

DAY 1

Tuesday, 23 October 2018

The presentation slides will be published during or shortly after the meeting on <https://63.schedule.icann.org/> (go to the respective session) and later on <https://ccnso.icann.org/en/meetings/barcelona63> (click "Presentations")

Introduction to the ccNSO Members Meeting

Opening and welcome by Katrina Sataki (.lv), chair of the ccNSO followed by Jesus García Tello (.es), representing the local ccTLD Manager. Alejandra Reynoso (.gt) will introduce the schedule of the ccNSO Members Meeting at ICANN63 and will welcome newcomers to the ccNSO.

ccNSO Working Group Updates

Update by the Guidelines Review Committee ([GRC](#))

The chair of the GRC will provide an update on developments since the Panama meeting and seek input from members present on issues the Committee will address going forward. The ccNSO Guidelines Review Committee (GRC) has been established to review the current guidelines and to ascertain whether they reflect current practices and working methods, identify potential gaps, and based on this analysis propose changes to the current ccNSO guidelines to the Council.

Update by the Study Group on the use of emoji as second level domains ([emoji SG](#))

The study group will provide an update of its activities since it was established. Its purpose is to provide to ccTLD community and the Council a comprehensive overview of the issues associated with the use of Emoji as second level domains, and the need for - and current practice by - ccTLD managers to allow emoji's as second level domains. If considered appropriate by the study group, the study group may advise on a course of further actions, if any. The group intends to deliver its final report by ICANN64.

Update by the cross-community working group [New gTLD Auction Proceeds](#) ([CCWG Auction Proceeds](#))

The new gTLD Program established auctions as a mechanism of last resort to resolve string contention. Most string contentions have been resolved through other means before reaching an auction conducted by ICANN's authorized auction service provider. However, it was recognized from the outset that significant funds could accrue because of several auctions. As such, these auction proceeds have been reserved and earmarked until the Board authorizes a plan for the appropriate use of the funds. Board, staff, and community are expected to be working together in designing and participating in the next steps addressing the use of new gTLD auction proceeds. It should be recognized though that these proceeds are to be considered as an exceptional, one-time source of revenue.

The ccNSO Council adopted the charter of the CCWG new gTLD Auction Proceeds and by adopting is participating as one of the chartering organisations. Some ccTLDs have extensive experience in dealing with funds such as the auction proceeds and this experience could be beneficial to the process. The CCWG recently moved to phase 4 of its work, namely determine which mechanism(s) demonstrate(s) most potential to meet CCWG expectations as well as conform with legal and fiduciary

constraints. Following that, the CCWG responded to all charter questions from the perspective of the mechanism(s) selected, with those proposed responses as well as the proposed mechanism(s) to be published in the form of a draft Initial Report for community comment shortly before ICANN63, at the start of October 2018. See further details about the work plan and approach [here](#) or read its most recent newsletter [here](#).

ccNSO Policy session

Update by the Empowered Community Administration (ECA)

The ccNSO appointed member to the ECA will provide an overview of the activities of the ECA since the Panama meeting and what is to be expected in the near future. The Empowered Community Administration is the administrative body through which the Empowered Community acts. Each of the Decisional Participants – such as the ccNSO - designates one representative to the Empowered Community Administration. Other Decisional Participants are ALAC, ASO, GAC, and GNSO. The ccTLD Community present will be briefed on the latest with respect to the ECA. Explore the public archive of the [Empowered Community Administration's mailing list](#). Learn more about the [Empowered Community](#) or go to the [Empowered Community Correspondence page](#).

Update by the PDP Retirement WG (PDP-ret)

The ccNSO Retirement Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group will provide a short briefing to the ccTLD and broader communities during the [ccNSO Members Meeting](#) on Tuesday, 23 October. The goal of this ccNSO PDP, the third initiated by the ccNSO Council, is to develop and recommend policies to the ICANN Board of Directors pertaining to:

- The retirement of country code Top-Level Domains (ccTLDs)
- Review mechanisms for decisions relating to the delegation, transfer, revocation, and retirement of ccTLDs

The ccNSO Retirement PDP Working Group will meet 15:15-18:30 on Saturday, 20 October as well. Consult the group's [charter](#), its work plan, and other relevant documents on its [website](#) and [workspace](#).

New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP. Work Track 5: current state of affairs, followed by related topics from WT1 to 4.

Following the adoption of the [recommendations](#) of the Cross-community Working Group on the Use of Country and Territory Names as TLDs ([CCWG UCTN](#)) and hence closure of this CCWG, the ccNSO participates in Work Track 5 (WT5) under the GNSO New gTLD subsequent procedures policy development process ([PDP WG](#)). Work Track 5 focuses on developing proposed recommendations regarding the treatment of geographic names at the top-level. The group reached agreement on its Terms of Reference and began substantive deliberations in 2018. The session in Barcelona will focus on country and territory names and the views of the ccTLD managers present, followed by the related topics from Work Track 1 to 4, such as for instance the proposal to have 2 letter codes, consisting of a combination of 1 letter and 1 number.

The latest edition of the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP Working Group newsletter is now available: <http://go.icann.org/SubPro>. An archive of previous editions of the newsletter is available on the WG [wiki](#) and on the [GNSO website](#).

IDN ccTLD Recommended Policy Review

In September 2013 the ccNSO concluded its work on the IDN ccTLD overall policy for selection of IDN ccTLD strings and inclusion of IDN ccTLD in the ccNSO. This recommended policy was developed to replace the Fast Track process in time. Pending further development of the Fast Track process, it was

agreed to defer adoption, to gain experience with the changing methods. As five years have passed since the policy was adopted, the proposals will need to be reviewed. The briefing is intended to inform the ccTLD community and other interested parties on the areas that need to be reviewed.

Review Session

Update on the 2nd ccNSO Organisational Review, community consultation by the independent examiner.

According to ICANN Bylaws section 4.4 (a) Section 4.4(a), calls for a periodic review “of the performance and operation of each Supporting Organization, each Supporting Organization Council, ...by an entity or entities independent of the organization under review.” As per the Bylaws, the goal of these reviews shall be “to determine:

(i) whether that organization, council or committee has a continuing purpose in the ICANN structure,
(ii) (if so, whether any change in structure or operations is desirable to improve its effectiveness and
(iii) whether that organization, council or committee is accountable to its constituencies, stakeholder groups, organizations and other stakeholders”.

These Organizational Reviews “shall be conducted no less frequently than every five years, based on feasibility as determined by the Board. Each five-year cycle will be computed from the moment of the reception by the Board of the final report of the relevant review Working Group.”

The Organizational Effectiveness Committee of the Board (OEC), previously known as the Structural Improvements Committee (SIC), is engaged in the process from the Board side. [Meridian Institute \[merid.org\]](https://www.meridianinstitute.org) has been appointed to conduct the second ccNSO review.

For further information see: <https://www.icann.org/resources/reviews/org> or the RWP wiki: <https://community.icann.org/display/ccnsowkspc/ccNSO+Review+Workspace>

For Information on the 1st review see: <https://www.icann.org/resources/reviews/org/ccnso>

Update by the NomCom Review Implementation Planning Team (IPT)

The implementation planning phase of the second Organizational Review of the Nominating Committee (NomCom) is underway. The [Implementation Planning Team \(IPT\)](#) invites interested parties to take a look at the first scorecard report of their progress, published [here](#). The IPT intends to publish the scorecard to the wiki page when new milestones are reached. The IPT is reviewing the recommendations in the independent examiner's [final report](#) [PDF, 630 KB] to complete a Feasibility Assessment and Initial Implementation Plan (FAIP). This includes an analysis of each recommendation for usability and prioritization, provisional budget implications, anticipated resources and the proposed implementation timeline. The independent examiner's assessment of implementation of recommendations from the previous NomCom Review has been published to the wiki [here](#). The completed FAIP, together with the final report, will be delivered to the Organizational Effectiveness Committee ([OEC](#)) of the ICANN Board. The OEC will then make its recommendations to the Board on next steps.

Joint meeting: ccNSO and GAC

The ccNSO is meeting for 45 min with the Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) on Tuesday, 23 October (13:30-14:15). Consult the agenda [here](#).

Q&A and candidate statements: ccNSO Council nominees

On Wednesday, 3 October 2018, 23.59 UTC, [the call for nominations to fill 5 seats in the ccNSO Council was closed](#). For each of the 5 geographic regions, at least 1 candidate has been nominated and seconded.

During this session, ccNSO members will have the possibility to question the candidates for the ccNSO Council during a Questions & Answers session. In March 2019, at the conclusion of ICANN64 in Kobe (9-14 March 2019), the 3-year term of the following ccNSO Councillors ends: *Africa*: Abibu Ntahigiye; *Asia-Pacific*: Debbie Monahan; *Europe*: Peter Vergote; *Latin America/Caribbean*: Demi Getschko; *North America*: Pablo Rodriguez. Read the announcement [here](#) and consult the timeline [here](#). You will be able to consult the online archive for nominations and secondments [here](#).

IANA Naming Function Session

Customer Standing Committee (CSC)

The CSC provides operational oversight on the IANA naming function as performed by Public Technical Identifiers (PTI) since the transition of the IANA Stewardship. Background on the committee, along with transcripts of its meetings and the monthly reports on the PTI performance can be found [here](#). Byron Holland, chair of the CSC, will provide an update on its recent activities.

Root Zone Evaluation Review Committee (RZERC)

ICANN has established a [Root Zone Evaluation Review Committee](#) to review and provide input regarding proposed architectural and operational changes to the root zone and as determined necessary by the RZERC, propose architectural and operational changes to the root zone for consideration by the ICANN Board.

The RZERC will consider issues raised to the RZERC to identify any potential security, stability or resiliency risks to the architecture and operation of the root zone.

PTI, to present on the IANA Services to the ccNSO

The "Post-Transition IANA" organization, filed under the name Public Technical Identifiers ([PTI](#)), is performing the IANA functions pursuant to an IANA Naming Functions Contract with ICANN and subcontracts with ICANN for the performance of the numbering and protocol parameter services. This session includes an update by PTI on the IANA services to the ccNSO, and a short update by Lise Fuhr and Wei Wang, PTI Board members.

CSC Effectiveness Review Session

Per its charter and the ICANN Bylaws, the effectiveness of the [Customer Standing Committee \(CSC\)](#) will initially be reviewed two years after its first meeting and then every three years. The first CSC review will kick off at ICANN63.

Recently, the Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO) and Country Code Names Supporting Organisation (ccNSO) Councils recently adopted a method for a lightweight and brief CSC Effectiveness Review. The review builds on the outcome and findings of the CSC Charter Review. The results, as adopted by both the GNSO and ccNSO Councils, will feed into the IANA Naming Function Review (IFR). Due to the coinciding timing of the reviews and the potential overlap in scope, a ccNSO and GNSO coordination team has been working on proposal of what the CSC Effectiveness Review is expected to cover so it could be considered for incorporation into the IFR.

The ICANN Bylaws require that the ICANN Board "cause periodic and/or special reviews (each such review, an "IFR") of PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements set forth in the IANA Naming Function Contract and the IANA Naming Function SOW to be carried out by an IANA Function Review Team ("IFRT")." The Bylaws further specify that the first such review be convened no later than 1 October 2018. The IFR is a new accountability mechanism created as part of the IANA stewardship transition to ensure that PTI meets the needs and expectations of its naming customers. To that end, its intent is to review PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements set forth in the IANA Naming Function

Contract and the IANA Naming Function Statement of Work. An important distinction is that the IFR is not an organizational or specific review, and it is not a replacement for the work of the Customer Standing Committee (CSC).

GDPR and growing impact of privacy issues on ccTLD registries

The goal of this session is to provide an overview of the impact on ccTLDs, including the results from a recent CENTR survey. Moreover, this session will include a case study covering the impact of privacy issues on a ccTLD Registry. The session is a follow-up of previous sessions on the General Data Protection Regulation ([GDPR](#)), which was adopted by the European Union (EU) on 14 April 2016 and took effect on 25 May 2018. As it applies to all companies processing and holding the personal data of subjects residing in the European Union, regardless of the company's location, ccTLD managers located in the EU, and those outside of the EU who allow registrations by European citizens are most likely affected.

DAY 2

Wednesday, 24 October 2018

TLD-OPS Session

The TLD-OPS Committee will brief the ccTLDs present during day 2 of the [ccNSO Members Meeting](#) on the outcome of the Disaster Recovery Workshop, which will take place on Sunday, 21 October 2018 | 13:30-18:30 | Room 114. The workshop is open to all ccTLDs; however, they are required to [RSVP](#) ahead of time. The goal of the workshop is to have an in-depth discussion, focused on the various aspects of Disaster Recovery that are specific to ccTLDs, including elements relating to detection, activation, analysis, action plans and communications plans. The ccTLD specific components in scope could be the DNS, the registry, the registrants, the corporate and staff. This is a collaborative session where each participant is expected to share experiences and lessons learned. The expected outcome is to collect the disaster recovery experience, to share best practices, and to gather feedback and examples.

TLD-OPS is the incident response community for and by ccTLDs and brings together folks who are responsible for the overall security and stability of their ccTLD. The goal of the [TLD-OPS](#) community is to enable ccTLD operators worldwide to collaboratively strengthen their incident response capabilities.

ccTLDs financial contributions to ICANN

This session is a follow-up of the discussions at ICANN60 and is intended to review the current Guideline on the voluntary financial contribution of ccTLDs. This review will include among others, the level of contributions to date, results if any of the improved the invoicing and awareness process.

In 2013, after a long and intensive process of community consultation, the ccNSO agreed on a [guideline pertaining to the voluntary contribution of ccTLDs to ICANN](#). This session is a follow-up of the discussions at ICANN60 and is intended to review the level of contributions to date, and also about improving the invoicing and contribution process.

Update and next steps ICANN Accountability

In April until mid-May 2018, a Public Comment sought community input on whether there are any inconsistencies between the various sets of recommendations within the Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability's (CCWG-Accountability) final report from its Work Stream 2 (WS2). The CCWG-Accountability WS2 final report is a compilation of eight reports generated through individual sub-groups of the CCWG-Accountability, each of which has already been the subject of public comment. These eight reports are on the topics identified at Section 27.1. of the ICANN Bylaws, which defined WS2. At its [9 March 2018 meeting](#) at ICANN61 in San Juan, Puerto Rico, the CCWG-Accountability completed its approval of each of the sub-group reports for inclusion within the WS2 final report.

The inputs from the public comment period will be analyzed by the CCWG-Accountability, which will consider amending the report in light of the relevant comments received about inconsistencies. The CCWG-Accountability forwarded its WS2 final report to its Chartering Organizations for endorsement and then to the ICANN Board for consideration and adoption.

During a break-out session we will explore how the WS2 recommendations apply to the ccNSO.

Joint meeting: ccNSO and ICANN Board

Questions by the Board:

- What will be your main priorities in 2019?
- How should ICANN's multistakeholder model of governance and Policy Development Process evolve to balance the increasing need for inclusivity, accountability and transparency, with the imperative of getting our work done and our policies developed in a more effective and timely manner, and with the efficient utilization of ICANN's resources?

Questions by the ccNSO:

- What is the view of the Board on how ICANN's multistakeholder model of governance and Policy Development Process should evolve to balance the increasing need for inclusivity, accountability and transparency, with the imperative of getting our work done and our policies developed in a more effective and timely manner, and with the efficient utilization of ICANN's resources?
- What does the Board consider strategic priorities for the upcoming Strategic Plan? What will be ICANN's strategic focus with respect to ccTLDs for the next 5 years?
- Does the Board view activities in the area of internet governance as a part of ICANN's mission and a strategic priority? What are the Board's views on the roles of the different groups of ICANN in this area (Board's, staff's, communities, CCWG IG)? Has the Board considered possibilities to consolidate and coordinate all the different activities?
- What the community could do differently to help ICANN to optimize the budget spending?
- In San Juan the ccNSO asked how the Board intends to ensure that a Board decision affecting an individual SO/ACs is communicated to the respective SO/ACs, including the background, expected timelines and expected impact on priorities and how the Board follows up on their own decisions. The example at the time was the set of Board decisions with respect to the Emojis, following SAC 095. At the time it was agreed that a page with the detailed information on Board's decisions regarding the ccNSO would be created. Has anything been done in this area, and what is the respective URL?

Session with ccNSO appointed Board members

The ccTLD managers present will have the opportunity to ask questions directly to the ccNSO appointed members on the ICANN Board members and other (future) Board members with a ccTLD related background.

The ICANN Board of Directors consists of sixteen voting directors, including two directors nominated by the ccNSO. These seats on the Board are referred to in the ICANN Bylaws as Seat 11 and Seat 12 and are respectively occupied by Chris Disspain and Mike Silber. Mike Silber's term on the ICANN Board Seat 12 will expire at the end of the ICANN Annual General Meeting 2018 (ICANN63). At the end of 2017, the ccNSO council formally nominated Nigel Roberts to Board Seat 12. Nigel his term on the ICANN BoD will start at the end of ICANN63.

The following ccTLD-related ICANN Board members are invited to this session as well: Becky Burr, Danko Jevtovic, Lito Ibarra.

ccTLD News Session

- Outcomes of the .au registry operator tender process & new constitution. *Cameron Boardman (.au)*
- Leveling the playing field: Legal assistance for Domain Name end users under .cl. *Margarita Valdés (.cl)*
- Between Brexit and a new .eu Regulation. *Giovanni Seppia (.eu)*
- Creating Domestic Visibility for the .ng Brand. *Biyi Oladipo (.ng)*
- Marketing Initiatives for .co and .us 2018. *Crystal Peterson (.us & .co)*

ccNSO Council Meeting

The next ccNSO Council meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, 24 October | 17:15-18:30 | Room 114. Consult the agenda and relevant background material for the ccNSO Council meeting on the on the [ccNSO Council workspace](#).