Adobe Connect: 29

Alan Greenberg (ALAC) Julf Helsingius (NCSG) Alan Woods (RySG) Kavouss Arasteh (GAC) Alex Deacon (IPC) Kristina Rosette (RySG)

Amr Elsadr (NCSG) Kurt Pritz (Chair)

Ashley Heineman (GAC) Laureen Kapin (GAC Alternate) Ayden Férdeline (NCSG) Leon Sanchez (ICANN Board Liaison)

Benedict Addis (SSAC) Marc Anderson (RySG) Chris Disspain (ICANN Board Liaison) Margie Milam (BC) Diane Plaut (IPC) Mark Svancarek (BC) Emily Taylor (RrSG) Matt Serlin (RrSG) Milton Mueller (NCSG) Esteban Lescano (ISPCP)

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG) Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison) Hadia Elminiawi (ALAC) Rod Rasmussen (SSAC Alternate)

James Bladel (RrSG) Stephanie Perrin (NCSG) Thomas Rickert (ISPCP)

On Audio Only:

None

Apologies:

Ben Butler (SSAC)

Georgios Tselentis (GAC)

Audio Cast (FOR ALTERNATIVES AND OBSERVERS)

Peak: 39 joined

Staff:

Berry Cobb Caitlin Tubergen Marika Konings Mike Brennan Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison - GDD)

Terri Agnew Andrea Glandon

AC Chat:

Marika Konings: Welcome to EPDP Team meeting #4 on 14 August 2018

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page (with slides):https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-<u>3A community.icann.org x oQtpBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6s</u>Jms7xcl4l5c M&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqrCYHo_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-

y9I&m=LuMsfYaSFf5G359vsy6rQECa XlfnjJE2eOtLUPUe50&s=D5Yvx6VLEhgy9LbzpxedAQZi7n8kLM5n5hwqzLUIW4&e=

Kavouss Arasteh:Dear Marika

Kavouss Arasteh: I am here awaiting for dial up with good morning afternoon or evening

Terri Agnew:Hi Kavouss, the meeting is scheduled to start in 30 minutes. The operator will dial out in approx 20 minutes

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Hi all

Milton Mueller:Hi all,

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Hi everybody

Kristina Rosette (RySG):Greetings!

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Good crowd this morning!

Leon Sanchez:hello everyon

James Bladel:Good morning.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):Hi all!

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):Hi

Marika Konings:Please remember to mute your microphones when not speaking

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Hi every body.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): the dog ate my epdpd

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Please kindly speak slowly and clearly

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): This was discussed last week. On this same call.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): Will there be remote participation in this meeting?

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):ohhh my favorite topic, travel ban! I can talk hours about it. but since I cannot get out of the US for the moment I am pleased the meeting can happen in the US. sorry a bit selfish ... Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Yes, there will be remote participation.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): This is not really a conversation that we need to have on this call, I'm sorry.

Kurt Pritz:Let's let Kavouss make his statement with a spirit of mutual trust and respect

Rod Rasmussen (SSAC Alternate):The SSAC Annual Workshop overlaps with the planned F2F meeting. Also in LA, but this means that SSAC will likely not have any representation participating in thisEPDP F2F meeting forthe last 1.5 days.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Marika, pls speak slowly

Matt Serlin (RrSG):Fully support the RySG request here...

Emily Taylor (RrSG):+1

James Bladel (RrSG):I don't see any reason for objection to this.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): As long as alternates can't post on the chat

Margie Milam (BC): Agree with the proposal to allow alternates to see

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):I do not support this.

Ashley Heineman (GAC): It would still be very useful if alternates could use private chat

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): Why not allow members and alternates access and trust folks will play by the rules.

James Bladel (RrSG):Before we go too far on this, can we ask Staff to confirm what Adobe Connect can (and can't) do?

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): I think we should hot spend more time on this.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):not spend - sorry

James Bladel (RrSG):+1 Thomas, take offline

Amr Elsadr - NCSG: Agree with James and Thomas.

Emily Taylor (RrSG):Will just type in the chat on this. I would also like to suggest that there be more flexibility for members/alternates to post to the epdp list. It's quite rigid at the moment, and - although I don't want to take up time on non-substantive issues during the call - it would be good to have a bit more flexibility on posting to the list for both members and alternates

Laureen Kapin (GAC alternate):To be clear, our request includes access to the PRIVATE

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):this is just an inconvinience for the alternates if they want to follow real time. they dont have to follow real time... and yes we can take it offline

Milton Mueller (NCSG):That's a good solution, Kurt. While we want alternates to have access to the meetings, we don't want to unbalance the meeting by giving them chatting and discussion rights in the meeting

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Kurt, if there is no objection ,pls covey the messagwe to GNSO to cover the request made by Kristina and g move on to the agenda as there is no need to further discuss the matter Matt Serlin (RrSG):we should encourage alternates to follow all of the conversation so that they can step in as needed and be up to speed on all of the conversation to date

Laureen Kapin (GAC alternate): Sorry sent too soon, we're requesting access to the private chat., not the public chat.

Emily Taylor (RrSG):We will all be relying on alternates to step in through the life-time of this epdp, as the work rate is intense. So, anything we can do to ease the access to information would be good Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):well I don't wanna comment on this any further, but seriously, alternate is primarily to step in when the member cannot attend. real time participation is not needed. but I might have gotten the definition of alternate wrong

Diane Plaut (IPC): Agree, we also encourage that alternatives should be able to follow the discussion and step in and be up to speed as needed.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Mrika's suggestion seems also addressing the concerns.

Alex Deacon - IPC: Thanks Marika - that sounds like a great solution.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):PLS MOVE ON TO THE AGENDA

Kristina Rosette (RySG): The solution Marika outlined sounds reasonable toRySG.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Sorry for CAP

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Would be confusing for me to understand who is an alternate replacing a member, and who is an alternate who is only observing. This can be easily mitigated, but important to make this clear in the AC room.

Marika Konings:Please note that it is not possible to have private group chat in Adobe Connect. For that other channels will need to be used. Only private individual chat is possible.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Right, Amr. I think Laureen's argument overlooks this point

Milton Mueller (NCSG): Why don't we wait to see what ICANN proposes and move on?

Alan Greenberg - ALAC:We are asked to identify our affiliation in our name, And A or M could be required as well. Hopefully ever one in this group has the ability to parse that.

Ashley Heineman (GAC): You overestimate the USG Kurt! :-) IE: ability to chat privately...

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Alan: That doesn't address the point I raised above. May need more than 2 designations. Possibly A, M and O?

Alan Greenberg - ALAC:Observers could be in a shadow room. TO keep list to a reasonable length.

Mark Svancarek [BC]:let's proceed

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:In principle, I have no objection to alternates having access to this AC room, provided that the rules of participation are adhered to.

Emily Taylor (RrSG): The summary accurately captures our concerns for sections 5.1-5.7

Milton Mueller (NCSG):NCSG agrees with Thomas's objection

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):ICANN's role is missing in the summary.

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Although we didn't indicate this in the NCSG response, the NCSG agrees with the comment made by Thomas.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):Kurt - can you confirm to update the summary accordingly, please?

Kurt Pritz:@ Thomas - yes

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): Thanks, Kurt!

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:wuth respect to 5.7 "reasonable access" needs to be defined

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):I didn't know we were going to narrow down discussions. I thought we were going to prioritize issues

Alex Deacon - IPC:+1 Hadia - IPC also made this comment for 5.7 (need for this group to define "reasonable access")

Ashley Heineman (GAC):GAC supports defining "reasonable access", but I believe we made this comment in the previous survey.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):I don't agree with narrowing down discussions based on the surveys. and no issue should be called as resolved as a result of surveys

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Farzaneh: +1

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):On 5.7. - we need to be precise in what data elements ICANN needs to get access to for what type of compliance action.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): We already agreed that the surveys wouldn't be binding

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):yes agreed Thomas. has to be precise

Kristina Rosette (RySG):In addition to Marc's point, it's my understanding that the Model Clauses don't even apply to some circumstances.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): In my view, we do the triage exercise to establish which parts of the TS are controversial and what the reasons for objections are. Therefore, my suggestion is to indicate the level of support and be a little more wordy on the reasons for objections. That can probably help avoid discussions on these calls.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:in some cases the NOs supports the concept but objects only to a phrasing issue

James Bladel (RrSG):@Milton - several of us do not recall receiving an email from you. Can you re-post to the list?

Emily Taylor (RrSG):@milton - thanks for describing the points you raised in your email. I'm not sure that it got through to the list. Have you sent it already? or if not can you resend?

Milton Mueller (NCSG):sure, James. It was sent by Tatiana who was my alternate for a few days Emily Taylor (RrSG):This illustrates some of the drawbacks of the 'no posting to the list while an alternate is in place':)

Emily Taylor (RrSG):Thanks Milton - got it!

Milton Mueller (NCSG): I just re-sent it

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):This is the message Milton sent: https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-epdp-team/2018-August/000101.html

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): Words are important in legal drafting.

Alan Woods (RySG):+1 Milton

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:+1 Stephanie

James Bladel (RrSG):Thx Milton

James Bladel (RrSG):@Benedict - Registrar back ends participate via the RrSG members. I'm not clear about your concern here.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): Right, James, I think they are part of the Rr SG

Matt Serlin (RrSG):We're here :)

James Bladel (RrSG):Tucows, in particular, was very active in the development of the Temporary Spec.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):which group you are representing Benedict? SSAC?

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):The issue of how resellers and back end operators must conduct business is one of the gaps in my view in the temp spec. Not enough guidance provided.

James Bladel (RrSG):Stephanie - the Registrar of Record is required to comply with the Temporary Spec. How they govern their own resellers is, for the most part, up to them.

Benedict Addis (SSAC): James this isn't a reseller issue

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Farzaneh - as signalled, I'm here for SSAC. But my registrar experience gives me some insight into the implementation issues!

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):There are specific requrements in GDPR for contractual obligations, IMHO it would be a good idea to draw them out in greater detail.. Also important for data subject access and transparency rights

Mark Svancarek [BC]: For us new folks, can you define "the picket fence"?

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Mark +1

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): I understand that James. Subject rights are a responsibility of ICANN, and they have given them short shrift over the years.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):there should be a picket fence catechism that all new ICANN participants should be able to recite by heart

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):Mark that's my favorite question. I will sit and watch whoever takes a stab at that.

Mark Svancarek [BC]:Lay it on me, Milton:)

Milton Mueller (NCSG):you get a different answer every time

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):My memory is failing me, for some reason I thought the GNSO Council had asked the Board or maybe ICANN org to provide a definition of the picket fence as it relates to the EPDP. Am I imagining this, or was that question asked and maybe answered?

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):picket fence makes your dream come true

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Becky Burr claims there is a definition. I have yet to find it. (picket fence).

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Mark - you have to ask a registry

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): And in any case, GDPR subject rights may trump the picket fence.

Kristina Rosette (RySG):@Stephanie: I was looking for the definition and didn't have much luck.

Marika Konings:@Stephanie - there is a presentation that Becky provided to the GNSO Council on the picket fence. Would it be helpful if staff circulates that to the EPDP Team?

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Yes please Marika

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Ayden, i have been pounding away on that question recently at GNSO, from public panels, etc. I think Becky responded in a GNSO /Board meeting, although I could be misspeaking....could have been a panel

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):agree we can discuss on mailing list

Kristina Rosette (RySG): I also don't think it's likely to be productive to use specific contracted party practices as examples of what is and is not GDPR-compliant.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Keith was going to provide a list of issues that fell outside the picket fence....have not seen it so far but we can ask how that is going at Council this week.....

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Kristina, I agree. they all have to be subjected to a DPIA, to see if they are not compliant with new DP obligations.

Kristina Rosette (RySG):Not to further complicate matters, but ICANN's Model RRA-Data Processing Agreement refers to the concept of Shared Personal Data (which is a subset of Personal Data and not mentioned in the Temp Spec.)

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):Kristina, good point. We should just not take the Art. 28 GDPR situation as a given.

Matt Serlin (RrSG):Kurt - very good sunmary of the issues we have with this section

Emily Taylor (RrSG):+1

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP):+1

James Bladel (RrSG):i think you nailed it Kurt

Mark Svancarek [BC]:ha

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:No. Good summary, Kurt.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):that can happen Kurt :)

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:+1

Diane Plaut (IPC): Yes, great summary, Kurt.

Margie Milam (BC):its in the contracts

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Do we have a summary of Exactly what ICANN, in its meetings, overtures, letters, etc have heard from the EDPB and other DPA whom they have visited?

James Bladel (RrSG):Good additional point, Emily.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):+1

Emily Taylor (RrSG):Sorry!

Alex Deacon - IPC:Thanks Emily - helpful comment.

Benedict Addis (SSAC): With all of the 'consent' emails we got from companies in May, it's easy to forget the other, stronger purposes!

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Good question Kavouss

Berry Cobb:for the EPDP, staff contructed a 1 page of background documents. Within here are some links RE: EDPB: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A community.icann.org display EOTSFGRD Background-

2BDocuments&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqr CYHo_rKms9SFxlmbYEJqG-y9I&m=LuMsfYaSFf5G359vsy6rQECa_XlfnjJE2eOtLUPUe50&s=sNpJ-CRuuunl5niedDNNUY6ewlgdpW-VlzC6d7_38-Y&e=

James Bladel (RrSG):@Kavouss - Good qeustions and the answer is unclear. if consent is only for publication, then the record is redacted. But we can't "un-publish" in other sources that may have captured/copied it.

Berry Cobb: There's also the Data Protection/Privacy Page on

icann.org: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A www.icann.org dataprotectionprivacy&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl 4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7 ZjItyVqrCYHo rKms9SFxlmbYEJqG-

<u>y91&m=LuMsfYaSFf5G359vsy6rQECa_XlfnjJE2eOtLUPUe50&s=KFkvXf_PvsMWT23y7sUOu9RjUmHXqJqbj</u> GHqJ-4Odp0&e=

Emily Taylor (RrSG):@Kavouss - It also depends on what the registrant has consented to - collection, publication whatever

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): I guess we should not go into too much detail on this at this stage. We need consent for all processing activities that need one. And the consent needs to be passed on between all the parties. That requires both a legal and a technical discussion.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): Thanks Berry, we have read all the background documents. I was looking for what ICANN heard in talks. There have been numerous talks. I dont want to have to DIDP the staff notes.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): I agree with Thomas. consent is aa legal aand technical decision. but it is important for registrars to be privacy minded even if there is no law obliging them.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Farzaneh - agreed. It's also important for registrars to make it easy for registrants who *want* to publish their details.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):(i.e. consent goes two ways)

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):agreed Benedict

Kristina Rosette (RySG):Negotiation among the contracted parties. The point is that, until ICANN's role is clarified and there is greater clarity on the data escrow agreement ICANN has proposed, this portion of the Temp Spec has been overtaken by events. And, the specific contractual language should be left to the contracted parties.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):with RDAP though, that can be an automated response to a web request, right? does not imply "publication" (a word which we should strive to avoif, given its meaninglessness in the current context)

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): I should add that the data processing for data escrow needs to be governed between ICANN and the Escrow Agents, not the contracted parties.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): Home address of owner of julf.com is not personal data?

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):+1 Thomas, and in that regard ICANN is sole controller

Alex Deacon - IPC:+1 Benedict - if a domain name can be PII it kinda follows that it can't be published in the DNS and would never resolve.

Emily Taylor (RrSG):+1 to what Thomas said about Escrow agents

Ashley Heineman (GAC):+1 Alex

Mark Svancarek [BC]:Hmm, I do feel publication is a meaningful term, even if the audience of the publication is very narrow

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Not all domain name registrations are meant to point to web pages.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:+1 Thomas (escrow)

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):julf.com exists for email, not web.

Alex Deacon - IPC:@Amr - DNS is used for many internet services - not just web pages.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Amr, absolutely true. But for example an MX record is still an act of publication eg "you can reach me at this email address"

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Mark, the problem is the misinterpretation of the term. Implies a static directory.

Alex Deacon - IPC:@julf - but I assume julf.com is in the DNS and resolves to your MX record.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG):Alex: yes. Are we talking about the domain name itself beiong personal data? Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Kurt:Are we also considering Section 8?

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):There is a subtle distinction between data release or disclosure and data publication. One is more anonymous than the other by default, although of course these things can be configured differently

Mark Svancarek [BC]: Are "release", disclosure and "publication" terms of art in this context? (Sorry for ignorance)

Benedict Addis (SSAC):+1 Marc totally agree, but I think it would be informative to ask ICANN whether whois is used internally

Alan Greenberg - ALAC:+1 Marc. Legal bases need to be established but that is not our job.

Emily Taylor (RrSG):@Mark - they're all distinct types of processing - while the GDPR is more principles-based and doesn't try and spell out everything you could do with data, each type of processing requires a legal basis

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):if they use it for contractual compliance they have to be clear on purpose.

Alan Greenberg - ALAC:Knowing what that legal bisis is, however, may make it easier for us to agree that the processing is valid.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):if it is not related why even have it in the appendix? ...

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Because it may be itself a basis relied upon by contracted parties to collect? Benedict Addis (SSAC):(genuine question!)

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:it is not clear to me how domain names themselves may be personal information - domain names by definition identify Internet resources whatever they are

Emily Taylor (RrSG): They may be personal data, but it is necessary to publish them in the DNS for them to work as domain names

James Bladel (RrSG):for clarity - Domain Names (and also Nameservers) can be Personal Informaitno. But publication/sharing is necessary for the service to work.

Matt Serlin (RrSG):+1 Emily

James Bladel (RrSG):(What Emily just said)

Emily Taylor (RrSG):emilytaylor.eu

James Bladel (RrSG):jamesbladel.com

Emily Taylor (RrSG): is an example of a domain name that includes some personal data

Emily Taylor (RrSG):but I also need it to work!

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): Hadia, to give an example thomas-rickert.de is PII as it relates to my name. It needs to be published to make it work via the DNS and that is covered by Art. 6 I b GDPR. Therefoer, there are no issues publishing it.

James Bladel (RrSG):So (1) YEs, they are personal info and (2) No, we don't need to do anything differently, and (3) brining this up is a dead end debate, and doesn't advance our work.

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Hadia: This has been discussed a number of times over the past 2 years. An example would be amrelsadr.gTLD. But as Emily explained above, publication is necessary for them to serve their intended purpose.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): Haha - I was typing too slowly - just saw all the other comments

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Is the deadline of tomorrow realistic for Part 3 of the survey for everyone? Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:OK the example is still regarded as a domain name that identifies an Internet resource regardless of what it points to

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Thomas: Same here. :-)

Benedict Addis (SSAC):@Ayden yes for SSAC

Emily Taylor (RrSG):Yes, @Ayden - it's pretty quick to do the last two surveys once you've struggled through the first two:)

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Thanks Kurt.

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Can't the alternates just listen-in remotely?

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Yes, alternates must attend remotely, they are not members - they are to stand in the absence of another member.

Marika Konings:Remote participation will be available

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:@Amr as i said before it still identifies an Internet resource it does not matter what it points to

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):We risk disrupting the carefully constructed balance of this EPDP.

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Amr - I need to talk to our folks periodically. I'm not sure why there is such a concern with not being able to talk to our alternates if they abide by the rules.

Ashley Heineman (GAC): I don't recall the charter refusing the ability to talk to alternates.

Alex Deacon - IPC:I would support alternates being able to be present at the meeting. Its not clear to me how they would be disruptive.

Matt Serlin (RrSG):agree with Alex and Ashley on this...

Alan Greenberg - ALAC:ICANN's policy is always to allow observers in rooms, space allowing, except for explicitly closed meetings.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):@Ayden can you articulate your objections further?

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):My comment has already been advanced, both in the chat, and just then by Farzaneh.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): I provided reasoning about this Benedict, was it not enough?

Ashley Heineman (GAC):No one is proposing to disrupt the balance.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):+1 Ayden

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):alternate is to replace the member. that is the role

Alan Greenberg - ALAC:Present at a meeting is NOT participating.

Kristina Rosette (RySG):Perhaps one solution w/r/t alternates would be to allow them to observe by video conference. Allows them to hear and see what's going on without incurring additional travel or logistic expenses. (Assuming, of course, that ICANN HQ in LA has video conference capabilities)

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Kurt: Thank you very much for allyour efforts to prepare these meeting

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:Thank you all bye

Laureen Kapin (GAC alternate): The request for alternates to attend and confer with the primary member is done with aim of efficiently proceeding with the work.

Kristina Rosette (RySG):Thanks, everyone.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Thanks all Matt Serlin (RrSG):bye all Farzaneh Badii (NCSG):bye Alan Greenberg - ALAC:Bye all Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Thanks all. Bye. Benedict Addis (SSAC):Thank you all

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): Thanks Kurt. Well done!

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):Present at a meeting definitely disrupts the balance. Failing to observe that distinction is short sighted, IMHO. Supports the view of many scholars that MSism is a fiction at ICANN