

Remaining Steps and Proposed Timeline

Date	Work Items
6 September 2018 (CCWG Meeting)	Review survey responses, discuss responses and whether there is support for eliminating one or more mechanisms from detailed consideration in the Initial Report.
Early next week (week of 10 September)	Staff to circulate draft CCWG Initial Report for review.
As soon as possible, but no later than Monday 17 September	CCWG to identify through submissions on the mailing list which sections/items of the report require further discussion / review.
18 September 2018	Leadership team to review items identified and develop call schedule to consider these items (tentative schedule hereunder).
20 September 2018 (CCWG meeting)	CCWG meeting to discuss sections / items identified.
27 September 2018 (CCWG meeting)	CCWG meeting to discuss sections / items identified.
8 October 2018 (CCWG meeting)	CCWG meeting to discuss sections / items identified.
At the latest by 8 October 2018	Publication of Initial Report for public comment.
ICANN63	Present Initial Report to Community and solicit input.

Providing Feedback on the Initial Report

- ⦿ The document will be circulated to the CCWG in MS Word and PDF formats (the latter with line numbers).
- ⦿ Please do not submit a redline of substantive items in the text, as it will be difficult to reconcile possible conflicting positions and input from many individuals. Minor edits, grammar corrections are welcome in redline format.
- ⦿ If you want to comment on a specific passage of text, please identify the page number, line number and the section, for example, page xx, line x, beginning with the text “. . .” If there is a specific text edit you would like to suggest, please include this in your email.
- ⦿ Minor edits, such as typo corrections, may not require further discussion. If you raise substantive questions or concerns about an area of the text, these will be discussed on an upcoming call, so that the CCWG may seek resolution that is supported by the group.
- ⦿ The purpose of this approach is to ensure that all questions and concerns are addressed, while also ensuring that the text of the report reflects the perspective of the full group as opposed to any one individual within the group.