

AC Attendance/49 Members:

Adrian Carballo	Ejikeme Egbuogu	Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry)	Susan Payne
Alan Greenberg	Gnanajeyaraman Rajaram	Liz Williams	Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC)
Alberto Soto	Greg Shatan	Marita Moll	Thiago Jardim
Alexander Schubert	Hadia Elminiawi	Maritza Aguero	Yrjö Länsipuro
Alfredo Calderon	Heather Forrest	Matthew Johnson	
Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO	Jaap Akkerhuis	Maureen Hilyard	
Annebeth Lange	Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC)	Giacomo Mazzone	
Ashley Heineman	Jim Prendergast	Mirjana Tasic .rs	
Carlos Gutierrez	John Rodriguez	Nick Wenban-Smith, Nominet	
Cheryl Langdon-Orr	Jon Nevett	Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC	
Chris Casavale - IPC	Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland)	Paul McGrady	
Christopher Wilkinson	Juan Manuel Rojas	Rahul Gosain	
David McAuley	Justine Chew	Ricardo Holmquist	
Dessalegn Yehuala	Katrin Ohlmer	Sophie Hey	
Edmon Chung	Kavouss Arasteh	Susan Anthony	

Audio Only: Bram Fudzulani, Vernatius Ezeama

Apologies: Robin Gross, Luca Barbero

Staff: Emily Barabas, Julie Hedlund, Steve Chan, Berry Cobb, Fabien Betremieux, Andrea Glandon

AC Chat:

Andrea Glandon: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team Track 5 Geographic Names at the Top Level call held on Wednesday, 08 August 2018 at 13:00 UTC.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC): Hi to all; Alfredo, Kavouss, Yrijo, Andrea.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC): Alfredo

Adrian Carballo: Hi all!

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC): Hola Adrian!

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC): Hi Emily!

Emily Barabas:hi Javier!
Alfredo Calderon:Hi to all! I am on the road (driving). I'll try to follow the discussion.
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):keep your eyes on the road, Al!
Annebeth Lange:Hi all
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):hi Annebeth!
Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Hello all!!
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Hola Olga!
Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Hola Javier!
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Hello, Christopher!
Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:I can hear you well Annebeth
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Hello aap, Ricardo, Mirjana
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Sorry, Hello Jaap!
Alberto Soto:Hi everyone, hola a todos!!
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Hola Alberto!
Maureen Hilyard:Hi everyone
Alberto Soto:Hola Javier!!
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Hi all
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Welcome Ashley
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Bot in audio yet .. Sorry
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Welcome all!
Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:there is a lot of noise
Annebeth Lange:I hear that too
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Pleasge mute when not speakin
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):g
Alfredo Calderon:We have an open mic!
Annebeth Lange:Not easy to start with that background noise
Katrin Ohlmer:Hi All
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):better
Hadia Elminiawi:a lot of background noise
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):there is still noise
David McAuley:Is it possible to quite that line despite them
David McAuley:quiet that is
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Welcome Heather!
Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Welcome all!

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Hello all.... I'm on my cell...

Greg Shatan:Now would be a good time to find that open line.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):VERY noisy

Julie Hedlund:Could whoever is speaking mute his line!

Julie Hedlund:Thank you.

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Why dont you mute all the lines and live Annebeth on

Greg Shatan:Is anybody NOT muted?

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Many are not muted

David McAuley:+1 Olga

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Indeed

Greg Shatan:I am muted on my own end, not through AC.

Juan Manuel Rojas:I am on the phone, muted.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Hey Greg, Welcome!

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Sound ok now

Kavouss Arasteh:hi everybody, I am waiting for dial up

Greg Shatan:Always a pleasure to be here, Javier.

David McAuley:oh no

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Audio is still very bad

Greg Shatan:The bad sound is back.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):noise again

Paul McGrady:Can't hear much

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi david for recorsd

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Hello Kavouss

David McAuley:Hello Kavouus, my friend

Greg Shatan:Maybe we can use public shaming as a noise control process.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Hola Carlos!

Carlos Gutierrez:i will be on audio only in about 45 minutes. Right now I have both Adobe and audio. Txs

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi olga , may you advise fior dial up

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Noted Carlos

Andrea Glandon:Hi Kavouss, we are trying to connect you

Adrian Carballo:Kavouss do you need a dial up? I can ask staff

Greg Shatan:I need to leave the call at the bottom of the hour for a business meeting. I hope to join again before the end.

Andrea Glandon:We are trying to connect Kavouss

Susan Payne:thanks Annebeth, strongly support the idea of doing consensus call before moving on

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Hi Kavouss I think Emily is trying to reach you by phone

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):nop

Emily Barabas:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_sites_default_files_file_field-2Dfile-2Dattach_annex-2D1-2Dgnso-2Dwg-2Dguidelines-2D18jun18-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqrCYHo_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9I&m=Jhbfo4HoxZfCmM-vFbqfps9qa6Hxd_DzuAGjcSp7T9M&s=P38IWjW2d9s3hUgETfzC3iSEbnU-bz_vSjTcqNi_HCE&e=

Emily Barabas:The Working Group Guidelines are available at the above link

Adrian Carballo:Thanks to co-chairs for considering the change in the agenda. Ok with the order as it is if this is the decision.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Youre welcome Adrian.

Emily Barabas:Note the above text on the slide is a summary of the text in the Guidelines

Emily Barabas:Reference the full text of the Guidelines for more information about the standard methodology

Alan Greenberg:Annabeth's volume very low here. Is it just me?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Hi. I've been on the phone for a bit, but just joining Adobe now.

David McAuley:@Alan, Annebeth is clear here

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Alan, Annebeth is on low side, but I can hear her

Adrian Carballo:Will there be opportunity to comment before the document goes for public comments? sorry I do not have a mic

Emily Barabas:Strong support but significant opposition - a position where, while most of the group supports arecommendation, there are a significant number of those who do not support it.

Alan Greenberg:Strong support by MANY, but some oppose.

Steve Chan:There is a typo. It should be Strong Support BUT Strong Opposition.

Steve Chan:Actually, Significant Opposition...apologies.

christopher wilkinson:Ah! strong supprt BUT significant opposition

Rahul:Sorry I am late

Adrian Carballo:thanks Cheryl

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Yes CW as usual these slides are short hand of uller text an "aid" if you will for the presenters (all be it with typos or poor parsing it seems :-)

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:As said on list the workplan seems very ambitious, probably too ambitious in terms of timing. The GAC recalled in its Panama Communique that "several GAC members expressed concern that the timeline for this work should allow for the complexity and sensitivity of many of the issues."

Kavouss Arasteh:Jorje +1

Thiago Jardim:+1 Jorge. These concerns were not just communicated in Panama, but also prior to that, in San Juan, and in between the two meetings, through GAC input to WT 5.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):This is well noted Jorge the whole PDP is working at ambitious time lines and WT5 is trying its best to integrate and allow for key discussions to occur if at all possible at ICANN63, we trust that will aid GAC not hiber it

Kavouss Arasteh: We do not want the pressure be put on WT5 while other wts WT6 be given more flexibility to complete their works afterward
Alfredo Calderon: @Cheryl, thanks for clarification.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): We can but try Christopher

Kavouss Arasteh: A note to secretariat, would it be possible to indentify the total no. of GAC Participants

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): @

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): Kavouss in the WT6 the PDP or this call?

Annebeth Lange: It is important to know that the Work Plan is a moving documents. We do the best we can, but we should not sacrifice the right result

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): WT5

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC): WT6! Oh no

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): It would be really helpful if these slides would state, at the outset, if the draft recommendations diverge from the 2012 AGB and highlight how they do.

Carlos Gutierrez: General Comment on this consensus call on the Aug 8 draft document - #1. I miss the objective measuring consensus on a document that has no specific recommendation on the possible inclusion or MORE geographic name in any eventual subsequent rounds. For me, the draft for discussion BLOCKS any chance for geographic names to geo beyond the 2012 AGB. This is not more consensus call on the status quo. In my view it does NOT reflect the full discussions to date. Neither do the so called recommendations seem to be in the spirit of improving the 2012 AGB. My suggestion is to work around the final section of each recommendation and either make a positive WT5 recommendation out of it, or accept that there is no coherent recommendation from the WT5

Carlos Gutierrez: General Comment on this consensus call on the Aug 8 draft document - #2. As I have noted my written comments to the draft recommendations on the list, I want to restate my comment that the drafting style in which the present so called "recommendations" do not fully reflect neither the full range of the deliberations nor all the group input as per the GNSO consensus call rules <quote> Draft recommendations "based on deliberations" and group input <unquote>, The only segment of each of the proposed recommendations that gets close to a real recommendation avoids taking responsibility for the substance of the recommendation, by suggestion to send the issue back to the community without any procedural consideration. This style of recommendations is foreign to the GNSO council past experience and sending the issue back to the "community" makes the whole effort to look rather void in my view.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): typing in the dark sorry ;-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): Noted @ Kristina

Emily Barabas: @Kavouss, you can find the list of members and observers here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_pages_viewpage.action-3FpageId-3D71604562&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqrCYHo_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9I&m=Jhbfo4HoxZfCmM-vFbqfps9qa6Hxd_DzuAGjcSp7T9M&s=E4rlc5VtQfz9fKS9H0EgCK8474COActLmDkf4FudKl&e=

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): Thanks, @ Cheryl.

Kavouss Arasteh: Dear Emily, thanks but I asked those attending this very meeting being held now?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair): Remember @Carlos the full PDP Guidelines are referenced

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:As mentioned on list, regarding the draft recommendation 1: shouldn't there be a mention that letter-character or character-character combinations confusingly similar with a 2 letter country code should be avoided? (I think I heard this during the discussions on the issue...)

Adrian Carballo:+1 to Jorge's comments

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:question: what is the rationale for the limitation to official UN languages?

Emily Barabas:@Kristina, the "hollow" bullets identify where there is divergence

Emily Barabas:from the 2012 AGB

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Remember at this stage at this stage you are trying to find what things you have discussed to a degree that you may be able to find agreement on, a sub set if you will, much more in both discussion and topics needs to happen still.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:follow-up to my question: what are the facts that are the basis for the change in languages?

Greg Shatan:I am back — meeting cancelled.

Kavouss Arasteh:Emily pls answer my question . How many of those GAC list attending are at this meeting?

Alexander Schubert:I support that restriction! Creates a lot of predictability for applicants!

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Emily: Thanks, but I think it's helpful to state at the outset if there is divergence, especially because "consistent with" is not the same as "identical to." Just trying to make sure there's no uncertainty later about whether everyone knew and understood how the recs differ from AGB 2012.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:"official language" may be very tricky, as there is no official determination in many countries - nevertheless the language may be widely used and/or relevant

Emily Barabas:Understood. Would it be helpful to do a redline of the AGB text itself?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):I defer to our GAC colleagues here, but I thought that identification of official language and scripts could be potentially contentious.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Emily: yes, very. thank you!

Emily Barabas:@kavouss, staff is checking on this request

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Agree with Jorge in relation with the concept of official language

Emily Barabas:Kristina, we will circulate this on the mailing list after this call

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:Please read my comments in the chat out: as long as there is no factual basis for the limitation in languages I would tend to object to that change v-a-v the 2012 AGB

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thanks Emily and to you @Kristina for pointing out the need for clarification to ensure better understanding

Emily Barabas:@kavouss, there are currently 9 GAC members on this call.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:instead of "official" we could talk about "official and relevant languages"

Edmon:it is possible to develop a list that can be updated from time to time... yes... so "finite" doesn't mean a particular max number need to be identified

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:why is it unworkable - what is the factual basis for saying that?

christopher wilkinson:I agree with Greg on finite lists of all applicable and official languages and scripts.

Ashley Heineman:Jorge - are you indicating that *all* languages need to be included?

Kavouss Arasteh:Jorge +1

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:all relevant languages for sure...

Edmon:UN languages only may serve as a starting point... but probably should not be the upperbound

christopher wilkinson:+pt.

Ashley Heineman:Jorge, thanks for clarifying. That is much more reasonable.

christopher wilkinson:@Javier: shall we discuss Reccs 1-8 severally or collectively?

John Rodriguez:Seems potentially helpful to clarify from "any language" since there are so many and therefore unlimited to something with more clarity such as "official languages and UN official languages"

Kavouss Arasteh:Why we suggest changes to 2012 language issue

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:for instance: we have more than 25% of foreign-born people living in Switzerland. They refer to Switzerland in their languages and scripts. These are relevant languages/scripts that should be covered

Annebeth Lange:#Christopher, the different reccs can be discussed separately

Annebeth Lange:Switzerland is a good example, Jorge

Greg Shatan:@Jorge, that is not a definition of relevant languages I can support.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Jorge: What's your best estimate on the number of languages and scripts (for the Switzerland example you reference)?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:@Greg: why?

Greg Shatan:It really should be limited to languages that have some sort of official status in that country or territory.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:@Kristina: difficult to say... but at least a dozen...

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Jorge: Thank you.

Dessalegn Yehuala:the WT5 is about geographic and territory names at the top level, and existing label generation rules prevent top level names having digits.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:I have repeatedly made a comment on letter-character combinations that might be similar to two-letter country codes - please consider it...

Steve Chan:@Jorge, you made a comment about ensuring that if letter-digit strings end up being recommended, that they are not confusingly similar to existing ccTLDs. At least in 2012, string similarity took into account all existing TLDs, including ccTLDs, so your concern would seem to be addressed?

Greg Shatan:@Jorge, it's way too broad and is based on colloquial and demographic data, or really arbitrariness decisions based on data.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:@Steve: that should be referred to at least in the draft recommendation so that it is transparent

Edmon:digits were not allowed in TLDs

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):For clarity, I suggest a slight revision to the recommendation, with suggested change in ALL CAPS: "The Work Track recommends reserving AT THE TOP LEVEL all two-character

christopher wilkinson:I assume that digital characters in Latin would not be permitted ;-))

Heather Forrest:+1 to Kristina Rosette's drafting recommendation

Emily Barabas:@thanks Kristina

Paul McGrady:+1 Kristina. Good clarifying point.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:@Greg: I'm not against thresholds, but that is more of an implementation aspect, right? the principle issue is that we do not lightly go from "all" to just UN (6 languages) and "official" (which do not exist in many countries and/or do not cover all relevant languages)

Susan Payne:@Edmon, the point is that any consideration about digits is within scope for WT2, it's not a geo issue

John Rodriguez:Agree with Kristina.

Susan Payne:+1 Kristina

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):You're welcome.

Edmon:@susan thx for clarification

Edmon:i did remember that in the last round, during the GNSO discussions on reserved names, we did seek tech input from ssac and digits and hyphens were not recommended for the root (i think there was an rfc, but not sure)

Rahul:All relevant languages need to be included

Rahul:+! Joege

Greg Shatan:@Jorge, whether we go "lightly" or not, we can certainly go to a narrower list.

Greg Shatan:@Jorge, can you point to an existing definition of a "relevant language"? Without it, we're going down a rabbit hole.

Maureen Hilyard:Have to leave now, sorry.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thanks for having joined Maureen

Annebeth Lange:We will take all the comments into consideration here

Greg Shatan:In my son's high school, 40 different languages are spoken at home.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:Greg: still we have no factual record why "all" languages is a problem... let's start by that

Alexander Schubert:in 1.2.1 it says: "1.2.1 The ASCII label must consist entirely of letters(alphabetic characters a-z)....."

Ashley Heineman:A clear way in which to know what languages is the key, which I realize is the goal. "Relevant" just isn't a sufficient indicator.

Alexander Schubert:Looks to me that excludes numbers?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:(btw: similar to my children's school... 40 or more)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Perhaps you can hone the discussion on Languages in the email list and we can come back to it

Greg Shatan:@Jorge, can you point me to an existing list of those names in all languages?

Justine Chew:Perhaps a brief explanatory note can be added to account for the letter-letter combination as within scope for WT5's scope

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:@Greg: guess ICANN Org could help on that as that was the rule in the 2012 AGB

Greg Shatan:I think you are overly optimistic.

Kavouss Arasteh:Could someone give an example of two character number number pls ?

Emily Barabas:.12

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Jorge: I have similar concerns to Greg.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):.00

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:.10 similar to .IO

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:for instance

Alfredo Calderon:I agree with Christopher. At least a suggested outline for a process could be included.

Steve Chan:Just to reiterate and as Edmon and Alexander mentioned in the chat, digits were disallowed in 2012.

Emily Barabas:Note also that there is no proposal to allow number-number combinations in this or any other Work Track

Rahul:Yes

Edmon:another thing is that by default if no further thing is said for a particular issue in this round of policy development, i would assume that what was said in 2007 would remain valid

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):I can't imagine the PDP plenary removing such references either @Greg as we are "Subsequent Procedures" and our work is clearly chartered.

Susan Payne:+1 Greg, we have to bear in mind that we aren't drafting the AGB here, which could be expected to be much more user-friendly, we are identifying any changes to the existing policy/implementation

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):better said than my clumsy attempt @Susan ... Thanks you

Rahul:+1 Jorge

Rahul:As they were in 20012 AGB

Thiago Jardim:+1 to not changing the AGB standard on languages.

Edmon:on the sentence on "future process" perhaps we need to think about whether this working group can take on that "future process".. if so, then we can simply ether do so or add "this working group" in the last sentence of rec #2

Adrian Carballo:+1 to Jorge's comments about languages

Dessalegn Yehuala:Correct there are no UN official languages but there are UN working languages

Greg Shatan:I object to the "any language" standard.

Edmon:relevant languages? (undersatnd that "relevant" is vague but might strike a balance at this level for now)

Susan Payne:I object too. how is an applicant to know? how many languages even are there?

Alberto Soto:+1 Greg

Paul McGrady:Hi Susan, I saw somewhere that there are 6,500+ languages in the world.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):I also object to the "any language" standard.

Greg Shatan:Where is the predictability or transparency in an "all languages" standard.

Edmon:an applicant wont know "every thing" though... there will be some uncertainties... the predictability principle is just that if such things happen a predictable process could deal wih it?

Susan Payne:why would you exclude whatever England is in Swahili, or whatever?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:I guess that the question of languages may be very thorny in countries with original/native communities

christopher wilkinson:@Annebeth, then let us formally refer the question to ccNSO.

Edmon:but i agree "any language" is not good

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:is e.g. quechua official in all countries where it is spoken?

Greg Shatan:More than 350 languages are spoken in the United States.

Edmon:it was the way to push through the AGB :-)

Annebeth Lange:Christopher, this is not only ccNSO-relevant. What was asked in San Juan was if a country names should be a gTLD, a ccTLD or something else

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):it is our oportunity to refine and or change in this work of course @Greg

Susan Payne:@Jorge - so maybe some sort of "commonly-spoken" provision would address that. It is overkill to exclude 6500 languages for every country name

John Rodriguez:If we are trying to add clarity to the process, seems it would be helpful to clarify "any language" as it seems completely unlimited... official language and UN official languages can help add clarity and expectations to the process.

Yrjö Länsipuro:Did "all languages!" create any problems in the 2012 round?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:Greg is making many assertions, but we have no factual record/basis on why the "all languages" standard did not work in 2012

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC):Not an official language, but an important one nonetheless

Katrin Ohlmer:+1 Yrjö

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC):Non-latin script

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:a country name is highly sensitive - that is why UN and "official" is not enough... "all languages" may not be necessary, but we need a middle ground there

Greg Shatan:You asked for fact-based arguments, not for prior historical occurrences.

Susan Payne:very strong opposition by many more than Greg

Greg Shatan:I saw agreement in the chat from others, Javier....

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC):Apologies if I missed it - what was protections provided for translations of IGO names?

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC):Example to consider...

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:but Greg, we should learn from past experience and not resolve just theoretical problems - but I'm in if we look for a middle-ground

Andrea Glandon:Carlos, your line is open

Kavouss Arasteh:If you want to retain reference to UN official language, we may preceede that by"including but not limited " to read including but not limited to UN official language

Ashley Heineman:I think it goes both ways Jorge. Is their a proven need to include all languages spoken in a country?

Greg Shatan:I'm happy to look at past experiences as well, but that shouldn't be used to shut off reasoned analysis.

Greg Shatan:Good question, Ashley. I look forward to @Jorge's response.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Perhaps take that last paragaph ou tto the list between now and our next call then...

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:Ashley: the question is the sensitivity on which the rule is based and that we treat languages similarly. If we reserve just "official languages" we leave out the designation of the country which are relevant to communities in a given country.

Rahul:@Ashley - Are we hinting that some of the languages spoken in a country maybe more important than others?

Susan Payne:I'm sorry I really didn't follow what Carlos was saying. @Carlos are you saying you don't agree with keeping the restrictions in Recs 2, 3, 4 etc?

Ashley Heineman:No, that is not at all what I'm saying Rahul

mazzone:I support Christopher proposal as carlos.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:e.g. Suiza (Switzerland in Spanish) or in Portugues are no official languages, but is how large communities refer to the country within Switzerland...

christopher wilkinson:To be clear, deletion of the last paragraph is NOT my preference. I hope that WT5 can work this out; but NOT to kick to can down the road to the Community.

Greg Shatan:@Rahul, why should the name of a country in all the languages spoken in that country be reserved from delegation? How can we do that unless we know what they are? Unknown unknowns are problematic. We have no idea of the unintended consequences.

Rahul:@Greg and thats why lists are important- as is the right to self determination of all communities including those that maybe in minority

Andrea Glandon:I'm checking on the beep on the line

Rahul:@Greg while I appreciate the need for predictability from your standpoint

Greg Shatan:No one is getting in the way of anyone's right to self determination.

Kavouss Arasteh:We have to end /wrap up in less than 10 minutes as some of us are involved in other meeting

Rahul:Yes Me Too

Greg Shatan:If the 135,000+ Albanian native speakers in the US want to get together and apply for a TLD, they have complete freedom to do so.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:on non-AGB: why don't we start on the basis of the working document or an extract of it? we should not reiterate discussions over and over again - but to start with the issues and possible solutions. This has been stated repeatedly and is (at least in part) reflected in the "working doc"

Greg Shatan:There is no Apache helicopter corporation, but I guess that's a hypothetical.

Emily Barabas:All members are welcome to add comments to the Working Document if this is a convenient way to share proposals and ideas

Emily Barabas:If you do not use Google Docs, please use the PDF or Word extracts

Rahul:For a government standpoint- even if 200 people want that their village name have a domain name in their local language dialect- the fact that anything is up for sale makes it really difficult for those 200 villagers to be able to do that

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):YES please do take that oppostunity up between now and the next call

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC):Good example of existing mechanisms that should be considered

Alberto Soto:Thanks Emily

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thanks @Paul

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Very helpful, Paul. Thanks!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Brief Housekeeping time needed now

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:we should work on the basis of problems that arose in the 2012 round

Rahul:I think I have to leave for my other GAC call now!

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland) 2:sorry, I have to drop out...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thanks for attending Rahul

Greg Shatan:@Jorge, that is but one input.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Apologies - I have to drop for another meeting that starts at the half hour.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):ditto Kristina

christopher wilkinson:The references to hypotheticals need xto used with care. We already have some examples. I have seen elsewhere the idea that the North American Tribes would be interested in .PUEBLO. Hmmm Pueblo is a word for a town which derives from Spanish colonisation!

Emily Barabas:That's the end

Julie Hedlund:This is the last slide.

Alberto Soto:51/5000yes Christopher, it's a generic term

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Thanks everyone, good start to your detailed deliberations today use the DOC and mail list between now and next call :-) Bye for now...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):Next call date and time

Greg Shatan:Pueblo is also a tribe.

Adrian Carballo:thanks Javier and Annebeth regards

Annebeth Lange:Thanks everyone!

Hadia Elminiawi:Thank you Javier and all

Alberto Soto:Thanks, bye bye!!

Katrin Ohlmer:thanks all

jaap akkerhuis:bye

Ejikeme Egbuogu:thank you and goodbye all

Edmon:bye

Juan Manuel Rojas:Thanks, bey

christopher wilkinson:B'ye - CW

Juan Manuel Rojas:bye

Greg Shatan:Bye all

mazzone:bye bye

Gnanajeyaraman Rajaram:bye