus :-)

Kavouss Arasteh:I am disconnected

Olga Cavalli - Co lead GAC:Hi all, apologies for being late

Kavouss Arasteh:May you pls dial out me

Javier Rua-Jovet:Hola Olga!!!

Julie Bisland:yes, Kavouss, please stand by

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):So glad you were now able to connect Olga (she had tech issues before)

Olga Cavalli - Co lead GAC:Thanks Cheryl, both captured in a longer meeting and then tech issues :(

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:This is complicated, but it will be easier if we can manage to keep to the category we are discussing - one at a time

David McAuley:Thanks Emily - quite helpful

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Keep trying Annebeth, you may yet prevail..

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:-)

Emily Barabas:They are not all listed on the slides, no

Robin Gross:With respect to these non-capital city names, I would again accept the status quo with this tweak to use a misrepresentation standard.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Thanks, Robin

Olga Cavalli - Co lead GAC:Sorry for being late, @Robin which is the tweak you refer to?

Robin Gross:Olga, to prohibit uses that are misrepresentations of authority over or connection to a place.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@Robin, could you send an explanation of what you mean on the mailing list? I think non-English speakers have a little problem by understanding this

Robin Gross:I'd be happy to, Annebeth. Thanks!

Olga Cavalli - Co lead GAC:thanks Robin

Paul McGrady 2:"connection to a place" can be very broad. For example, .Chicago used to promote the musical certainly has a connection to the place but is not a misrepresentation that the registry is the city of Chicago or its police department, etc.

Robin Gross:I don't there is a misrepresentation of the connection to the place (in the example of the musical). The connection is legitimate it seems to me.

Kavouss Arasteh:Olga, pls continue using pink color

Robin Gross:I don't think there is a misrepresentation, I mean.

Paul McGrady 2:Thanks for the clarification Robin. So, it is not the connection to the place but rather a misrepresentation of a connection to the place?

Robin Gross:yes, Paul, a misrepresentation standard.

Paul McGrady 2:Thanks Robin.

Emily Barabas:@Robin, in this proposal, would support/non-objection be required for proper representation?

Kavouss Arasteh:Robin. i know where you come from .what misinterpretation you referrin toi

Steve Chan:@Robin, are you saying that unless there is misrepresentation, the names should be allowed? Is there a letter of support or non-objection needed (as Emily just asked)?

David McAuley:seems clear

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):-)

Steve Chan:@Robin, just trying to make sure we understand Robin, for inclusion in working documents.

Steve Chan:thanks Robin, i'm at least clear

Kavouss Arasteh:NO meaning at all

Kavouss Arasteh:Not everyone elibible to interpret

Paul McGrady 2:Already laws in place. If I become the registry for .Lisboa and pretend to be the Police Department of Lisboa, I'm pretty sure I would go to jail.

Emily Barabas:Robin, would support/non-objection ever be required in this proposal?

Emily Barabas:Sorry, just want to make sure I understand

Emily Barabas:for the purposes of capturing the proposal

Javier Rua-Jovet:Ok

Kavouss Arasteh:Robin, may you pls give some practical and acutual misinterpretation

David McAuley:I think it fair to wait for the first draft to make critiques about it

David McAuley:bad audio

Julie Bisland:checking for the line creating that feedback

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thx Julie

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:I don't really understand the misrepresentation issue in this connection. If you intend to represent a city, you need support/non-objection. If not, you don't need it. So where comes misrepresentation come in? I understand Robin the way that she wants to reduce the need for support/non-objection.

Olga Cavalli - Co lead GAC:I share the same doubts as Annebeth is expressing

Paul McGrady 2:I feel like it is a bit late to raise this...

Javier Rua-Jovet:Good slide

Emily Barabas:As a reminder, the Subsequent Procedures charter states "The New gTLDSubsequent Procedures PDP Working Group (WG) is tasked with calling upon the 1 https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_issues_new-2Dgtlds_pdp-2Ddec05-2Dfr-2Dparta-2D08aug07.htmcommunity&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pj6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=DmHY8lohRhWtDsx38DmZSJ-Ven9pNmG1mlSC9d8OvxM&s=YyMvS3TmIXNqoRDd-rdSnk0Hu8QYRI_fp46EkOx6-7Y&e='s

collective experiences from the 2012 New gTLD Program round to determine what, if any changes may need to be made to the existing Introduction of New Generic Top-Level Domains policy recommendations from

Emily Barabas:ns from 8 Aug

Emily Barabas:8 August 2007

Emily Barabas:Pardon the spacing - cut and paste from PDF

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thx Emily

David McAuley:I take it we have but one F2F mtg at ICANN63?

Robin Gross:To clarify, a letter would only be needed if a applicant is trying to represent themselves as having authority over the place name. If it turns out later they misrepresented, there would be post-penalties, loss of tld, etc.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@David, yes, Saturday 20th from the morning
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Yes Paul Sat AM through to after lunch
Emily Barabas:@David, it will be three consecutive sessions
David McAuley:Thanks both
Emily Barabas:@Robin, thanks for the clarification
David McAuley:Thanks Emily - starts 9am local and then to 1pm, is that right
Emily Barabas:9:00 - 13:15 local time
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Still good progress on a complex matter though people...
Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:So, Robin, it is actually the other way around? If the applicant says that they are not representing a city, therefore no support letter/non-objection, but afterwards still do? Then there should be reactions?
Robin Gross:Emily, as requested, I'll type this proposal up and send it in email to the list. I made it in our Panama break-out session also.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thanks Robin
Emily Barabas:@Robin, thank you. We will make sure it is captured.
Robin Gross:yes
David McAuley:Thanks all
Paul McGrady 2:I thought most of this call was really useful. Thanks Martin!
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Good progress today team, thanks Martin for your Lead on all this, and thanks everyone... Respond with your comments to the draft Initial Reporting Document when it is sent out of course :-)
David McAuley:Opposite day
Paul McGrady 2:Exciting Javier! But no quitting ICANNland!
Olga Cavalli - Co lead GAC:Great job Martin!! bye all
David McAuley:Bye bye
Dev Anand Teelucksingh:thanks all
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Bye for now
jaap akkerhuis:Good bigh as well
Alberto Soto:Thanks, bye bye
Christopher Wilkinson:Please leave the chat on line until we have had time to read it.
Robin Gross:thanks all, bye
Ann-Cathrin Marcussen:Bye all!