

audio connection audio bridge is always preferable to the AC Connecting via the

for the meeting. assure the best audio call your phone. This appear for the AC to pop-up window will Adobe Connect, a preferred method will Upon logging into

Join Audio Conference   How would you like to join the meeting's audio conference? <ul> <li>Dial-out [Receive a call from the meeting]</li> <li>() [310-111-1111]</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Dial-in to the Audio Conference via Phone</li> <li>Using Microphone (Computer/Device)</li> </ul> Join Listen Only
---

offending line (either Telephone or occurrences, staff will mute the After 2 background noise Adobe Connect).

> invited to type their participants will be attempts to speak chat or take them to the mailing list. comments in the over the audio, After two failed

#### PLEASE ALWAYS MUTE WHEN NOT SPEAKING! \*6 to mute and \*6 to unmute

For any questions, dial out requests, apologies, please email: mssi-secretariat@icann.org

ICANN



#### RDS-WHOIS2-RT Plenary Call #37

3 August 2018

## RDS/WHOIS2-RT Plenary Call Agenda

- Welcome, roll-call, Sol
- Subgroups Status Report
- Overview of current status: ICANN org
- Plan to address missing reports: Rapporteurs
- Review of new recommendations, materials, Brussels edits
- Face-to-Face Meeting #4
- A.O.B.
- Confirm action items and decisions reached

#### **Subgroups Status Report**

Agenda Item #1

•	Subgro
	up Status
	Report

	and and argins report					Section approved by
#	Subgroup	# of Recs	Report	Accountable	Submitted to RT	RT
	Rec #1 - Strategic Priority	З	DOCX	Cathrin	~	×
	Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy	0	DOCX	Carlton	<	×
	Rec #3: Outreach	Ν	DOCX	Alan	<	×
	Rec #4: Compliance	7	DOCX	Susan	<	×
<u> </u>	Rec #5-9: Data Accuracy	<u> </u>	DOCX	LII	<	×
	Rec #10: Privacy/Proxy Services	N	DOCX	Volker	<	×
	Rec #11: Common Interface	N	DOCX	Volker	<	×
	Rec #12-14: IDNs	-	DOCX	Lili	4	×
	Rec #15-16: Plan & Annual Reports	-	DOCX	Alan/Lili	<	×
N	Anything New	0	DOCX	Stephanie	×	×
ယ	Law Enforcement Needs	<u> </u>	Confidential	Cathrin	<	×
4	Consumer Trust	0	DOCX	Erika	<	×
СЛ	Safeguarding Registrant Data	-	DOCX	Alan	<	×
	Executive Summary	N/A	Pending	Alan/Susan	×	×
<b></b>						<u>_</u> ე

### WHOIS1 Rec #1 - Strategic Priority

R1.1:

developments around the world. monitor possible impacts on the RDS from legislative and policy The ICANN Board should put into place a forward-looking mechanism to

R1.2:

development around the world and to provide regular updates to the Board. Organization to assign responsibility for monitoring legislative and policy To support this mechanism, the ICANN Board should instruct the ICANN

R1.3

review of its activities providing for records of meetings and meeting minutes, to enable future RDS to ensure the necessary transparency of the group's work, such as by The ICANN Board should update the Charter of its Board Working Group on

#### WHOIS1 Rec #1 - Outreach

R3.2:

focus. The need for and details of the outreach may vary depending on the documented. WHOIS inaccuracy reporting was identified as an issue with ICANN should be targeted effectively through WHOIS outreach. A ultimate GDPR implementation and cannot be detailed at this point. requiring additional education and outreach and may require a particular WHOIS outreach plan should then be developed, executed, and With community input, the ICANN Board should instruct the ICANN Organization to identify which groups outside of those that routinely engage

#### WHOIS1 Rec #4 - Compliance

R4.2:

collection requirements [within Y months]. ensure that all gTLD domain names adhere to the same registration data the Registrant field, then the ICANN Board should initiate action intended to grandfathered domain names to determine if information is missing from the WHOIS Registrant field. If [10%] of domain names are found to lack data in The ICANN Board should direct ICANN Organization to assess

### WHOIS1 Recs #5-9 – Data Accuracy

R5.2:

data was updated, possible linkage with ARS, etc. Based on the analyzing, WHOIS ARS project team should revise the follow-up. to analyze who did the updates (registrant or registrar), why the WHOIS potentially-anomalous closed tickets because the WHOIS record changed, ICANN Board should direct WHOIS ARS project team to look into the

<b>Problems/issues</b>	Recommendations
1.1.4.1 The identity accuracy check of WHOIS data has not been done yet	No corresponding recommendation yet.
1.1.4.2 WHOIS inaccuracy is believed to be largely under- reported	Outreach Section R3.1, R3.2 Compliance Section R4.5, R4.6, R4.8
1.1.4.3 Contractual obligations for WHOIS accuracy have only been passively enforced	Compliance Section R4.2, R4.7
1.1.4.4 The WHOIS accuracy of domain names that utilize Privacy and Proxy Services is unknown	TBC



# WHOIS1 Rec #10 – Privacy/Proxy Services

R10.1:

registration data the same way as registrars are required to verify and validate other shall verify and validate underlying customer information provided to them in that the PPSAI policy does not become operational by [timeframe] propose an amendment to the RAA that affiliated Privacy/Proxy providers implementation timeframes nonwithstanding - , the ICANN Board should The Board should monitor the implementation of the PPSAI. In the event

R10.2

review team after PPSAI Policy is implemented. Recommendation #10 should be deferred and carried by the next RDS Reviewing the effectiveness of the implementation of WHOIS1

## WHOIS1 Rec #11 – Common Interface

R11.1:

common interface include: registrars/resellers. Specific metrics that should be tracked for any such one-stop access to registration data across all gTLDs and queries and use of any common interface (existing or future) used to provide SLA's to be tracked and evaluated to determine consistency of results of The ICANN Board should direct the ICANN Organization to define metrics or

- How often are fields returned blank?
- overall and per gTLD)? How often is data displayed inconsistently (for the same domain name),
- How often does the tool not return any results, overall and per gTLD)?
- What are the causes for the above results?

## WHOIS1 Rec #11 – Common Interface

R11.2:

parallel. the common interface will display all publicly-available WHOIS output for each gTLD domain name registration available from contracted parties, i.e., developments or contractual changes for contracted parties to ensure that both the registry and registrar WHOIS output port 43 could be shown in maintain the common interface to keep up to date with new policy The ICANN Board should direct the ICANN Organization to continue to

R12.1:

deferred and carried out by the next RDS review team after RDAP is Reviewing the effectiveness of the implementation of #Rec 12-14 should be launches. implemented, and the translation and transliteration of the registration data

R15.1:

included in the annual report. implementation. And impact evaluation of implementation should be metrics should be developed to track the progress and effectiveness of the methodology to manage plan and reports. For each recommendation ICANN Board should ensure best practice based project management

Alan's Alternative Suggestion

R 15.1

effectiveness, where applicable using metrics as tracking tools. and ensuring that plans and reports clearly address progress and Recommendations using best practice project management methodology The ICANN Board should ensure the implementation of WHOS2-RDS RT

## Subgroup 3 – Law Enforcement Needs

RLE.1:

- assessment of the effectiveness of WHOIS, as well as future policy development (including the current expedited Policy Development surveys and studies are to be conducted by ICANN to inform a future Process and related efforts). The ICANN Board should resolve that regular data gathering through
- purpose such as cybersecurity professionals and others with a legitimate Such surveys and/or studies should also extend to other WHOIS users,

**Observation 1:** 

looked into. to provide more information to Consumers related to Consumers and WHOIS obligations. Future RAA updates shall include information concerning relevant topics Lack of Reseller transparency in WHOIS is a potential gap that should be

**Observation 2:** 

cover relevant information for Consumers new WHOIS system, more attention is given to ensure that these web pages use of WHOIS data. We recommend, that after the implementation of the easily readable information for consumers in relation to the use or the non-Web pages from ICANN, registries, registrars, resellers offer often little

# Subgroup 5 – Safeguarding Registrant Data

SG1:

exist) include uniform and strong requirements for the protection of registrant data and for ICANN to be notified in the event of any data breach. contracted parties (to include Privacy/Proxy services when such contracts consultation with data security expert(s) ensure that all contracts with The ICANN Board should require that the ICANN Organization, in

what extent GDPR regulations, which many but not all ICANN contracted requirements parties are subject to, could or should be used as a basis for ICANN In carrying out this review, the data security expert(s) should consider to

The ICANN Board must either negotiate appropriate contractual changes or initiate a GNSO PDP to consider effecting such changes.

#### Face-to-Face Meeting #4

Agenda Item #2

18

ICANN

holiday All dates in November except for November 21-25 due to Thanksgiving

for holiday season All dates in December except for December 23-January 1 – Offices closed

participation but the ICANN meetings team is available during these dates: The following events may potentially impact some RT members'

<u>Reminder</u>: Request to be submitted before the 90 days deadline

0410 - Govt & IGO Engagement
0946

#### list) by Tuesday, 7 August – 23:59 UTC. For planning purposes, please send us your input (preferably off-

#### Face-to-Face Meeting #3 – Meeting Report

- Approved by leadership
- Submit any comments/edits you may have
- Proposed deadline: 10 August 23:59 UTC

## **Objective relative to potential amendments to Section 4.6(e)**

- Leadership call for suggestions
- Proposed deadline: 10 August 23:59 UTC

amendments, in its review report believes should be changed, added or removed, and (b) include any Section 4.6(e), Registration Directory Service Review, which the team Consistent with this section, the review team will (a) identify any portions of recommended amendments to Section 4.6(e), along with rationale for those



#### **Decisions Reached** Action Items Confirm 20

ICANN