

AC Attendance - 35 members

Alan Greenberg	Karen Day
Alexander Schubert	Kavouss Arasteh
Anne Aikman-Scalese	Keith Drazek (GNSO Council Co-Liaison)
Aslam G Mohamed	Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry)
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair)	Liz Brodzinski
Christa Taylor	Maxim Alzoba (FAITID)
Christopher Niemi	Michael Flemming
Christopher Wilkinson	Olga Cavalli
Donna Austin, Neustar	Phil Buckingham
Gemma Keegan - Neustar	Raymond Zylstra - Neustar
Gg Levine (NABP)	Robin Gross
Greg Shatan	Roger Carney
Jeff Neuman	Samantha Demetriou
Jess Hooper	Sarah Langstone
Jim Prendergast	Sophie Hey
Karen Bernstein	Vanda Scartezini

On Audio only: Harold Arcos, Karen Bernstein, Taylor Bentley

Apologies: Brett Carr, Jamie Baxter, Annebeth Lange, Katrin Ohlmer, Christopher Wilkinson, Sara Bockey

Staff: Julie Hedlund, Nanig Mehranian, Trang Nguyen, Berry Cobb, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Monday, 27 August 2018 at 20:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/LAZpBQ>

Vanda Scartezini:hi everyone. thank you Jule

Vanda Scartezini:hi Cheryl

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi everybody

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Hi all

Jim Prendergast:More time for ICANN then....

Vanda Scartezini:congratulations!! you do not look like retiring....

Steve Chan:Sorry Cheryl, no agenda slide...

Karen Day:yes, we can hear the operator but not CLO

Steve Chan:At least there is an agenda AC window!

Julie Bisland:agenda is noted in the Agenda pod :)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Yes Thank you Julie

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):VERY early drafting of rough text people ... All open for review and we assume changes...

Jim Prendergast:ill admit to taking some time off last week and weekend so have not had a chance to review prior to the call but will as it matures

Karen Day:The operator keeps breaking in the line

Steve Chan:@Karen, she might be trying to get your name? The system did not prompt me for my name, she interjected to get it directly from me.

Alan Greenberg:Not here

Christa Taylor:It is clear here
Vanda Scartezini:no it is ok
Julie Bisland:yes, I instructed the operator to get a name not recorded.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):We will loop back to them Jim
Alberto Soto:Sorry, I'm later
Olga Cavalli:Hi sorry for being late could not open adobe
Steve Chan:The document is unsynced. We are at the top of page 2.
Steve Chan:What Jeff just said as well :)
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Nopthing from me re this Alan has it :-)
Phil Buckingham:There will be more money . There are still contentions sets to complete
Kavouss Arasteh:Any alternative mechanism to resolve any problem is preferable than Auction
Vanda Scartezini:the previous alternative o=for communities to get letters etc did not work.
jeff neuman:Please note Page 8 deals with Private Auctions and money not going to applicants
Vanda Scartezini:I agree Kavouss. probably we will need to have a time stamp and first in first serve
and there will no same string from different applicants
Anne Aikman-Scalese:The sentence I read on page 8 deals with PUBLIC auctions - it was just in relation
to coordination with CCWG Auction Proceeds.
jeff neuman:Anne - Section 1.2 is the Private Auction section and I will check to see if this was a typo or
whether it is just in the wrong section.
Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thanks Jeff.
Alexander.berlin:How are private auctions diffent from ICANN auctions when the money is not
distributed in the same way as the ICANN auctions?
Alexander.berlin:NEW: How are private auctions diffent from ICANN auctions when the money is
distributed in the same way as the ICANN auctions?
Vanda Scartezini:iyes jeff
Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Auction
Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: We would likely need a PDP on what constitutes a TLD in the "Global
Public Interest" and how the scoring would go on that evaluation. Evaluating the merits would involve
criteria -just like CPE, I think.
Alexander.berlin:Two CPE winners = auction. But FORGET IT: never will TWO win it. IMPOSSIBLE!
jeff neuman:@Steve - yes.
Vanda Scartezini:panel is impossible. none will be abale to full understand what and why it is relevant
for one particular regions for example.
Greg Shatan:I think the geo one, both apps get cancelled.
Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):about GEO and letter of non-objection
Steve Chan:I believe that for geographic names, deference was given to the relevant government. As
Maxim is saying, the relevant governments were given an opportunity to choose which one would
proceed, or it could recommend that string contention be resolved via auction of last resort.
Alan Greenberg:I did not hear a proposal regarding what happens if a gov't runs an auction.
Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):if government wants auction for letter of non-objection , it is their local
business, and companies are not applicants until they have such letters.
Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):but if a local government issues few such letters to different organisations, all of
them might apply and became applicants, and then aucton between those is ICANNs business
Anne Aikman-Scalese:AGree with Kristina.
Alexander.berlin:ICANN auction for GEO applicant ONLY when the SAME Government authority gave
multiple supports. If support is from DIFFERENT Governments then there is NO ICANN auction!
Alan Greenberg:I wasn't proposig a panel deciding. Just pointing out that there were limited options to
resolve a multiple CPE competition and that was one of them.

Vanda Scartezini:+ 1 Kris

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):so those two cases are different, ICANN as a California non-for -profit can not tell governments how to do things

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):so far there were no capitals with the same name (and capitals win)

Vanda Scartezini:@Alan. agree - really few if none but auction

Julie Bisland:@Sarah, your line is very faint

Alan Greenberg:@Krtistine, you may be right that ICANN could not redistribute the auction proceeds if I CANN was the beneficiary of the AUctions, but I suspect a set of terms could be developed that would have the same end effect.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):I had bad audio for a lot of this intervention

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):sound level is too low

Keith Drazek (GNSO Council Co-Liaison):Same

Alexander.berlin:Can't hear Sarah

Alan Greenberg:Oops - Kristina...

Vanda Scartezini:i am not hear who is speaking

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Alan: Understood, but I feel strongly enough about the "beauty contest option" that I wanted to make my objection known.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):yes

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):MUCH!

Vanda Scartezini:much better

Alan Greenberg:AKristina, I tend to agree. Even if the concept was good, I have little faith that any given panel would make the same choice as I might. So best not to go that way.

Phil Buckingham:Totally agree Sarah .

Steve Chan:Sure Jeff, here is the link to the Explanatory Memoranda:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_archive.icann.org_en_topics_new-2Dgtlds_string-2Dcontention-2D22oct08-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pj6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=ZNjOlh5E-oEFyV_Oo69mHntmdgiPtH1NW1AgfklU1K8&s=AAvmJPbgn-NO3WC9_fwDx1uZC8ZPyEmKzIQtcFkGVFg&e=

Steve Chan:We will make sure that ends up in the notes

Alexander.berlin:Which I wrote above!

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):usually capitals represented in GAC

jeff neuman:"However, in the event that a contention set is composed of multiple applications with documentation of support from the same government or public authority, the applications will proceed through the contention resolution procedures described in Module 4 when requested by the government or public authority providing the documentation"

Greg Shatan:That's for the same geo. Two different goes with the same string are sent to the corner to work it it among themselves.

Greg Shatan:goes = goes

Greg Shatan:autocorrect is the enemy of correctness

Greg Shatan:goes = geos

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):yes, in case where supercity is in both country A and Country B, but in A it is a name of it's capital, and in Country B, it is just city.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Page 6

Steve Chan:@Maxim, there is a specific list with rules for capital city names.

Steve Chan:Or was for 2012

Steve Chan:For country B, it would not be a capital city name.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):and in situation where Country A can not have its capital name => GAC enraged and situation is not nice

Steve Chan:In other words, the explicit list of capital city names must be treated as capital city names (in 2012).

Greg Shatan:I prefer GAC engagement to GAC engagement. But GAC engagement is not a policy.

Greg Shatan:I hate autocorrect. Engagement should be "enragement" the second and third times....

Vanda Scartzini:we all share your heate Greg

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Greg, I think not having governments fragmenting the current root in the Internet due to their inability to get the name of a capital - is not bad

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):on the other hand it looks like the rule of Capital wins might be a good idea, to resolve the issue

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Any concern that

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):oops.

Greg Shatan:@Alan. :-)

Trang Nguyen:Please note that applicants don't have to notify ICANN of private auctions.

Greg Shatan:I don't think we can prohibit private auctions.

Alexander.berlin:Here a n abuse Apply for 100 cities as "non-geo use" applications - and bully "real city"-contention set members into buying you out - otherwise you would drag the entire thing out for years.....

Alexander.berlin:Here an abuse example: Apply for 100 cities as "non-geo use" applications - and bully "real city"-contention set members into buying you out - otherwise you would drag the entire thing out for years.....

Alan Greenberg:Clearly if there were contention, the "work it out for yourselves" could result in applicant A paying the other applicants a fixed or negotiated amount of money. Not sure that is very funtionally different from an auction.

Kavouss Arasteh:Why we can not prevent that if it is expected to result in abuse

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Alexander, a private company bullying governments usually do not work well for the company

Kavouss Arasteh:I do not knoqw why people are so emmotional . Whom they defending?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Any concern that "there will be some applicants that apply for new gTLD strings for the sole purpose of being a 'loser' in a contention set resolved via a private auction" seems misplaced. First, as others have noted, we have no data to support such a statement. Second, if the contention set members all agree to participate in a private auction (and they must all agree for the auction to go forward), they should be permitted to do so.. The analysis for deciding to participate in a private auction inherently includes a determination by each participant of its respective bid cap and, at that amount, the amount each losing bidder is likely to receive. If a contention set participant accepts the outcome of that analysis, it should be their prerogative to do so.how the proceeds are likely to be

Christopher Wilkinson:@Greg - 'All sorts of things' should be transparent. I have doubts about how private auctions can be transparent.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Sorry, "how the proceeds are likely to be" should have been deleted.

Karen Bernstein:I heard that registries in the first round were looking for investors to fund the private auction but I don't know whether the selling point was to win or lose the auction

Jim Prendergast:TLDs are a public resource. How does allowing companies to make millions off of losing an auction in the public interest? At least with the ICANN auction, those funds will eventually go towards causes that support the overall health of the DNS.

Greg Shatan:Anything can be made transparent. Right now we don't require the solution to be transparent.

Alexander.berlin:Maxim: The overwhelming majority of "real city applicants" are PRIVATE entities - not the Government!

Greg Shatan:We are inventing Bogeymen and then using them to justify policy.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Alexander, all of those got letters from governments

Alexander.berlin:But I must agree with Greg: it would be close to impossible to deny applicants to exercise some kind of auction type resolution process.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):and having bad relations with the local governments for GEO TLD is a bad idea

Alexander.berlin:But I must agree with Greg: it would be close to impossible to deny applicants to exercise some kind of auction type resolution process. STICKY KEYBOARD

Kavouss Arasteh:We are not inventing any thing .We are referring to the rights of those which are potential victims of auction

Greg Shatan:I was thinking more of "rich people" and "capitalists" as the Bogeymen.

Kavouss Arasteh:People aggressively defend those who plan to ignore the rights of others in using money by recouring auction

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):(dropped from call to take another call. dialing back in momentarily)

Christopher Wilkinson:@ Jim - +1 CW

Alexander.berlin:500,000 USD and NO REFUNDS!

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff, we need to establish a neutral panel representing both sides and other involved entities to decide to apply or not apply auction

Alexander.berlin:Any strokes that occur because of my 500,000 USD comment: sorry!

Greg Shatan:I can't think of anyone that "plans to ignore the rights of others" in an auction.

Vanda Scartezini:totally agree alan. I am against different prices for anyone.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):We hear you now

Greg Shatan:There will be winners and losers ("victims") in any contention set resolution, regardless of how you settle the contention.

Vanda Scartezini:action for offer better services than auction for money may set another approach - need to have a better thought about anyway

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):26

Kavouss Arasteh:Who wins and who loses. certainly those who have more money

Greg Shatan:Maybe we should have a CPE-style review for each contention set to see which applicant is preferable based on a set of defined criteria.

Phil Buckingham:A suggestion - Set up an independent panel that pre decides the value of a TLD for acquisition

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff, we need to explore ways and means to establish appropriate mechanism to govern AUCTION

Greg Shatan:Vanda, that's an interesting idea, but doesn't it usually cost more money to offer better services?

Phil Buckingham:Actually , for once I agree with Kavouss !!

Greg Shatan:Auctions do tend to go to the highest bidder....

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):We will note these comments Kavouss under the previous Agenda Item(s)

Vanda Scartezini:1234567890-ertyuiop[]DFHJK'

Greg Shatan:We could treat it like an RFP process ("Requests for Proposals") and have a panel decide who has the best proposal, based on some criteria we will have lots of fun working out.

Greg Shatan:@Vanda ?

Vanda Scartezini:YES?

Steve Chan:5 issues!

Greg Shatan:You wrote 1234567890-ertyuiop[]DFHJK. I wasn't sure what you meant by that....

Jim Prendergast:sorry steve

Alexander.berlin:COFEFE!

Vanda Scartezini:WE HAVE HERE SOME AUCTION WHERE THE WINNER IS THE ONE WHO OFFERES BETTER SERVICES, LESS PRICE TO PUBLIC ETC . I DO NOT HAVE ALL DONE

Vanda Scartezini:sorry the capital letter

Greg Shatan:That is more like a bidding process.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):-)

Steve Chan:Staff can count :)

Vanda Scartezini: it will be necessary to think more about the idea for TLDs

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Greg: The whole premise of the 2012 process was to get away from the beauty contest. Instead of having to prove that the TLD you wanted was worth having and you were the right RO for it (which is how 2000 and 2003 worked), the 2012 round operated on the opposite premise. The point of having the AGB was to avoid a beauty contest.

Vanda Scartezini:yes kris, could look like a beauty contest but works well in several environments for services to public

Olga Cavalli:+ 1 to Vanda's suggestion

Jim Prendergast:emisaries

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):lofty title @Jim ;-)

Jim Prendergast:gopher?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):LOL

Vanda Scartezini:As I wrote in the email today i beleive in the ALAC one person from each region could work better to have different views from different regions that normally have real different perception based on how things work in their regions

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree with Kristina's suggestion

jeff neuman:Lets just call the role "Tina"

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):At which point, I'd probably fling my hands in the air and repeatedly mumble EPDP, EPDP

jeff neuman::)

Vanda Scartezini:yes Cheryl

Alan Greenberg:The five whose were chosen unfortunately are just for WT5, but we will address this new need. And indeed, I have been acting in this capacity all along.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):But they are the likely candidates here is we need to go regionally as Vanda may propose in our ALAC Meeting ater today

Vanda Scartezini:Alan, you shall represnet ALACA S a whole but someone from each region brings the voice of each Ralo - normally quite different due the reality of their own region

Greg Shatan:Liaisons are a pretty good idea. Representatives are a pretty bad idea.

Vanda Scartezini:dear all I need to go I have a ceremony toa ttend in a half hour

Greg Shatan:What if an organization does not take a position, but members of that group take positions?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree with Greg

Gg Levine (NABP):Good question, Greg.

Greg Shatan:This has been left to chairs' judgment in the past. I do think it's worth understanding when we are hearing an official position vs. a personal position, but to structure the whole process around representatives is problematic.

Greg Shatan:Super-delegates!

jeff neuman:at this point it is more of a liaison/clarifier(sp?) role.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:It's really up to the particular organization how they elect to participate in this request. Kristina's suggestion is constructive, as is Jim's re "liaison".

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Certainly there should not be a "deadline" for this that is earlier than the public comment deadline.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): bye

Julie Bisland: next meeting: Tuesday, 11 September 2018 at 03:00 UTC

Olga Cavalli: Bye thanks

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): bye

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Thanks all.

Alexander.berlin: bye

Greg Shatan: Bye

Phil Buckingham: thanks